News Corp

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.
Read full terms of disclosure

.

09/17/2025, 07:30 pm

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☑ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☑ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

News Corp is a global, diversified media and information services company focused on creating and distributing authoritative and engaging content and other products and services to consumers and businesses throughout the world. The Company comprises businesses across a range of media, including information services and news, digital real estate services and book publishing, that are distributed under some of the world's most recognizable and respected brands, including The Wall Street Journal, Barron's, Dow Jones, The Australian, Herald Sun, The Sun, The Times, HarperCollins Publishers, realestate.com.au, realtor.com®, talkSPORT and many others. The Company's commitment to premium content makes its properties a premier destination for information, news, real estate and entertainment. The Company distributes its content and other products and services to consumers and customers across an array of digital platforms including websites, mobile apps, social media, e-book devices and streaming audio platforms, as well as traditional platforms such as print and radio. Headquartered in New York, the Company operates primarily in the U.S., Australia and the U.K., with its content and other products and services distributed and consumed worldwide. The Company's operations are organized into five reportable segments: (i) Dow Jones; (ii) Digital Real Estate Services; (iii) Book Publishing; (iv) News Media; and (v) Other. This CDP disclosure contains forward-looking statements (FLS) that are aspirational and based on current expectations or beliefs, as well as assumptions about future events, including as they relate to News Corp's expectations, aspirations, commitments, strategies, targets and goals with respect to environmental, social and governance (ESG) matters, including its ESG focus areas, decarbonization and other goals/targets, sustainability, and business risks and opportunities. These statements are subject to factors and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the FLS. The words "expect,"

"intend," "aim," "estimate," "anticipate," "predict," "plan," "believe," "potential," "goal," "target," "commit," "strive" and similar expressions and variations are intended to identify FLS. Readers are cautioned that any FLS inherently involve known and unknown risks, assumptions and uncertainties that are difficult to predict and often beyond our control, and are not guarantees of future performance or that targets or goals will be met or go unchanged. Many factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in these FLS, including regulatory and legislative developments, energy prices, technological innovations and advances, stakeholder engagement and climate conditions or events, among others, including those risk factors outlined in our periodic Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings. The FLS in this CDP disclosure speak only as of the submission date and are not intended to create legal obligations or rights. Furthermore, forward-looking, current and historical statements related to ESG matters, including the figures presented throughout this CDP disclosure may be based on current or historical aspirations, targets, goals, commitments or estimates; standards for measuring progress that are still in development; diligence, internal controls and processes that are evolving; and representations, certifications and data reviewed or provided by third parties, including information from acquired entities that may not be complete, may still be subject to further review or may not have been integrated into News Corp's reporting processes and assumptions that are subject to change in the future. Information included in, as well as issues identified as material for purposes of, this CDP disclosure is not an indication that such matters are material to News Corp, its business or its stakeholders, or its impacts to other parties or ESG matters, in each case for SEC or other mandatory reporting purposes. In the context of this report, any use of the term "material" is distinct from, and should not be confused with, such term as defined for SEC or other mandatory reporting purposes. Unless otherwise specified, reporting covers News Corp and its consolidated subsidiaries and our fiscal year ended June 30, 2025. Figures provided in this CDP disclosure reflect estimates or approximations and may not be exact, including due to rounding. Website references and hyperlinks throughout this CDP disclosure are provided for convenience only, and the content on the referenced websites is not incorporated by reference into this CDP disclosure, nor does it constitute a part of this CDP disclosure.
[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	06/30/2024	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

10085000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

(1.5.1) Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?

Select from:

☑ No

(1.5.2) How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?

As of April 2, 2025, News Corp completed the sale of Foxtel Group to DAZN Group Limited. As of FY26, News Corp has divested Foxtel from its portfolio. Our current and historical financial statements have accounted for this divestment accordingly. For the purposes of this CDP disclosure, which is reflective of fiscal 2024 data, our greenhouse gas emissions values for the reporting period do consider Scope 1-3 emissions associated with Foxtel Group.
[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US65249B1098

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

65249B109

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

NWSA

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☑ Peru
☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Pakistan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany
☑ Ireland
☑ Lebanon
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Australia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands

☑ Thailand
☑ Argentina
☑ Switzerland
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: ☑ Yes, for some facilities	*Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]*

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

1211 Avenue of Americas - News Corp Headquarters

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.758882

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.982063

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]
[Add row]

(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.

Timber products

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:
☑ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply
☑ Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:
☑ Yes, we are providing the total volume

(1.22.5) Total commodity volume (metric tons)

270072

(1.22.8) Did you convert the total commodity volume from another unit to metric tons?

Select from:
☑ No

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☑ Paper

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☑ 1-5%

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☑ Yes, disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☑ No

(1.22.19) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1500 characters]
[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.6) Smallholder inclusion in mapping

Select from:

☑ Unknown whether smallholders are relevant, so not included

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Our value chain mapping process began with an assessment of the categories within our value chain that have the greatest impact. In Fiscal 2024, we engaged 450 vendors through CDP Supply Chain to better understand their mitigation efforts and reduction plans. In 2025, we identified upstream and downstream activities for our business units, as well as key supplier inputs from Tier 1 suppliers. We engaged with business unit management teams and external consultants to conduct these activities. Finance, Strategy, Risk, Operations, and Sustainability functions were engaged across our businesses to validate and complete this value chain mapping exercise. These activities were also conducted to inform Scope 3 relevancy for News Corp's GHG reporting.
[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

	Plastics mapping	Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain	Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ No standardized procedure	*n/a*

[Fixed row]

(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?

Timber products

(1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:
☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:
☑ 76-99%

(1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:
☑ Tier 2 suppliers

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Short-Term (1-5 Years): Operational Resilience and Immediate Impact Mitigation Within the 1-5 year time horizon, our focus is primarily operational, targeting the identification, assessment, and management of immediate environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities. This period is for addressing factors that directly affect our core business operations, with a particular emphasis on Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. We prioritize strategies that are expected to produce tangible emissions reductions, including projects such as energy efficiency upgrades—including LED lighting retrofits and the installation of energy-efficient air compressors. These initiatives not only contribute to our broader medium- and long-term sustainability goals, but are also selected for their ability to deliver measurable operational and financial benefits within a 1-5 year timeframe. For the climate risk assessment, conducted in Q2 2025, we considered our risk management processes and defined the short-term time horizon as 1-3 years. For other environmental risks and opportunities, the short-term time horizon is defined as 1-5 years.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.3) To (years)

10

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Medium-Term (5-10 Years): Strategic Investments The 5-10 year horizon involves driving substantial strategic investments that help address our environmental dependencies and risks. During this period, we focus on the identification, assessment, and management of our environmental impacts and risks, particularly those related to Scope 3 emissions—including supply chain activities, transportation, and product lifecycle assessments. This medium-term outlook is directly linked to our strategic and financial planning processes. Our Board of Directors, through its committees, regularly reviews progress on our Global Environmental Initiative including alignment with business objectives.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

10

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Long-Term (Greater Than 10 Years): Systemic Transformation & Value Creation Beyond 10 years, our planning shifts to a vision of systemic transformation, underpinned by our commitment to long-term value creation and resilience. These long-term objectives consider, among other items, sustained investment in decarbonizing operations, transitioning to renewable energy, where feasible, and engaging our supply chain in setting and achieving their own long-term sustainability targets. Our financial planning over this timeframe extends beyond traditional cost projections to incorporate value-based assessments, including the long-term competitive advantages and risk mitigation that may be derived from a sustainable business model. The Board of Directors plays a key role in overseeing the identification, assessment, and management of long-term risks and opportunities, helping confirm that these considerations are embedded in our strategic and financial planning processes.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ Every two years

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

☑ Enterprise Risk Management

International methodologies and standards

☑ Other international methodologies and standards, please specify :TCFD/ISSB; A subset of CMIP6 global climate model output (World Climate Research Programme's Coupled Model Intercomparison Projects Phase 6) Desk-based research External consultants Jurisdictional/landscape assessment Partner & stakeholder analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Heat waves

☑ Cold wave/frost

☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

☑ Other acute physical risk, please specify **:Extreme wind**

☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

Chronic physical
☑ Other chronic physical driver, please specify :Drought Chronic heat Chronic precipitation

Policy
☑ Changes to national legislation

Market
☑ Other market, please specify :Volatility of energy pricing

Liability
☑ Exposure to litigation

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply
☑ Other, please specify :Business units; corporate

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

News Corp believes it is important to comprehensively understand and proactively manage the environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities identified in our global operations. Our process to identify climate-related risks and opportunities, integrated into our enterprise risk management practices, is grounded in the Task Force for Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)/ISSB framework. In Q2 2025, News Corp conducted a comprehensive climate risk assessment to systematically identify, evaluate, and manage climate-related risks and opportunities across its global operations. The climate risk assessment process began with an in-depth benchmarking of both internal and external climate disclosures, encompassing News Corp's business units as well as selected peer organizations. This analysis resulted in a consolidated list of potential physical and transition risks, as well as potential opportunities relevant to the Company's activities. Stakeholder engagement was a central component of the assessment. News Corp consulted with internal stakeholders from across its business units to explore value chains, business strategies, and perspectives on actual and potential climate-related risks and opportunities. These discussions were informed by

subject matter advisors and industry experts, helping the process capture a wide range of financial, operational, and strategic considerations. To promote effective management and disclosure, News Corp applied management-approved scoring rubrics and matrices to evaluate and prioritize the identified risks and opportunities. This scoring process was refined through collaboration with ESG and Finance teams, and incorporated feedback from senior leadership, including the CFO and CHRO. The prioritized climate risks and opportunities were communicated to business units, with facilitated discussions to identify any additional controls or enablers needed at the corporate level. This climate risk assessment was integrated within News Corp's overarching risk management process. Mitigation strategies—including investments in energy efficiency, renewable energy sourcing, and waste reduction—are prioritized based on severity and probability. Risk mitigation plans are regularly reviewed and updated as new data and regulations emerge, and ESG performance data are tracked and reported regularly. Our strategy focuses on continued improvement. A dedicated team is responsible for monitoring key environmental metrics, evaluating the effectiveness of mitigation strategies, and conducting periodic reviews of our process. We also participate in industry forums and collaborate with stakeholders to help advance sustainable practices within our sector. Through this rigorous and collaborative approach, News Corp is working to proactively manage climate-related risks and opportunities, support resilient business strategies, and incorporate evolving stakeholder and regulatory expectations.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Forests

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

☑ Internal company methods

Other

☑ External consultants

☑ Other, please specify :Global Security Operations Center

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Market

☑ Other market, please specify :Availability of forest risk commodities Quality of forests risk commodities Tariffs or price increases

Reputation

☑ Other reputation, please specify :Brand damage related to forests risk commodities

Liability

☑ Other liability, please specify :Regulation Climate change Social impacts

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ NGOs

☑ Customers

☑ Employees

☑ Investors

☑ Suppliers

☑ Regulators

☑ Local communities

☑ Other commodity users/producers at a local level

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

News Corp's process for identifying deforestation risks and/or opportunities is the same process used to identify and monitor other pressures that could similarly impact the business. At the enterprise level, News Corp uses the WRI Global Forest Watch platform to identify and track substantive business risks with our paper sourcing. (For the purposes of this CDP response, News Corp has defined "substantive" to mean an impact that is noteworthy or caused by something other than mere chance, but does not necessarily include the concept of materiality within the meaning of the securities laws nor would it necessarily have meaningful financial impact.) The Global Environmental Initiative (GEI) as a corporate-wide program is designed to provide senior management involvement and regular reviews of the GEI's progress and findings. As part of this corporate-wide program, impacts on and risks to the business overall are required to be regularly shared with senior management, including members of the Board of Directors.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:
☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

Our assessment of environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities was conducted as part of the climate risk assessment, which is grounded in the TCFD/ISSB framework and aligns with the process disclosed in section 2.2.2. Alignment, synergies, contributions and potential trade-offs among these elements were identified during the initial phase of our climate risk assessment. In this first phase, a preliminary long list of climate-related risks and opportunities (CROs)—including physical risks, transition risks, and opportunities—was developed by reviewing publicly available disclosures (from both News Corp and our peers), internal documentation, and conducting an industry scan. The resulting list was then scrutinized to identify areas of alignment, synergies, contributions, and possible trade-offs between dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities to develop a comprehensive list of CROs. For example, the risk of increasing energy costs is directly linked to the opportunity for energy efficiency. If proactive measures are not taken, rising energy costs could represent a significant risk; conversely, investing in energy efficiency transforms this risk into an opportunity for cost savings and reduced environmental impact. This illustrates how interconnections are assessed and considered collectively, rather than in isolation, throughout our process.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☑ Other location with substantive nature-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities, please specify :Locations with one or more “high” or “very high” scores as it relates to exposure to the following physical hazards: extreme heat, extreme precipitation, flood, extreme wind (including tropical cyclone), drought, chronic heat, chronic precipitation.

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

News Corp is a global company with operations in 35 countries. As part of our 2025 climate risk assessment, we performed an analysis of 263 locations, which included both owned and leased facilities. There are additional third party, supplier and upstream / downstream facilities not currently included in our analysis. In order to prioritize locations, we first focused on facilities that were primarily owned / operated by News Corp BUs or that were considered of high importance to our operations due to factors such as headcount, location, etc. We plan to continue to evaluate the prioritization criteria. Currently, the 263 prioritized locations represent nearly 100% of News Corp locations globally.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ Yes, we will be disclosing the list/geospatial map of priority locations

(2.3.6) Provide a list and/or spatial map of priority locations

News Corp Physical Climate Risk Map FY24.pdf
[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of this CDP response, News Corp has defined "substantive" to mean noteworthy or caused by something other than mere chance, but does not necessarily include the concept of materiality within the meaning of applicable securities laws nor would it necessarily have meaningful financial impact.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of this CDP response, News Corp has defined "substantive" to mean noteworthy or caused by something other than mere chance, but does not necessarily include the concept of materiality within the meaning of applicable securities laws nor would it necessarily have meaningful financial impact. Opportunity impacts are considered based on both benefit and likelihood of the impact occurring.
[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☑ No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.3) Please explain

News Corp does not currently identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could impact water ecosystems or human health. We acknowledge the importance of understanding our environmental footprint, including water-related risks. As we continue to enhance our environmental management practices, we are evaluating the most effective ways to assess and report on water pollutants relevant to our operations. We intend to develop a more comprehensive approach to water pollutant identification and disclosure within the next two years. In the interim, we remain committed to responsible water use and compliance with all applicable environmental regulations.
[Fixed row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental risks identified
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Forests	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:
☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical
☑ Other acute physical risk, please specify

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Germany
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased severity and frequency of extreme weather events (e.g., hurricanes and floods) may lead to disruptions to both downstream digital content distribution and printed content distribution, leading to decreased revenue through loss of viewership and/or increased operating costs to deliver products.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Decreased revenues due to disruption to digital content delivery or loss of viewership during downstream outages Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for reduced revenue from advertising due to disruption to digital content delivery or loss of viewership during downstream outages, as well as from disruption to production or distribution of printed products. There is potential for increased operating expenses to deliver products to customers during outages.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Increase insurance coverage

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Insurance policies covering property damage and business disruption are crucial for our strategy to mitigate risks associated with extreme weather events. News Corp collaborates with a global insurance provider to produce property reports that help us bolster resilience actions. As part of our efforts to minimize digital business disruptions at key sites, contingency plans and supplier agreements with data center vendors are in place, while carrier agreements for printed products include limited liability clauses. The company outsources print product delivery and maintains diverse networks for transportation and distribution, utilizing primary and secondary trucking operations to adapt production and distribution in response to extreme weather conditions. These strategies are designed to address environmental risks that have had or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization.

Forests

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk5

(3.1.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

☑ Timber products

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify :Non-compliance with legislation

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Germany
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Regulations related to forest and timber products and product compliance mandates may lead to increased operating and production costs (e.g., higher cost of raw materials), exposure to forest-related litigation, or penalties for noncompliance (e.g., loss of market access)

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Increased indirect [operating] costs Increased capital expenditure Fines, penalties or enforcement orders Litigation

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses to meet new compliance requirements and due to the rising cost of raw materials, as well as potential fines, penalties, or legal expenses. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to invest in new processes or technologies.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Other engagement, please specify :Third-party consultants

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To address environmental risks with substantive current or anticipated effects, News Corp engages third-party consultants to support preparation for global sustainability disclosure requirements, accelerating reporting readiness when internal resources are limited. The company also manages potential future legal costs by claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers. To ensure responsible sourcing and reduce environmental impact, News Corp maintains Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper supplier agreements and a diverse set of suppliers. Paper purchased from direct suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia for printed publications is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by FSC, PEFC, SFI, CSA, or an equivalent certification body.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Other acute physical risk, please specify

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland

☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased severity and frequency of extreme weather events (e.g., hurricanes and floods) may lead to disruptions to both downstream digital content distribution and printed content distribution, leading to decreased revenue through loss of viewership and/or increased operating costs to deliver products.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Decreased revenues due to disruption to digital content delivery or loss of viewership during downstream outages Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term
☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for reduced revenue from advertising due to disruption to digital content delivery or loss of viewership during downstream outages, as well as from disruption to production or distribution of printed products. There is potential for increased operating expenses to deliver products to customers during outages.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Insurance policies covering property damage and business disruption are crucial for our strategy to mitigate risks associated with extreme weather events. News Corp collaborates with a global insurance provider to produce property reports that help us bolster resilience actions. As part of our efforts to minimize digital business disruptions at key sites, contingency plans and supplier agreements with data center vendors are in place, while carrier agreements for printed products include limited liability clauses. The company outsources print product delivery and maintains diverse networks for transportation and distribution, utilizing primary and secondary trucking operations to adapt production and distribution in response to extreme weather conditions. These strategies are designed to address environmental risks that have had or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Other acute physical risk, please specify

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Sweden
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Ireland

☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased severity and frequency of extreme weather events (e.g., hurricanes and floods) may lead to disruptions to both downstream digital content distribution and printed content distribution, leading to decreased revenue through loss of viewership and/or increased operating costs to deliver products.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Decreased revenues due to disruption to digital content delivery or loss of viewership during downstream outages Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term
☑ Medium-term
☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for reduced revenue from advertising due to disruption to digital content delivery or loss of viewership during downstream outages, as well as from disruption to production or distribution of printed products. There is potential for increased operating expenses to deliver products to customers during outages.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Other policies or plans, please specify :Increase insurance coverage Amend the Business Continuity Plan

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Insurance policies covering property damage and business disruption are crucial for our strategy to mitigate risks associated with extreme weather events. News Corp collaborates with a global insurance provider to produce property reports that help us bolster resilience actions. As part of our efforts to minimize digital business

disruptions at key sites, contingency plans and supplier agreements with data center vendors are in place, while carrier agreements for printed products include limited liability clauses. The company outsources print product delivery and maintains diverse networks for transportation and distribution, utilizing primary and secondary trucking operations to adapt production and distribution in response to extreme weather conditions. These strategies are designed to address environmental risks that have had or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Other acute physical risk, please specify

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia

☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased severity and frequency of extreme weather events (e.g., hurricanes, flooding, fires, extreme heat) may lead to asset damage, closure of facilities, increased health and safety incidents, and higher demand for cooling, leading to increased operating costs and/or downtime in owned or leased facilities.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Disruption in production capacity Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Medium-term
☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses due to production disruptions, health and safety incidents, and increased energy usage for cooling, higher insurance premiums, legal costs. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to repair or replace asset damage. There is potential for damage to property & equipment from extreme weather events.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Increase insurance coverage

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Insurance policies covering property damage and business interruption are in place to help us mitigate the impact of environmental risks. The company employs strong corporate risk management practices and protocols, supported by physical security infrastructure designed to address risks to workplace and business operations. Our Global Security Operations Center (GSOC) provides ongoing real-time global intelligence fusion and analysis, enhancing the company's ability to respond to environmental threats. Health & Safety policies and management systems are regularly reviewed for compliance with regulatory requirements and jurisdictional EH&S standards. Additionally, hybrid and work-from-home options for employees help maintain business continuity during environmental events that have had, or are anticipated to have, a substantive effect on the company's physical operations.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Other chronic physical risk, please specify

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa

☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased severity and frequency of extreme weather events (e.g., hurricanes, flooding, fires, extreme heat) may lead to asset damage, closure of facilities, increased health and safety incidents, and higher demand for cooling, leading to increased operating costs and/or downtime in owned or leased facilities.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Disruption in production capacity Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Medium-term
☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses due to production disruptions, health and safety incidents, and increased energy usage for cooling, higher insurance premiums, legal costs. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to repair or replace asset damage. There is potential for damage to property & equipment from extreme weather events.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Other policies or plans, please specify :Global Security Operations Center (GSOC); Health & Safety policies; hybrid and work-from-home policy

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Insurance policies covering property damage and business interruption are in place to help us mitigate the impact of environmental risks. The company employs strong corporate risk management practices and protocols, supported by physical security infrastructure designed to address risks to workplace and business operations. Our Global Security Operations Center (GSOC) provides ongoing real-time global intelligence fusion and analysis, enhancing the company's ability to respond to environmental threats. Health & Safety policies and management systems are regularly reviewed for compliance with regulatory requirements and jurisdictional EH&S standards. Additionally, hybrid and work-from-home options for employees help maintain business continuity during environmental events that have had, or are anticipated to have, a substantive effect on the company's physical operations.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify :Exposure to sanctions and litigation Non-compliance with legislation Other market risk, please specify: higher cost of raw materials

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Regulations related to corporate sustainability and GHG reporting and product compliance mandates may lead to increased operating and production costs (e.g., higher cost of raw materials, energy), exposure to climate-related litigation, or penalties for noncompliance (e.g., loss of market access).

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased indirect [operating] costs Increased capital expenditure Fines, penalties or enforcement orders Litigation

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term
☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses to meet new compliance requirements and due to the rising cost of raw materials, as well as potential fines, penalties, or legal expenses. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to invest in new processes or technologies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Other engagement, please specify :Third-party consultants

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To address environmental risks with substantive current or anticipated effects, News Corp engages third-party consultants to support its preparation for global sustainability and greenhouse gas (GHG) disclosure requirements, accelerating reporting readiness when internal resources are limited. The company also manages potential future legal costs by claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers. To promote responsible sourcing and reduce environmental impact, News Corp maintains Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper supplier agreements and a diverse set of suppliers. Approximately 100% of paper purchased from direct suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia for printed publications is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by FSC, PEFC, SFI, CSA, or an equivalent certification body.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify :Exposure to sanctions and litigation Non-compliance with legislation Other market risk, please specify: higher cost of raw materials

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Regulations related to corporate sustainability and GHG reporting and product compliance mandates may lead to increased operating and production costs (e.g., higher cost of raw materials, energy), exposure to climate-related litigation, or penalties for noncompliance (e.g., loss of market access).

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Increased indirect [operating] costs Increased capital expenditure Fines, penalties or enforcement orders Litigation

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses to meet new compliance requirements and due to the rising cost of raw materials, as well as potential fines, penalties, or legal expenses. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to invest in new processes or technologies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Other policies or plans, please specify :Claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To address environmental risks with substantive current or anticipated effects, News Corp engages third-party consultants to support its preparation for global sustainability and greenhouse gas (GHG) disclosure requirements, accelerating reporting readiness when internal resources are limited. The company also manages potential future legal costs by claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers. To promote responsible sourcing and reduce environmental impact, News Corp maintains Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper supplier agreements and a diverse set of suppliers. Approximately 100% of paper purchased from direct suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia for printed publications is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by FSC, PEFC, SFI, CSA, or an equivalent certification body.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify :Exposure to sanctions and litigation Non-compliance with legislation Other market risk, please specify: higher cost of raw materials

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Regulations related to corporate sustainability and GHG reporting and product compliance mandates may lead to increased operating and production costs (e.g., higher cost of raw materials, energy), exposure to climate-related litigation, or penalties for noncompliance (e.g., loss of market access).

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Increased indirect [operating] costs Increased capital expenditure Fines, penalties or enforcement orders Litigation

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses to meet new compliance requirements and due to the rising cost of raw materials, as well as potential fines, penalties, or legal expenses. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to invest in new processes or technologies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Nature based solutions, restoration and conservation

☑ Other nature-based solution, restoration and conservation, please specify :Other nature-based solution, restoration and conservation, please specify: Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper supplier agreements

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To address environmental risks with substantive current or anticipated effects, News Corp engages third-party consultants to support its preparation for global sustainability and greenhouse gas (GHG) disclosure requirements, accelerating reporting readiness when internal resources are limited. The company also manages potential future legal costs by claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers. To promote responsible sourcing and reduce environmental impact, News Corp maintains Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper supplier agreements and a diverse set of suppliers. Approximately 100% of paper purchased from direct suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia for printed publications is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by FSC, PEFC, SFI, CSA, or an equivalent certification body.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify :Exposure to sanctions and litigation Non-compliance with legislation Other market risk, please specify: higher cost of raw materials

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Regulations related to corporate sustainability and GHG reporting and product compliance mandates may lead to increased operating and production costs (e.g., higher cost of raw materials, energy), exposure to climate-related litigation, or penalties for noncompliance (e.g., loss of market access).

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Increased indirect [operating] costs Increased capital expenditure Fines, penalties or enforcement orders Litigation

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses to meet new compliance requirements and due to the rising cost of raw materials, as well as potential fines, penalties, or legal expenses. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to invest in new processes or technologies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Diversification

☑ Increase supplier diversification

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To address environmental risks with substantive current or anticipated effects, News Corp engages third-party consultants to support its preparation for global sustainability and greenhouse gas (GHG) disclosure requirements, accelerating reporting readiness when internal resources are limited. The company also manages potential future legal costs by claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers. To promote responsible sourcing and reduce environmental impact, News Corp maintains Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper supplier agreements and a diverse set of suppliers. Approximately 100% of paper purchased from direct suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia for printed publications is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by FSC, PEFC, SFI, CSA, or an equivalent certification body.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

☑ Other market risk, please specify :Increasing volatility of energy prices

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Increased energy usage from digital transformation (e.g., use of GenAI for translation, text-to-audio) and operation of data centers, in combination with increased volatility of energy pricing, may increase operating costs.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses due to increased volatility of energy pricing in a low-carbon economy.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☑ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Active engagement with cloud services providers, such as Amazon Web Services, focuses on sustainability practices, energy usage, and enhancing user and developer capabilities to improve operational efficiencies. These efforts are designed to address environmental risks related to energy consumption and resource use, which have had or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on the company.

Forests

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk5

(3.1.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

☑ Timber products

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify :Non-compliance with legislation

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Brazil

☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Germany
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Regulations related to forest and timber products and product compliance mandates may lead to increased operating and production costs (e.g., higher cost of raw materials), exposure to forest-related litigation, or penalties for noncompliance (e.g., loss of market access).

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased indirect [operating] costs Increased capital expenditure Fines, penalties or enforcement orders Litigation

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses to meet new compliance requirements and due to the rising cost of raw materials, as well as potential fines, penalties, or legal expenses. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to invest in new processes or technologies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Other policies or plans, please specify :Claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To address environmental risks with substantive current or anticipated effects, News Corp engages third-party consultants to support preparation for global sustainability disclosure requirements, accelerating reporting readiness when internal resources are limited. The company also manages potential future legal costs by claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers. To ensure responsible sourcing and reduce environmental impact, News Corp maintains Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper supplier agreements and a diverse set of suppliers. Paper purchased from direct suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia for printed publications is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by FSC, PEFC, SFI, CSA, or an equivalent certification body.

Forests

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk5

(3.1.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

☑ Timber products

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify :Non-compliance with legislation

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Germany
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Regulations related to forest and timber products and product compliance mandates may lead to increased operating and production costs (e.g., higher cost of raw materials), exposure to forest-related litigation, or penalties for noncompliance (e.g., loss of market access).

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased indirect [operating] costs Increased capital expenditure Fines, penalties or enforcement orders Litigation

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

There is potential for increased operating expenses to meet new compliance requirements and due to the rising cost of raw materials, as well as potential fines, penalties, or legal expenses. There is potential for increased capital expenditures to invest in new processes or technologies.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Nature based solutions, restoration and conservation

☑ Other nature-based solution, restoration and conservation, please specify :Other nature-based solution, restoration and conservation, please specify: Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

N/A

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To address environmental risks with substantive current or anticipated effects, News Corp engages third-party consultants to support preparation for global sustainability disclosure requirements, accelerating reporting readiness when internal resources are limited. The company also manages potential future legal costs by claiming limited liability for content produced and utilizing terms of use and other legal disclaimers. To ensure responsible sourcing and reduce environmental impact, News Corp maintains Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certified paper supplier agreements and a diverse set of suppliers. Paper purchased from direct suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia for printed publications is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by FSC, PEFC, SFI, CSA, or an equivalent certification body.
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Not available at this time

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Given the recent qualitative assessment of substantive environmental risks, quantitative information on financial metrics is not currently available. News Corp continues to monitor and evaluate climate-related risks as part of its ongoing risk management activities.

Forests

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Not available at this time

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Given the recent qualitative assessment of substantive environmental risks, quantitative information on financial metrics is not currently available. News Corp continues to monitor and evaluate forest-related supply chain risks as part of its ongoing risk management activities.
[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☑ Unknown	*Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]*

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply
☑ Other carbon tax, please specify :UK CCL

(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.

Other carbon tax, please specify

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

07/01/2023

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

06/30/2024

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

100

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

45802

(3.5.3.5) Comment

News Corp’s UK business pays the Climate Change Levy (CCL), which is a carbon tax, the cost of which is mitigated by our UK-based print plants holding Climate Change Agreements, which sets targets against a base year for energy consumed against production.
[Fixed row]

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

News Corp’s UK business pays the Climate Change Levy (CCL), which is a carbon tax, the cost of which is mitigated by our UK-based print plants holding Climate Change Agreements, which sets targets against a base year for energy consumed against production. If the targets are met, the CCL is payable at a reduced rate. In 2024, all three print sites missed their targets, the second year since the scheme was updated to rebase the comparison year and toughen up targets. News UK continues to work on efforts to achieve these new, more stretching targets. News UK also contributed to the latest UK government consultation on the future of the CCA scheme. The CRC Scheme ended in March 2019, replaced with the Streamlined Energy & Carbon Reporting (SECR) commitment, an annual requirement for eligible companies to report on emissions within Annual Reports, which News UK complies with. News UK has also set up working groups to coordinate efforts in response to the new requirement of the ESOS scheme. The business has identified actions to put into its energy-efficiency Action Plans and meets regularly to review progress against the identified actions. Recently, the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures also made recommendations for improving climate-related financial reporting, which News UK is complying with. In addition, the rules under the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS), which cover aviation emissions from both commercial and private aircraft, impacts us to a small extent financially. The ETS for aviation only covers flights operating within the European Economic Area (EEA). News Corp has had a clearly defined methodology in place for measuring and managing its carbon footprint since 2006. This measurement includes geographical and asset and business level data on the company's energy and fuel use. As such, News Corp has an understanding of its emissions footprint and potential obligations under current and pending legislation. This ongoing measurement of energy usage and carbon emissions across the organization will greatly aid the company's ability to comply with future regulations and schemes in an effective and timely manner.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
Forests	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Denmark
☑ Germany
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Development and/or expansion of low-emissions printed media products (e.g., recycled paper, energy efficient printing, on-demand printing) driven by stakeholder interest in sustainability performance, as well as the strategic transition to digital products whenever feasible, may lead to new revenue opportunities.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Increased Revenue: The introduction of a wider variety of print and digital products, enabled by sustainable practices and market demand for environmentally responsible content, may lead to increased revenue streams. Reduced Operating Expenses: The adoption of more efficient printers and equipment may result in lower energy consumption and reduced operating expenses, positively impacting cash flows and overall financial performance.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

News Corp identified a substantive environmental opportunity to improve sustainability and strengthen the supply chain by focusing on responsible sourcing and supplier engagement. To realize this opportunity, the company has established long-term contracts with suppliers of recycled paper as well as those certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). As a result, almost 100% of directly purchased paper from suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia used in printed publications is now sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by recognized bodies such as the FSC, Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), Canadian Standards Association (CSA), or an equivalent certification authority. In addition to responsible sourcing, the company is actively encouraging its suppliers to set greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets and to ultimately transition to 100% renewable energy use in their operations. These strategies are designed to promote a reliable and sustainable supply of materials, reduce the overall environmental impact of the company's operations, and support the broader transition to a low-carbon economy. By taking these steps, the company is not only working to mitigate environmental risks but also to enhance its brand reputation and operational resilience.

Forests

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp4

(3.6.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

☑ Timber products

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Belgium
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ New Zealand
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Thailand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Development and/or expansion of sustainable, recycled content and/or deforestation-free printed media products, driven by stakeholder interest in sustainability performance, as well as the strategic transition to digital products whenever feasible, may lead to new revenue opportunities.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

News Corp identified a substantive environmental opportunity to improve sustainability and strengthen the supply chain by focusing on responsible paper sourcing and supplier engagement. To realize this opportunity, the company has established long-term contracts with suppliers of recycled paper as well as those certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). As a result, almost 100% of directly purchased paper from suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia used in printed publications is now sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by recognized bodies such as the FSC, Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), Canadian Standards Association (CSA), or an equivalent certification authority. These strategies are designed to promote a reliable and sustainable supply of materials, reduce the overall environmental impact of the company's operations, and support the broader transition to a low-carbon economy. By taking these steps, the company is not only working to mitigate environmental risks but also to enhance its brand reputation and operational resilience.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ India

☑ Italy

☑ Spain

☑ Brazil

☑ Canada

☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Denmark
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Development and/or expansion of low-emissions printed media products (e.g., recycled paper, energy efficient printing, on-demand printing) driven by stakeholder interest in sustainability performance, as well as the strategic transition to digital products whenever feasible, may lead to new revenue opportunities.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Increased Revenue: The introduction of a wider variety of print and digital products, enabled by sustainable practices and market demand for environmentally responsible content, may lead to increased revenue streams. Reduced Operating Expenses: The adoption of more efficient printers and equipment may result in lower energy consumption and reduced operating expenses, positively impacting cash flows and overall financial performance.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

News Corp identified a substantive environmental opportunity to improve sustainability and strengthen the supply chain by focusing on responsible sourcing and supplier engagement. To realize this opportunity, the company has established long-term contracts with suppliers of recycled paper as well as those certified by the

Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). As a result, almost 100% of directly purchased paper from suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia used in printed publications is now sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by recognized bodies such as the FSC, Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), Canadian Standards Association (CSA), or an equivalent certification authority. In addition to responsible sourcing, the company is actively encouraging its suppliers to set greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets and to ultimately transition to 100% renewable energy use in their operations. These strategies are designed to promote a reliable and sustainable supply of materials, reduce the overall environmental impact of the company's operations, and support the broader transition to a low-carbon economy. By taking these steps, the company is not only working to mitigate environmental risks but also to enhance its brand reputation and operational resilience.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany

☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Denmark
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Development and/or expansion of low-emissions printed media products (e.g., recycled paper, energy efficient printing, on-demand printing) driven by stakeholder interest in sustainability performance, as well as the strategic transition to digital products whenever feasible, may lead to new revenue opportunities.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Medium-term
☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Increased Revenue: The introduction of a wider variety of print and digital products, enabled by sustainable practices and market demand for environmentally responsible content, may lead to increased revenue streams. Reduced Operating Expenses: The adoption of more efficient printers and equipment may result in lower energy consumption and reduced operating expenses, positively impacting cash flows and overall financial performance.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

News Corp identified a substantive environmental opportunity to improve sustainability and strengthen the supply chain by focusing on responsible sourcing and supplier engagement. To realize this opportunity, the company has established long-term contracts with suppliers of recycled paper as well as those certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). As a result, almost 100% of directly purchased paper from suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia used in printed publications is now sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by recognized bodies such as the FSC, Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), Canadian Standards Association (CSA), or an equivalent certification authority. In addition to responsible sourcing, the company is actively encouraging its suppliers to set greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets and to ultimately transition to 100% renewable energy use in their operations. These strategies are designed to promote a reliable and sustainable supply of materials, reduce the overall environmental

impact of the company's operations, and support the broader transition to a low-carbon economy. By taking these steps, the company is not only working to mitigate environmental risks but also to enhance its brand reputation and operational resilience.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Denmark
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia

☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

By dedicating more resources to develop specialized climate-related content, as well as reporting on climate-related news and extreme weather events, News Corp may engage and expand its audience, leading to subscription growth and increased advertising revenue.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets Increased revenue resulting from direct payments from downstream companies

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Medium-term
☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Increased Revenue: Growth in advertising on print and media platforms, along with an increase in subscriptions may result in higher revenue. These gains may partly be attributable to the company's focus on sustainable operations and responsible content, which could enhance brand reputation and attract environmentally conscious advertisers and subscribers.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

During the reporting year, the organization identified a significant environmental opportunity through the expansion of its B2B Energy & Renewables product content areas. These offerings provide clients with comprehensive energy and sustainability news, data and analytics, carbon credit market news, sustainable investing content, and real-time news alerts related to energy and climate. By developing and delivering these specialized products, the company is working to meet the growing demand for timely and actionable information on sustainability and climate-related topics. To more fully realize this opportunity in the near-term, the company may implement systems to monitor customer usage and track revenue generated by its sustainability-related products and news offerings. This approach would help News Corp to better understand customer needs, refine our product offerings, and identify new areas for growth.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Denmark
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

By dedicating more resources to develop specialized climate-related content, as well as reporting on climate-related news and extreme weather events, News Corp may engage and expand its audience, leading to subscription growth and increased advertising revenue.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets Increased revenue resulting from direct payments from downstream companies

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Medium-term
☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Increased Revenue: Growth in advertising on print and media platforms, along with an increase in subscriptions may result in higher revenue. These gains may partly be attributable to the company's focus on sustainable operations and responsible content, which could enhance brand reputation and attract environmentally conscious advertisers and subscribers.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

During the reporting year, the organization identified a significant environmental opportunity through the expansion of its B2B Energy & Renewables product content areas. These offerings provide clients with comprehensive energy and sustainability news, data and analytics, carbon credit market news, sustainable investing content, and real-time news alerts related to energy and climate. By developing and delivering these specialized products, the company is working to meet the growing demand for timely and actionable information on sustainability and climate-related topics. To more fully realize this opportunity in the near-term, the company may implement systems to monitor customer usage and track revenue generated by its sustainability-related products and news offerings. This approach would help News Corp to better understand customer needs, refine our product offerings, and identify new areas for growth.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

☑ Other markets opportunity, please specify :Resilience and Resource Efficiency

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Denmark
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

More efficient production and warehousing processes, transportation and distribution processes, and improved efficiency in buildings and digital production (e.g., use of GenAI, coding efficiency) may lead to reduced operating costs. Energy procurement practices that hedge long-term operational costs may further reduce vulnerability to energy pricing volatility.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Reduced indirect (operating) costs Returns on investment in low-emission technology

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Reduced Operating Costs: Improved energy efficiency across production and distribution processes may lead to lower operating costs associated with product development. Income Tax Benefit: The company may realize income tax benefits in select jurisdictions as a result of qualifying for incentives or deductions related to energy efficiency initiatives.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

During the reporting year, the company identified substantive environmental opportunities related to optimizing its physical infrastructure and embracing digital transformation. Active and future plans are in place to right-size or retrofit facilities as needed, with a strong emphasis on incorporating energy efficiency measures in any new construction projects. These improvements include downsizing physical space where appropriate, implementing more optimized sorting systems, and enhancing logistics for warehouse management and distribution. Such measures are designed to reduce energy consumption, lower operating costs, and decrease the company's overall environmental footprint. In addition, the organization is planning to transfer some of its physical data centers to digital alternatives, aligning with the broader business strategy of digital transformation. By migrating to cloud-based or other digital solutions, the organization aims to significantly reduce its physical footprint, decrease energy usage, and improve operational efficiency. We believe that together, these strategies position News Corp to achieve meaningful environmental benefits while supporting long-term business resilience and growth.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

☑ Other markets opportunity, please specify :Resilience and Resource Efficiency

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Denmark
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

More efficient production and warehousing processes, transportation and distribution processes, and improved efficiency in buildings and digital production (e.g., use of GenAI, coding efficiency) may lead to reduced operating costs. Energy procurement practices that hedge long-term operational costs may further reduce vulnerability to energy pricing volatility.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Reduced indirect (operating) costs Returns on investment in low-emission technology

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Reduced Operating Costs: Improved energy efficiency across production and distribution processes may lead to lower operating costs associated with product development. Income Tax Benefit: The company may realize income tax benefits in select jurisdictions as a result of qualifying for incentives or deductions related to energy efficiency initiatives.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

During the reporting year, the company identified substantive environmental opportunities related to optimizing its physical infrastructure and embracing digital transformation. Active and future plans are in place to right-size or retrofit facilities as needed, with a strong emphasis on incorporating energy efficiency measures in any new construction projects. These improvements include downsizing physical space where appropriate, implementing more optimized sorting systems, and enhancing logistics for warehouse management and distribution. Such measures are designed to reduce energy consumption, lower operating costs, and decrease the company's overall environmental footprint. In addition, the organization is planning to transfer some of its physical data centers to digital alternatives, aligning with the broader business strategy of digital transformation. By migrating to cloud-based or other digital solutions, the organization aims to significantly reduce its physical footprint, decrease energy usage, and improve operational efficiency. We believe that together, these strategies position News Corp to achieve meaningful environmental benefits while supporting long-term business resilience and growth.

Forests

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Spain

☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Denmark
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany
☑ Romania
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Development and/or expansion of sustainable, recycled content and/or deforestation-free printed media products, driven by stakeholder interest in sustainability performance, as well as the strategic transition to digital products whenever feasible, may lead to new revenue opportunities.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services. Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

News Corp identified a substantive environmental opportunity to improve sustainability and strengthen the supply chain by focusing on responsible paper sourcing and supplier engagement. To realize this opportunity, the company has established long-term contracts with suppliers of recycled paper as well as those certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). As a result, almost 100% of directly purchased paper from suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and

Australia used in printed publications is now sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by recognized bodies such as the FSC, Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), Canadian Standards Association (CSA), or an equivalent certification authority. These strategies are designed to promote a reliable and sustainable supply of materials, reduce the overall environmental impact of the company's operations, and support the broader transition to a low-carbon economy. By taking these steps, the company is not only working to mitigate environmental risks but also to enhance its brand reputation and operational resilience.

Forests

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Kenya
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Israel
☑ Germany
☑ Romania

☑ Sweden
☑ Turkey
☑ Denmark
☑ Thailand
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Philippines
☑ South Africa
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Papua New Guinea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Development and/or expansion of sustainable, recycled content and/or deforestation-free printed media products, driven by stakeholder interest in sustainability performance, as well as the strategic transition to digital products whenever feasible, may lead to new revenue opportunities.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply
☑ Medium-term
☑ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:
☑ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:
☑ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

The anticipated effect of this opportunity on the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the company in selected future time horizons may include: Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services. Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:
☑ No

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

While we are eager to grow our businesses through new opportunities, we have not quantified the exact cost to realize this specific environmental opportunity. Cost calculation not available at this time

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

News Corp identified a substantive environmental opportunity to improve sustainability and strengthen the supply chain by focusing on responsible paper sourcing and supplier engagement. To realize this opportunity, the company has established long-term contracts with suppliers of recycled paper as well as those certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). As a result, almost 100% of directly purchased paper from suppliers in the United States, United Kingdom, Europe and Australia used in printed publications is now sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by recognized bodies such as the FSC, Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forestry Initiative (SFI), Canadian Standards Association (CSA), or an equivalent certification authority. These strategies are designed to promote a reliable and sustainable supply of materials, reduce the overall environmental impact of the company's operations, and support the broader transition to a low-carbon economy. By taking these steps, the company is not only working to mitigate environmental risks but also to enhance its brand reputation and operational resilience.
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Non-executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

The Company maintains a Corporate Diversity Statement, which describes our efforts to foster an environment where all employees feel valued, included and motivated to bring great ideas to the table. Specifically, such efforts focus on: across our workforce, reflecting the diverse audiences we serve; fostering an environment that embraces and values all perspectives and experiences and provides equal opportunities for growth and advancement; and engaging with our readers, audiences and customers in ways that reflect and respect a rich diversity of viewpoints. Further, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of

the Board seeks to promote, through the nominations process, diversity on the Board across a range of dimensions, including with respect to professional background, experience, expertise, perspective, and viewpoint.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

Corporate Diversity Statement - News Corp.pdf
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Forests	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Other, please specify	*Water data collection strategy is currently in development.*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Not an immediate strategic priority	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board of Directors' Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (NCG) is responsible for reviewing and overseeing sustainability matters, which may include climate change matters as appropriate. This committee reviews and assesses climate-related risks, opportunities, and strategies. The Board of Directors receives updates on such matters. The Chief Human Resources Officer (CHRO) is tasked with integrating climate-related issues into company strategy and monitoring progress against climate-related targets, reporting to the CEO and the Board on these matters. The CHRO and/or their team provides regular updates on ESG matters to the Committee, specifically, on News Corp's Global Environmental Initiative (GEI), which is responsible for overseeing the day to day implementation

and management of relevant climate change programs, such that progress towards climate goals is monitored at the highest levels. The Compensation Committee also plays a role by considering management's performance on ESG goals, which may include climate and environmental targets, when determining deductions to the qualitative portion of annual cash incentives for executive officers, thereby reinforcing accountability for climate-related performance. These mechanisms are designed to systematically integrate climate change and other environmental issues into News Corp's governance, with oversight and accountability embedded at multiple levels, and with designated processes for monitoring progress and making strategic decisions in response to climate-related challenges and opportunities.

Forests

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Audit Committee Charter, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
☑ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan
☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Forest-related risks are overseen by the Board as part of its oversight of climate-related risks, as appropriate. The Board of Directors' Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (NCG) is responsible for reviewing and overseeing sustainability/ESG matters, which may include climate change matters as appropriate. This committee reviews and assesses forest-related risks, opportunities, and strategies. The Board of Directors receives updates on such matters. The Chief Human Resources Officer (CHRO) is tasked with integrating climate-related issues into company strategy and monitoring progress against climate-related targets, reporting to the CEO and the Board on these matters. The CHRO and/or her team provides regular updates on ESG matters to the Committee, specifically, on News Corp's Global Environmental Initiative (GEI), which is responsible for overseeing the day to day implementation and management of relevant forest programs, such that progress towards forest goals is monitored at the highest levels. The Compensation Committee also plays a role by considering management's performance on ESG goals, which may include forest and environmental targets, when determining deductions to the qualitative portion of annual cash incentives for executive officers, thereby reinforcing accountability for climate-related performance. The Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing the Company's policies and practices with respect to risk assessment and management, which may include forest-related risk, as appropriate. These mechanisms are designed to systematically integrate forest and other environmental issues into News Corp's governance, with oversight and accountability embedded at multiple levels, and with designated processes for monitoring progress and making strategic decisions in response to climate-related challenges and opportunities.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply
☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*
Forests	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Chief Human Resources Officer (CHRO) Environmental, Social, Governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Human Resources Officer, supported by the ESG Governance Committee and ESG Steering Committee, oversees News Corp's environmental strategy and initiatives, and reports directly to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). Regular discussions between the CHRO and CEO are conducted on ESG matters, including our environmental progress and carbon footprint reduction efforts. In addition, the CHRO and her team present on these topics to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the News Corp Board. In addition, at the business unit level, executives are regularly informed and review the status of our environmental goals. For example, at News Corp Australia, quarterly meetings are conducted with the senior executives to report on that entity's goals and measures. The ESG

Governance Committee, composed of subject matter experts, and the ESG Steering Committee, which includes senior leadership, regularly review environmental issues, policies, and performance, generally receiving updates at least annually and additional reports as significant matters arise. These committees are informed through reports from the Global Director of ESG Initiatives and engagement with external experts, which are designed to help our teams remain current on emerging issues and best practices.

Forests

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Chief Human Resources Officer (CHRO) Environmental, Social, Governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☑ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Chief Human Resources Officer, supported by the ESG Governance Committee and ESG Steering Committee, oversees News Corp's environmental strategy and initiatives, and reports directly to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). Regular discussions between the CHRO and CEO are conducted on ESG matters, including our environmental progress and carbon footprint reduction efforts. In addition, the CHRO and her team present on these topics to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the News Corp Board. In addition, at the business unit level, executives are regularly informed and review the status of our environmental goals. For example, at News Corp Australia, quarterly meetings are conducted with the senior executives to report on that entity's goals and measures. The ESG Governance Committee, composed of subject matter experts, and the ESG Steering Committee, which includes senior leadership, regularly review environmental issues, policies, and performance, generally receiving updates at least annually and additional reports as significant matters arise. These committees are informed through formal reports from the Global Director of ESG Initiatives and engagement with external experts, which are designed to help our teams remain current on emerging issues and best practices.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

33

(4.5.3) Please explain

Max ⅓ of annual cash incentive (qualitative portion). Performance on ethics and compliance and other ESG objectives directly impacts payout of individual qualitative portion of annual cash incentive awards as a negative-only adjustment. Fiscal 2025 Annual Cash Incentives: The Compensation Committee approved a framework for the Named Executive Officers' (NEOs) annual cash incentives for fiscal 2025 that included a mix of quantitative and qualitative factors designed to support the achievement of critical operating goals of the Company's businesses, while also recognizing and rewarding the NEOs' individual contributions. Annual cash incentive awards are based two-thirds onthe achievement of adjusted Total Segment EBITDA, and one-third on a qualitative assessment of individual performance. Adjusted Total Segment EBITDA was retained as the financial performance metric because it is a key measure of Company profitability for which the NEOs have direct responsibility.

Forests

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

33

(4.5.3) Please explain

Performance on ethics and compliance and other ESG objectives directly impacts payout of individual qualitative portion of annual cash incentive awards as a negative-only adjustment. Fiscal 2025 Annual Cash Incentives: The Compensation Committee approved a framework for the Named Executive Officers' (NEOs) annual cash incentives for fiscal 2025 that included a mix of quantitative and qualitative factors designed to support the achievement of critical operating goals of the Company's businesses, while also recognizing and rewarding the NEOs' individual contributions. Annual cash incentive awards are based two-thirds on the achievement of adjusted Total Segment EBITDA, and one-third on a qualitative assessment of individual performance. Adjusted Total Segment EBITDA was retained as the financial performance metric because it is a key measure of Company profitability for which the NEOs have direct responsibility.
[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

☑ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☑ Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

☑ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

Resource use and efficiency

☑ Energy efficiency improvement

Engagement

☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental goals and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.

Forests

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Engagement

☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental commitments and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

☑ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☑ Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

☑ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

Resource use and efficiency

☑ Energy efficiency improvement

Engagement

☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental goals and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in

determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Technology Officer (CTO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

☑ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☑ Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

☑ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

Resource use and efficiency

☑ Energy efficiency improvement

Engagement

☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental goals and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

☑ Other senior-mid manager, please specify :GC, CHRO

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets
☑ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction
☑ Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
☑ Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption

Resource use and efficiency
☑ Energy efficiency improvement

Engagement
☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:
☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental goals and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.

Forests

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Engagement

☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental commitments and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.

Forests

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Engagement

☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental commitments and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.

Forests

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

☑ Other senior-mid manager, please specify :GC, CHRO

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Engagement

☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental commitments and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.

Forests

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Technology Officer (CTO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Engagement

☑ Implementation of employee awareness campaign or training program on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The Compensation Committee of News Corp has integrated ESG objectives into the incentive compensation plans for executive officers. These incentives include both short-term and long-term plans. The short-term incentives are typically annual bonuses that are a percentage of the executive's salary, while long-term incentives include shares and other performance-based rewards. These incentives are designed to align the executives' performance with the company's environmental and sustainability goals.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

The inclusion of ESG objectives in the incentive plans is designed to support the executive team's focus on achieving the Company's environmental commitments and climate transition plans. By considering performance on specific environmental targets, such as reducing carbon emissions and increasing the use of renewable energy, in determinations regarding reductions to annual cash incentives, executives are motivated to prioritize sustainability in their strategic decision-making. This alignment is designed to help drive the Company towards its long-term goals of reducing its environmental impact and achieving net-zero emissions.
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Forests

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

News Corp has a comprehensive Global Environmental Initiative (GEI) that is focused on minimizing environmental impact, growing sustainably, and inspiring others to take action. The Global Head of Sustainability oversees a committee of leaders from each of our businesses. This committee is responsible for driving the program, setting business unit goals and strategies, managing local program implementation and overseeing policies in support of News Corp's environmental goals, such as reducing operational carbon emissions, working toward net-zero carbon emissions, and sourcing materials responsibly. These initiatives are supported by various policies, including a Global Waste Management Policy, Global Paper Sourcing Policy, and News Corp Environmental Policy, which guide the company's efforts in addressing environmental issues.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to a circular economy strategy

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

☑ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Forests-specific commitments

☑ Commitment to no deforestation, to no planting on peatlands, and to no exploitation (NDPE) by target date, please specify

Additional references/Descriptions

☑ Description of environmental requirements for procurement

☑ Description of renewable electricity procurement practices

☑ Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Global-Paper-Sourcing-Policy-Oct-2024-FINAL.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Feb2025SOBC.pdf

Row 3

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Global-Waste-Management-Policy-Oct-2024-FINAL (1).pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply
☑ Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)
☑ Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Community Member
☑ Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

1. Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Role: News Corp uses the GRI Standards as a foundational framework for its ESG reporting. The company's annual ESG Report is prepared in accordance with the GRI Standards, ensuring transparency, comparability, and comprehensiveness in its disclosures on material environmental, social, and governance topics. News Corp maps its disclosures to the relevant GRI indicators and provides a GRI Content Index in its report. 2. Task Force on Climate-

related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) Role: News Corp utilized the pillars of TCFD framework to guide our identification and management of climate-related risks and opportunities. We will be releasing our latest TCFD report, updated with our refreshed climate risk assessment, during the current fiscal year as part of reporting for California Senate Bill 261. 3. Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) [F only] Role: News Corp prioritizes sourcing paper and wood products from responsibly managed forests certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). The company's Global Paper Sourcing Policy requires suppliers to provide FSC-certified or equivalent materials, supporting sustainable forestry practices and biodiversity conservation.
[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers

☑ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☑ Mandatory government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

Federal Election Commission (ID: C00546101)

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

News Corp works with our partners internally and externally to align with our overall goal to reach net zero emissions across all 3 scopes of emissions by 2050, in accordance with the goals of the Paris Agreement. For example, in the lead up to COP26, News UK launched Times Earth, a multi-platform project for informative and constructive environmental journalism encompassing print, digital, audio and events. This formed part of the wider News UK sustainability mission to enhance our offering of constructive news about sustainability and the environment and to inspire audiences to take positive actions. Our objective was to deliver constructive content in a forward looking way, championing green innovations and offering clear recommendations and solutions for readers.
[Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Through our industry trade group the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Taskforce, comments on the European Deforestation Regulation were submitted. Additionally, News Corp advocated on a wide array of policy issues impacting the Company, including Generative AI issues, digital advertising, competition and antitrust policy, privacy, intellectual property and copyright, Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, first amendment, press freedom and journalist Safety.

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Forests

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental impacts and pressures

☑ Other environmental impacts and pressures, please specify

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Global

(4.11.1.6) Your organization’s position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Submitting written proposals/inquiries

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

News Corp has been sourcing certified or recycled paper as part of our responsible corporate citizen efforts since the Company’s inception, well before this regulation was in place.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization’s engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

Row 2

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Through our industry trade group the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Taskforce, comments on the European Deforestation Regulation were submitted. Additionally, News Corp advocated on a wide array of policy issues impacting the Company, including Generative AI issues, digital advertising, competition and antitrust policy, privacy, intellectual property and copyright, Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, first amendment, press freedom and journalist Safety.

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Forests

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental protection and management procedures

☑ Other environmental protection and management procedures, please specify

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Global

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Submitting written proposals/inquiries

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

News Corp has been sourcing certified or recycled paper as part of our responsible corporate citizen efforts since the Company's inception, well before this regulation was in place.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

Row 3

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Through our industry trade group the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Taskforce, comments on the European Deforestation Regulation were submitted. Additionally, News Corp advocated on a wide array of policy issues impacting the Company, including Generative AI issues, digital advertising, competition and antitrust policy, privacy, intellectual property and copyright, Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, first amendment, press freedom and journalist Safety.

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Forests

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental impacts and pressures

☑ Other environmental impacts and pressures, please specify

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Regional

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :All EU countries where News Corp operates.

(4.11.1.6) Your organization’s position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Submitting written proposals/inquiries

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

News Corp has been sourcing certified or recycled paper as part of our responsible corporate citizen efforts since the Company’s inception, well before this regulation was in place.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

Row 4

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Through our industry trade group the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Taskforce, comments on the European Deforestation Regulation were submitted. Additionally, News Corp advocated on a wide array of policy issues impacting the Company, including Generative AI issues, digital advertising, competition and antitrust policy, privacy, intellectual property and copyright, Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, first amendment, press freedom and journalist Safety.

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Forests

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental protection and management procedures

☑ Other environmental protection and management procedures, please specify

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Regional

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :All EU countries where News Corp operates.

(4.11.1.6) Your organization’s position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Submitting written proposals/inquiries

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

News Corp has been sourcing certified or recycled paper as part of our responsible corporate citizen efforts since the Company’s inception, well before this regulation was in place.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization’s engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

Row 5

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Through our industry trade group the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Taskforce, comments on the European Deforestation Regulation were submitted. Additionally, News Corp advocated on a wide array of policy issues impacting the Company, including Generative AI issues, digital advertising, competition and antitrust policy, privacy, intellectual property and copyright, Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, first amendment, press freedom and journalist Safety.

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Forests

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental impacts and pressures

☑ Other environmental impacts and pressures, please specify

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ National

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :All EU countries where News Corp operates.

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Submitting written proposals/inquiries

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

News Corp has been sourcing certified or recycled paper as part of our responsible corporate citizen efforts since the Company's inception, well before this regulation was in place.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

Row 6

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Through our industry trade group the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Taskforce, comments on the European Deforestation Regulation were submitted. Additionally, News Corp advocated on a wide array of policy issues impacting the Company, including Generative AI issues, digital advertising, competition and antitrust policy, privacy, intellectual property and copyright, Section 230 of the Communications Decency Act, first amendment, press freedom and journalist Safety.

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Forests

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental protection and management procedures

☑ Other environmental protection and management procedures, please specify

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ National

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :All EU countries where News Corp operates.

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Submitting written proposals/inquiries

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

News Corp has been sourcing certified or recycled paper as part of our responsible corporate citizen efforts since the Company's inception, well before this regulation was in place.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify

[Add row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Asia and Pacific

☑ Other trade association in Asia and Pacific, please specify :Australian Sustainable Business Group (ASBG)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization’s position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

ASBG is a proactive industry association that lobbies the Australian government for sensible policy on the environment, and this includes lobbying for progressive, sensible energy and climate change policy.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Asia and Pacific

☑ Other trade association in Asia and Pacific, please specify :ThinkNewsBrands part of the NewsMediaWorks Company

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

NewsMediaWorks is the organisation representing news media publishers in the Asia-Pacific region. NewsMediaWorks is an independent non-profit based in Sydney that was established in 2006 with stakeholders today being News Corp Australia, Nine, Bauer-Media, Seven West Media, and Australian Community Media. NewsMediaWorks promotes the unique power and value of print and digital news media. Sustainability issues are managed through their Environment Advisory Group.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

Row 3

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☑ Other trade association in Europe, please specify :European Publishers Council Sustainability Taskforce

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The European Publishers Council is a high level group of Chairmen and CEOs of leading European media corporations actively involved in multimedia markets spanning newspaper, magazine, book, journal, internet and online database publishers, and radio and TV broadcasting.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Paris Agreement

Row 4

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☑ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

☑ Other trade association in North America, please specify :News Media Alliance Environmental Committee

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☑ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The News/Media Alliance is a nonprofit organization headquartered in the Washington, D.C. area. For the last 100 years, the organization has grown through the combining of a range of news and media publishing associations, most recently including a merger with MPA-The Association of Magazine Media in 2022. Their work focuses on the key challenges and opportunities of today's media environment: Freedom of the press, public policy and legal matters, advertising growth, new revenue streams and audience development across all platforms. The News/Media Alliance is dedicated to working with their members, as well as other partner organizations, to advance the industry through advocacy, critical research and resources and events that connect and inspire.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply
☑ Paris Agreement
[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:
☑ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change
☑ Forests

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Underway - previous year attached

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Governance

☑ Emission targets

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Value chain engagement

☑ Dependencies & Impacts

☑ Content of environmental policies

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

News-Corp-ESG-2024_FINAL (1).pdf

Row 3

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

☑ TCFD

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Forests

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Underway - previous year attached

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Governance

☑ Emission targets

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Value chain engagement

☑ Dependencies & Impacts

☑ Content of environmental policies

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

S001 News Corp Holdings UK & Ireland.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 1500 characters]

Row 4

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

☑ GRI

☑ Other, please specify :SASB

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Forests

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Underway - previous year attached

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Governance

☑ Emission targets

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Value chain engagement

☑ Dependencies & Impacts

☑ Content of environmental policies

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

News-Corp-ESG-2024_FINAL (1).pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 1500 characters]

Row 6

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In other regulatory filings

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Forests

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Underway - previous year attached

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Governance

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Value chain engagement

☑ Dependencies & Impacts

☑ Content of environmental policies

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

News Corp 2024 10K.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 1500 characters]

Row 7

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Internal, publicly available standards of business conduct/environmental policy

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Forests

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Content of environmental policies

☑ Governance

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Strategy

☑ Value chain engagement

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Feb2025SOBC (1).pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 1500 characters]

Row 8

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In other regulatory filings

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Forests

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Underway - previous year attached

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Governance

☑ Strategy

☑ Emission targets

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

News Corp Proxy.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 1500 characters]
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Although News Corp has not conducted scenario analysis at the enterprise level, our Australian business units, NCA and REA, have conducted scenario analysis in alignment with disclosure requirements under the Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards. NCA conducted its first scenario analysis exercise in 2024, in alignment with ASRS requirements. REA conducted qualitative scenario analysis in 2023 and quantitative in 2024, which is being reviewed for the first year of ASRS reporting as well. News Corp plans to conduct additional scenario analysis where required by regulation.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

News Corp maintained a public decarbonization plan during the reporting period. However, as we have paused our fiscal 2030 targets and are in the process of restating the baseline year for our net zero by fiscal 2050 goal, News Corp has withdrawn the prior climate transition plan as we evaluate our targets and corresponding strategy. In line with our ongoing efforts to enhance our approach, we have conducted a comprehensive climate risk assessment and greenhouse gas assessment in the current fiscal year. We are actively working toward developing an updated climate transition plan and anticipate publishing it within the next two years. In the interim, our climate-related actions remain integrated into our broader environmental initiatives and operational processes.
[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:
☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply
☑ Products and services
☑ Upstream/downstream value chain
☑ Investment in R&D
☑ Operations
[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply
☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The environmental opportunities identified in our 2025 climate risk assessment may impact our strategy in the following ways in the short-/medium-/long-term time horizons: [O1] Potential enhanced competitive position and product differentiation through responding to shifts in stakeholder preferences [O1] Potential for improved reputation for using sustainable materials [O2] Potential for improved reach to new audiences including younger, environmentally conscious demographics and global audiences [O2] Potential for enhanced cross-brand collaboration on shared resources, technology, and expertise, leading to more comprehensive and diverse content.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The environmental risks identified in our 2025 climate risk assessment may impact our strategy in the following ways in the short-/medium-/long-term time horizons: [R4] Based on a shift to digital products and operations, our value chain may be further streamlined.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The environmental risks identified in our 2025 climate risk assessment may impact our strategy in the following ways in the short-/medium-/long-term time horizons: [R3] Potential opportunity cost to pursue higher value initiatives if compliance efforts are increasingly burdensome [R4] Shifts in strategic investments and workforce due to GenAI adoption and potential increased operating costs in medium-/long-term

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The environmental risks and opportunities identified in our 2025 climate risk assessment may impact our strategy in the following ways in the short-/medium-/long-term time horizons: [R1] Potential reputational damage from extended downtime [R1] Potential impacts to progress on decarbonizing [R3] Potential reputational damage associated with contract termination or legal challenges [R3] Potential impacts to progress on decarbonizing [R4] Long-range energy strategy and planning may be required to mitigate impacts of energy price volatility as operations shift to primarily digital [R4] Potential impacts to progress on decarbonizing [O1] Potential impact to progress toward decarbonizing [O2] Potential impacts to progress on decarbonizing [O3] Reputational benefits from acting as an efficiency leader in the industry through the digital transformation [O3] Potential impacts to ability to meet goals of decarbonizing
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Revenues

☑ Direct costs

☑ Indirect costs

☑ Capital expenditures

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Forests

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Our sustainability strategy, rooted in carbon measurement and mitigation, is designed to take advantage of opportunities to lower costs of inputs, work with partners to reduce costs and generate new streams of revenue, attract and retain top talent, and build a reputation as a sustainability leader to support business objectives. Our management structure and communication channels allow us to leverage local expertise and react quickly to changing dynamics such as changes in laws and regulations and natural disasters and extreme weather (which may occur with increasing frequency and intensity). Our company continues to increase investments in cloud computing as we become a more digital operation. We view our sustainability performance and strategy to realize climate-related opportunities as an advantage when engaging with our investment community, and we integrate information on our sustainability performance in meetings with our large institutional investors. We report on our efforts publicly in our annual News Corp-wide ESG Report, which contains indices aligned with the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB)

and The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). Further, we plan to report on climate-related risks and/or opportunities in our California Senate Bill 261 disclosures this fiscal year, as well as for the Australian Sustainability Reporting Standards for REA and News Corp Australia. News Corp works with subject matter experts from across the company to identify climate-related risks for the purposes of business continuity and risk mitigation. The company has identified opportunities to generate revenue through new product deliveries, deepen partnerships with customers and suppliers, and make more meaningful connections with customers.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

	Please explain
	Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Forests
Customers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Investors and shareholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Forests
Other value chain stakeholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Forests

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

For purposes of this CDP response, News Corp has defined "substantive" to mean noteworthy or caused by something other than mere chance, but does not necessarily include the concept of materiality within the meaning of applicable securities laws nor would it necessarily have meaningful financial impact. Substantial strategic impact would involve important, real and tangible risks that can be measured in our supply chain.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Unknown

Forests

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Dependence on ecosystem services/environmental assets

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

For purposes of this CDP response, News Corp has defined "substantive" to mean noteworthy or caused by something other than mere chance, but does not necessarily include the concept of materiality within the meaning of applicable securities laws nor would it necessarily have meaningful financial impact. Substantial strategic impact would involve important, real and tangible risks that can be measured in our supply chain.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☑ Unknown

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Procurement spend

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

At News Corp, we use procurement spend to prioritize supplier engagement on climate change because it allows us to focus on those suppliers whose goods and services could have the greatest influence on our value chain emissions and operational footprint. This approach targets our environmental efforts where we believe they can drive the most meaningful impact, particularly within our core business activities such as Dow Jones, Digital Real Estate Services, Book Publishing, and News Media. By engaging our largest suppliers, we aim to promote transparency, support responsible sourcing, and align our supply chain with evolving regulatory and stakeholder expectations.

Forests

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Paper suppliers in the U.S., UK, Europe, and Australia

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

News Corp has set a goal to source 100% of our publication paper in the U.S., U.K., Europe and Australia from certified sources by 2025. To achieve this goal, we assess paper suppliers in these jurisdictions. Our policies request relevant suppliers to provide verification and documentation that their paper supply is coming from a traceable source. Our request for proposal (RFP) process requires paper suppliers to certify that printing paper is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by independent, internationally recognized sustainable forestry certification bodies such as the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forest Initiative (SFI) or Canadian Standards Association (CSA)
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

As outlined in our Supplier Code of Conduct, we expect our suppliers to comply with all relevant environmental laws, maintain necessary permits, meet our product and packaging labeling requirements, follow our Global Paper Sourcing policy, and disclose greenhouse gas emissions when requested. We also encourage our suppliers to set targets for reducing emissions, use the Science Based Target initiative, transition to renewable energy, implement waste management programs, and eliminate single-use plastics where possible. Additionally, we ask our suppliers to encourage their own partners to adopt sustainable practices. If a supplier does not meet these expectations, they are expected to promptly notify our Global Sourcing team.

Forests

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

As outlined in our Supplier Code of Conduct, we expect our suppliers to comply with all relevant environmental laws, maintain necessary permits, meet our product and packaging labeling requirements, follow our Global Paper Sourcing policy, and disclose greenhouse gas emissions when requested. We also encourage our suppliers to set targets for reducing emissions, use the Science Based Target initiative, transition to renewable energy, implement waste management programs, and eliminate single-use plastics where possible. Additionally, we ask our suppliers to encourage their own partners to adopt sustainable practices. If a supplier does not meet these expectations, they are expected to promptly notify our Global Sourcing team.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Disclosure of GHG emissions to your organization (Scope 1 and 2)

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.12) Comment

News Corp's sustainability goals are to reduce our carbon footprint while growing our business, minimize waste within our business and communities, engage our customers, employees, suppliers and partners on sustainability outcomes, source our paper from certified sustainable material, and power our operations with clean energy where feasible. Engaging the value chain is an important part of the overall program, and guides the company's varied engagement actions.

Forests

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Compliance with an environmental certification, please specify :Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forest Initiative (SFI) or Canadian Standards Association (CSA).

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Certification

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :If a supplier does not meet these expectations, they are expected to promptly notify our Global Sourcing team.

(5.11.6.12) Comment

News Corp's sustainability goals are to reduce our carbon footprint while growing our business, minimize waste within our business and communities, engage our customers, employees, suppliers and partners on sustainability outcomes, source our paper from certified sustainable material, and power our operations with clean energy where feasible. Engaging the value chain is an important part of the overall program, and guides the company's varied engagement actions.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.12) Comment

News Corp's sustainability goals are to reduce our carbon footprint while growing our business, minimize waste within our business and communities, engage our customers, employees, suppliers and partners on sustainability outcomes, source our paper from certified sustainable material, and power our operations with clean energy where feasible. Engaging the value chain is an important part of the overall program, and guides the company's varied engagement actions.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Setting a low-carbon or renewable energy target

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.12) Comment

News Corp's sustainability goals are to reduce our carbon footprint while growing our business, minimize waste within our business and communities, engage our customers, employees, suppliers and partners on sustainability outcomes, source our paper from certified sustainable material, and power our operations with clean energy where feasible. Engaging the value chain is an important part of the overall program, and guides the company's varied engagement actions.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Waste and resource reduction and material circularity

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.12) Comment

News Corp's sustainability goals are to reduce our carbon footprint while growing our business, minimize waste within our business and communities, engage our customers, employees, suppliers and partners on sustainability outcomes, source our paper from certified sustainable material, and power our operations with clean energy where feasible. Engaging the value chain is an important part of the overall program, and guides the company's varied engagement actions.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Comply with all applicable environmental laws and regulations, including but not limited to maintaining all required environmental permits and regulations and related reporting requirements

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.12) Comment

News Corp's sustainability goals are to reduce our carbon footprint while growing our business, minimize waste within our business and communities, engage our customers, employees, suppliers and partners on sustainability outcomes, source our paper from certified sustainable material, and power our operations with clean energy where feasible. Engaging the value chain is an important part of the overall program, and guides the company's varied engagement actions.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Commitment to meeting News Corp's requirements regarding product and packaging labeling, including material content, recycling and disposal, where applicable and per guidelines provided by Business Units.

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.12) Comment

News Corp's sustainability goals are to reduce our carbon footprint while growing our business, minimize waste within our business and communities, engage our customers, employees, suppliers and partners on sustainability outcomes, source our paper from certified sustainable material, and power our operations with clean energy where feasible. Engaging the value chain is an important part of the overall program, and guides the company's varied engagement actions.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Engage their own suppliers to commit to sustainable actions to reduce their environmental impact.

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ 100%

(5.11.6.12) Comment

News Corp's sustainability goals are to reduce our carbon footprint while growing our business, minimize waste within our business and communities, engage our customers, employees, suppliers and partners on sustainability outcomes, source our paper from certified sustainable material, and power our operations with clean energy where feasible. Engaging the value chain is an important part of the overall program, and guides the company's varied engagement actions.
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:
☑ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection
☑ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers
☑ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply
☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

In 2022, News Corp became a member of the CDP Supply Chain program to help evaluate the carbon impact of our top suppliers. This year, we engaged approximately 450 of our top suppliers. The supplier-specific and industry-specific emission factors obtained from the suppliers that have responded have resulted in more accurate Scope 3 emission measurements. This increased transparency enables us to identify high-impact opportunities for collaboration, track progress on supplier climate initiatives, and promote best practices, ultimately supporting emissions reductions throughout our value chain.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Yes

Forests

(5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

☑ Timber products

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ No deforestation and/or conversion of other natural ecosystems

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Our policies request our suppliers to provide verification and documentation that their paper supply is coming from a traceable source. Our request for proposal (RFP) process requires paper suppliers to certify that printing paper is sourced from mills whose forest management practices are certified by independent, internationally Recent climate-related highlights and accomplishments include: recognized sustainable forestry certification bodies such as the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), Sustainable Forest Initiative (SFI) or Canadian Standards Association (CSA). This approach helps to minimize the risk of deforestation and the conversion of other natural ecosystems by promoting sustainable sourcing practices, supporting the preservation of biodiversity, and encouraging suppliers to maintain high environmental and ethical standards throughout their operations.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:
☑ Yes
[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:
☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing
☑ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
☑ Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services
☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration
☑ Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
☑ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
☑ Engage with stakeholders to advocate for policy or regulatory change

Other
☑ Other, please specify :Internal employee engagement programs to promote and implement sustainability initiatives Employee training programs focused on personal and organizational carbon footprint reduction

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We believe that meaningful global progress on sustainability cannot be achieved on our own and requires collaboration and engagement with a broad community of stakeholders. Our partnerships with industry groups, NGOs, suppliers, customers, and employees are grounded in a shared commitment to environmental stewardship and responsible business practices. By actively participating in organizations such as the News Media Alliance's Environmental Committee, the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Task Force, and ThinkNewsBrands, we are able to exchange knowledge, shape industry standards, and drive collective action on issues. Our collaboration with the Book Chain Project, for example, helps enable us to advance recycling solutions, promote responsible sourcing, and strengthen the sustainability of our supply chain. These relationships help us make informed, impactful decisions along the way. Our engagement extends across our business and into the communities we serve. We seek to empower our people through initiatives like Carbonauts training and our employee green teams, fostering a culture where sustainability is embedded in our daily operations. Our customer-facing programs, such as recycling partnerships and community events, invite our audiences to join us in making a positive difference. Through public awareness campaigns, charity collaborations, and ongoing dialogue with our partners, we amplify our impact and build trust with stakeholders around the world. By working together, we aim to advance our sustainability journey, driving innovation, and creating long-term value for our business, our communities, and the planet.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Our ongoing engagement with stakeholders has delivered tangible progress across our sustainability priorities, reinforcing the value of collaboration and shared purpose. Through partnerships with industry groups and NGOs, we have advanced recycling initiatives, improved supply chain transparency, and helped shape responsible sourcing standards. Notably, News Corp Australia entered an industry collaboration accredited by the federal government and administered by ThinkNewsBrands, a consortium of Australia's major national news publishing companies, with the aim to divert 100% of printed newspapers in Australia from landfill. Our participation in the Book Chain Project has enabled us to make more informed decisions about materials and suppliers, strengthening our commitment to ethical and sustainable publishing. Within our operations, Carbonauts training has empowered our employees to reduce personal carbon emissions and embed sustainability into everyday business practices. We measure the success of these engagements through a combination of quantitative and qualitative outcomes. Our recycling partnerships have expanded access for customers and increased the volume of materials diverted from waste streams. We track progress through regular reporting, stakeholder feedback, and the achievement of key milestones, such as the implementation of more energy efficient production processes.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Public-facing events and campaigns to raise awareness of environmental issues and promote sustainable behaviors Internal sustainability networks to coordinate and communicate environmental initiatives and events

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We believe that meaningful global progress on sustainability cannot be achieved on our own and requires collaboration and engagement with a broad community of stakeholders. Our partnerships with industry groups, NGOs, suppliers, customers, and employees are grounded in a shared commitment to environmental stewardship and responsible business practices. By actively participating in organizations such as the News Media Alliance's Environmental Committee, the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Task Force, and ThinkNewsBrands, we are able to exchange knowledge, shape industry standards, and drive collective action on issues. Our collaboration with the Book Chain Project, for example, helps enable us to advance recycling solutions, promote responsible sourcing, and strengthen the sustainability of our supply chain. These relationships help us make informed, impactful decisions along the way. Our engagement extends across our business and into the communities we serve. We seek to empower our people through initiatives like Carbonauts training and our employee green teams, fostering a culture where sustainability is embedded in our daily operations. Our customer-facing programs, such as recycling partnerships and community events, invite our audiences to join us in making a positive difference. Through public awareness campaigns, charity collaborations, and ongoing dialogue with our partners, we amplify our impact and build trust with stakeholders around the world. By working together, we aim to advance our sustainability journey, driving innovation, and creating long-term value for our business, our communities, and the planet.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Our ongoing engagement with stakeholders has delivered tangible progress across our sustainability priorities, reinforcing the value of collaboration and shared purpose. Through partnerships with industry groups and NGOs, we have advanced recycling initiatives, improved supply chain transparency, and helped shape responsible sourcing standards. Notably, News Corp Australia entered an industry collaboration accredited by the federal government and administered by ThinkNewsBrands, a consortium of Australia's major national news publishing companies, with the aim to divert 100% of printed newspapers in Australia from landfill. Our participation in the Book Chain Project has enabled us to make more informed decisions about materials and suppliers, strengthening our commitment to ethical and sustainable publishing. Within our operations, Carbonauts training has empowered our employees to reduce personal carbon emissions and embed sustainability into everyday business practices. We measure the success of these engagements through a combination of quantitative and qualitative outcomes. Our recycling partnerships have expanded access for customers and increased the volume of materials diverted from waste streams. We track progress through regular reporting, stakeholder feedback, and the achievement of key milestones, such as the implementation of more energy efficient production processes.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Ongoing sharing of sustainability lessons and best practices with business partners

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We believe that meaningful global progress on sustainability cannot be achieved on our own and requires collaboration and engagement with a broad community of stakeholders. Our partnerships with industry groups, NGOs, suppliers, customers, and employees are grounded in a shared commitment to environmental stewardship and responsible business practices. By actively participating in organizations such as the News Media Alliance's Environmental Committee, the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Task Force, and ThinkNewsBrands, we are able to exchange knowledge, shape industry standards, and drive collective action on issues. Our collaboration with the Book Chain Project, for example, helps enable us to advance recycling solutions, promote responsible sourcing, and strengthen the sustainability of our supply chain. These relationships help us make informed, impactful decisions along the way. Our engagement extends across our business and into the communities we serve. We seek to empower our people through initiatives like Carbonauts training and our employee green teams, fostering a culture where sustainability is embedded in our daily operations. Our customer-facing programs, such as recycling partnerships and community events, invite our audiences to join us in making a positive difference. Through public awareness campaigns, charity collaborations, and ongoing dialogue with our partners, we amplify our impact and build trust with stakeholders around the world. By working together, we aim to advance our sustainability journey, driving innovation, and creating long-term value for our business, our communities, and the planet.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Our ongoing engagement with stakeholders has delivered tangible progress across our sustainability priorities, reinforcing the value of collaboration and shared purpose. Through partnerships with industry groups and NGOs, we have advanced recycling initiatives, improved supply chain transparency, and helped shape responsible sourcing standards. Notably, News Corp Australia entered an industry collaboration accredited by the federal government and administered by ThinkNewsBrands, a consortium of Australia's major national news publishing companies, with the aim to divert 100% of printed newspapers in Australia from landfill. Our participation in the Book Chain Project has enabled us to make more informed decisions about materials and suppliers, strengthening our commitment to ethical and sustainable publishing. Within our operations, Carbonauts training has empowered our employees to reduce personal carbon emissions and embed sustainability into everyday business practices. We measure the success of these engagements through a combination of quantitative and qualitative outcomes. Our recycling partnerships have expanded access for customers and increased the volume of materials diverted from waste streams. We track progress through regular reporting, stakeholder feedback, and the achievement of key milestones, such as the implementation of more energy efficient production processes.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Active participation in industry alliances and committees to share best practices and drive sector-wide sustainability initiatives
Partnering with recycling and manufacturing organizations to develop circular economy solutions for print media waste

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We believe that meaningful global progress on sustainability cannot be achieved on our own and requires collaboration and engagement with a broad community of stakeholders. Our partnerships with industry groups, NGOs, suppliers, customers, and employees are grounded in a shared commitment to environmental stewardship and responsible business practices. By actively participating in organizations such as the News Media Alliance's Environmental Committee, the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Task Force, and ThinkNewsBrands, we are able to exchange knowledge, shape industry standards, and drive collective action on issues. Our collaboration with the Book Chain Project, for example, helps enable us to advance recycling solutions, promote responsible sourcing, and strengthen the sustainability of our supply chain. These relationships help us make informed, impactful decisions along the way. Our engagement extends across our business and into the communities we serve. We seek to empower our people through initiatives like Carbonauts training and our employee green teams, fostering a culture where sustainability is embedded in our daily operations. Our customer-facing programs, such as recycling partnerships and community events, invite our audiences to join us in making a positive difference. Through public awareness campaigns, charity collaborations, and ongoing dialogue with our partners, we amplify our impact and build trust with stakeholders around the world. By working together, we aim to advance our sustainability journey, driving innovation, and creating long-term value for our business, our communities, and the planet.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Our ongoing engagement with stakeholders has delivered tangible progress across our sustainability priorities, reinforcing the value of collaboration and shared purpose. Through partnerships with industry groups and NGOs, we have advanced recycling initiatives, improved supply chain transparency, and helped shape responsible sourcing standards. Notably, News Corp Australia entered an industry collaboration accredited by the federal government and administered by ThinkNewsBrands, a consortium of Australia's major national news publishing companies, with the aim to divert 100% of printed newspapers in Australia from landfill. Our participation in the Book Chain Project has enabled us to make more informed decisions about materials and suppliers, strengthening our commitment to ethical and sustainable publishing. Within our operations, Carbonauts training has empowered our employees to reduce personal carbon emissions and embed sustainability into everyday business practices. We measure the success of these engagements through a combination of quantitative and qualitative outcomes. Our recycling partnerships have expanded access for customers and increased the volume of materials diverted from waste streams. We track progress through regular reporting, stakeholder feedback, and the achievement of key milestones, such as the implementation of more energy efficient production processes.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Other

☑ Other, please specify :Collaboration with suppliers and industry peers to develop and implement sustainable supply chain standards

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We believe that meaningful global progress on sustainability cannot be achieved on our own and requires collaboration and engagement with a broad community of stakeholders. Our partnerships with industry groups, NGOs, suppliers, customers, and employees are grounded in a shared commitment to environmental stewardship and responsible business practices. By actively participating in organizations such as the News Media Alliance's Environmental Committee, the European Publishers Council's Sustainability Task Force, and ThinkNewsBrands, we are able to exchange knowledge, shape industry standards, and drive collective action on issues. Our collaboration with the Book Chain Project, for example, helps enable us to advance recycling solutions, promote responsible sourcing, and strengthen the sustainability of our supply chain. These relationships help us make informed, impactful decisions along the way. Our engagement extends across our business and into the communities we serve. We seek to empower our people through initiatives like Carbonauts training and our employee green teams, fostering a culture where sustainability is embedded in our daily operations. Our customer-facing programs, such as recycling partnerships and community events, invite our audiences to join us in making a positive difference. Through public awareness campaigns, charity collaborations, and ongoing dialogue with our partners, we amplify our impact and build trust with stakeholders around the world. By working together, we aim to advance our sustainability journey, driving innovation, and creating long-term value for our business, our communities, and the planet.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Our ongoing engagement with stakeholders has delivered tangible progress across our sustainability priorities, reinforcing the value of collaboration and shared purpose. Through partnerships with industry groups and NGOs, we have advanced recycling initiatives, improved supply chain transparency, and helped shape responsible sourcing standards. Notably, News Corp Australia entered an industry collaboration accredited by the federal government and administered by ThinkNewsBrands, a consortium of Australia's major national news publishing companies, with the aim to divert 100% of printed newspapers in Australia from landfill. Our participation in the Book Chain Project has enabled us to make more informed decisions about materials and suppliers, strengthening our commitment to ethical and sustainable publishing. Within our operations, Carbonauts training has empowered our employees to reduce personal carbon emissions and embed sustainability into everyday business practices. We measure the success of these engagements through a combination of quantitative and qualitative outcomes. Our recycling partnerships have expanded access for customers and increased the volume of materials diverted from waste streams. We track progress through regular reporting, stakeholder feedback, and the achievement of key milestones, such as the implementation of more energy efficient production processes.
[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

	Details of initiative	Please explain
Row 1	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*	*Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]*

[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

(5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:
☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

News Corp has not implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement as of this time. We recognize that collaborative environmental action with CDP Supply Chain members is an important aspect of our environmental responsibility, however, our primary focus currently is on the implementation of our own strategic initiatives and achieving our sustainability targets.
[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

News Corp has chosen to set its organizational boundaries according to the "operational control approach." Consistent with this approach, News Corp accounts for data from its locations (whether leased or owned) for which it has direct control over operations. In addition, if News Corp leased space is subleased out to a tenant, the subleased portion of space is not accounted for in the corporate inventory. As of April 2, 2025, News Corp completed the sale of Foxtel Group to DAZN Group Limited. As of FY26, News Corp has divested Foxtel from its portfolio. Our current and historical financial statements have accounted for this divestment accordingly. For the purposes of this CDP disclosure, which is reflective of 2024 data, our greenhouse gas emissions values for the reporting period do consider Scope 1-3 emissions associated with Foxtel Group. As part of our ongoing goal of transparency and accuracy in ESG reporting, we recognize that divestment activities undertaken during the reporting period are not currently reflected in our existing targets. These targets were established prior to the completion of divestment transactions and therefore do not account for the reduced operational footprint resulting from these strategic decisions. Looking ahead, we are actively evaluating whether to rebaseline our emissions inventory and targets to reflect the scope of our business following the Foxtel divestment, among other considerations. This process is designed to help ensure that our ESG goals remain both ambitious and relevant, aligning with the evolving structure of our organization. By recalibrating our emissions baseline, we aim to provide stakeholders with a clear and consistent framework for measuring progress, while maintaining the integrity of our reporting practices. We remain focused on continued improvement and plan to communicate any updates to our targets in future disclosures.

Forests

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

News Corp has chosen to set its organizational boundaries according to the "operational control approach." Consistent with this approach, News Corp accounts for data from its locations (whether leased or owned) for which it has direct control over operations. In addition, if News Corp leased space is subleased out to a tenant, the subleased portion of space is not accounted for in the corporate inventory. As of April 2, 2025, News Corp completed the sale of Foxtel Group to DAZN Group Limited. As of FY26, News Corp has divested Foxtel from its portfolio. Our current and historical financial statements have accounted for this divestment accordingly. For the purposes of this CDP disclosure, which is reflective of 2024 data, our greenhouse gas emissions values for the reporting period do consider Scope 1-3 emissions associated with Foxtel Group. As part of our ongoing goal of transparency and accuracy in ESG reporting, we recognize that divestment activities undertaken during the reporting period are not currently reflected in our existing targets. These targets were established prior to the completion of divestment transactions and therefore do not account for the reduced operational footprint resulting from these strategic decisions. Looking ahead, we are actively evaluating whether to rebaseline our emissions inventory and targets to reflect the scope of our business following the Foxtel divestment, among other considerations. This process is designed to help ensure that our ESG goals remain both ambitious and relevant, aligning with the evolving structure of our organization. By recalibrating our emissions baseline, we aim to provide stakeholders with a clear and consistent framework for measuring progress, while maintaining the integrity of our reporting practices. We remain focused on continued improvement and plan to communicate any updates to our targets in future disclosures.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

News Corp has chosen to set its organizational boundaries according to the "operational control approach." Consistent with this approach, News Corp accounts for data from its locations (whether leased or owned) for which it has direct control over operations. In addition, if News Corp leased space is subleased out to a tenant, the subleased portion of space is not accounted for in the corporate inventory. As of April 2, 2025, News Corp completed the sale of Foxtel Group to DAZN Group Limited. As of FY26, News Corp has divested Foxtel from its portfolio. Our current and historical financial statements have accounted for this divestment accordingly. For the purposes of this CDP disclosure, which is reflective of 2024 data, our greenhouse gas emissions values for the reporting period do consider Scope 1-3 emissions associated with Foxtel Group. As part of our ongoing goal of transparency and accuracy in ESG reporting, we recognize that divestment activities undertaken during the reporting period are not currently reflected in our existing targets. These targets were established prior to the completion of divestment transactions and therefore do not account for the reduced operational footprint resulting from these strategic decisions. Looking ahead, we are actively evaluating whether to rebaseline our emissions inventory and targets to reflect the scope of our business following the Foxtel divestment, among other considerations. This process is designed to help ensure that our ESG goals remain both ambitious and relevant, aligning with the evolving structure of our organization. By recalibrating our emissions baseline, we aim to provide stakeholders with a clear and consistent framework for measuring progress, while maintaining the integrity of our reporting practices. We remain focused on continued improvement and plan to communicate any updates to our targets in future disclosures.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Insufficient data available

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

There is insufficient data to disclose plastics information in the 2024 survey.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Insufficient data

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

There is insufficient data to disclose biodiversity information in the 2024 survey.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply
☑ Yes, a change in methodology
☑ Yes, a change in boundary

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

In fiscal 2024, in anticipation of mandatory reporting requirements, we implemented a new carbon accounting platform, Watershed, and made improvements to our carbon reporting methodology and updated our reporting boundary. In light of these changes, we are in the process of rebaselining and establishing our new base year and intend to reevaluate our current fiscal 2030 targets while retaining our goal to reach net zero by fiscal 2050.
[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:
☑ No, because we do not have the data yet and plan to recalculate next year

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Our base year emissions recalculation policy outlines how baseline emissions should be adjusted in response to organic growth or decline, mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, and methodological changes. For organic growth or decline, such as changes in production output, product mix, or the opening or closing of locations, no baseline adjustment is made. When a facility that existed during the base year is acquired, its base year emissions are added to the entity's baseline, using actual or back-cast data as needed; divestitures of such facilities require subtracting their emissions from the baseline. Acquisitions or divestitures of facilities that did not exist during the base year do not trigger adjustments. Transfers of operational control and increased ownership are treated as acquisitions or divestitures depending on the direction of the transfer. Methodological changes, such as improvements in quantification methods or the replacement of estimates with actual data, require baseline adjustments if they affect all years of the GHG inventory by more than the significance threshold of 5%. In such cases, all affected years, including the base year, are recalculated. We are in the process of reviewing our base year recalculation policy and updating our base year emissions calculations to reflect the impact of the Foxtel divestment.

(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation

Select from:
☑ No
[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☑ IEA CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance

☑ Australia - National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act

☑ US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

☑ Defra Environmental Reporting Guidelines: Including streamlined energy and carbon reporting guidance, 2019

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

News Corp is reporting both a location-based and market-based figure for Scope 2 emissions. The market-based figures do incorporate REGO green power purchases from supplier contracts in the UK (third party certified), plus a small amount of solar energy usage at multiple locations.
[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

	Methodological details
Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Scope 3: Other (upstream)	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*
Scope 3: Other (downstream)	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14858.27

(7.6.3) Methodological details

We include the assessment of GHGs associated with stationary combustion in company owned buildings or facilities, emissions of refrigerants, emissions of company-owned vehicles and aircrafts, as well as the backup generators. For fuel stationary combustion in buildings and facilities, we collect the data on fuel consumption for each building or shared workspace used by the company. The primary data on fuel consumption typically comes from the utility-bills and internal meter readings or landlord provided consumption. If primary activity data is not available, benchmarks for fuel consumption per floor area by building type and fuel type breakdown from Building Performance Database are applied as a secondary activity data to estimate consumption. The consumption data is then multiplied by

the relevant CO2e emission factor (EF) for that fuel. We use US EPA and DEFRA EFs for fuel combustion. Fugitive emissions from refrigerants are measured using the purchase data on refrigerant refills. We use a conservative assumption that all refrigerant refills are due to the refrigerant leakage. If purchase data is not available, refrigerant leakage is estimated based on building floor area using EPA HFC accounting tool. Refrigerant quantities are multiplied by their 100-year GWP from IPCC. Company-owned and company-operated vehicle combustion emissions are evaluated as Scope 1, while company-owned electric vehicle emissions are evaluated in Scope 2. This methodology collects fuel use data or vehicle class, distance traveled, and location data. Emissions are calculated by multiplying fuel use or distance by relevant emission factors coming from US EPA, DEFRA, and ecoinvent. Company-owned and company-operated aircraft emissions are calculated using flight records, aircraft make/model, and fuel consumption data. Emissions are calculated by multiplying fuel consumed by jet fuel emission factors from the US EPA. Backup generators or other stationary sources that are not otherwise used for regular building heating result in Scope 1 combustion emissions. This methodology collects fuel use data and calculate emissions by multiplying fuel consumption by the relevant emission factors for each fuel type from the US EPA EF Hub.
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

59902.59

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

67918.13

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Purchased or acquired electricity emissions are evaluated in Scope 2 consistent with GHG Protocol guidance. This methodology collects data on electricity consumption for each building used by the company. If consumption data is not available, benchmarks for electricity consumption per floor area are used as estimates. The consumption data is then multiplied by the relevant location-based CO2e emissions factors (EFs) for electricity generation. Renewable electricity purchases and clean energy programs are also considered. Purchased heat, steam, or cooling emissions are evaluated in Scope 2 consistent with GHG Protocol guidance. This methodology collects data on district heat, cooling, and steam consumption for each building used by the company. If consumption data is not available, benchmarks for district heat and steam consumption per floor area by country are used to estimate consumption. The consumption data is then multiplied by the relevant CO2e EF for heat and steam generation. Company-owned vehicle combustion emissions are evaluated as Scope 1, while company-owned electric vehicle emissions are evaluated in Scope 2. This methodology collects electricity use data or vehicle class, distance traveled, and location data. Emissions are calculated by multiplying electricity use or distance by relevant EFs, using representative data where necessary. For location-based electricity EFs we use the following sources: eGRID for the US, Canada National Inventory Report (1998-2020) for Canada, Australia National GHG Accounts Factors for Australia, IEA 2022 for all other countries, and ecoinvent 3.9.1. for each country where the grid data is not available from the aforementioned sources. Market-based method of estimating

Scope 2 electricity emissions is based on the same principles as the location-based approach, the difference is in the emissions factors (EFs). For market-based electricity EFs we use these sources: supplier-specific EFs following the data hierarchy in the GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance (Table 6.3), provided that the factors meet the Scope 2 Quality Criteria; Green-e residual EFs for the US grids, European Residual Mixes with CH4 and N2O emissions added from DEFRA for EU-based grids. Market-based EFs are default for Scope 2 electricity. Location-based EFs are used to calculate electricity emissions if no other market-based EFs are available, following the data hierarchy in the GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance (Table 6.3).
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

587234

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☑ Supplier-specific method
☑ Average data method
☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

15.32

(7.8.5) Please explain

For most purchased goods and services estimates, we calculate emissions using Watershed's CEDA database or EPA Environmentally Extended Economic Input Output (EEIO) emissions factors applied to annual supplier and procurement spend data. Spend is aggregated by each accounting category to get total spend. Each

accounting category is mapped to the most accurate EEIO category. We account for the inflation or deflation to convert the EFs to the US dollars value for the year of the activity. We use the industry-level price index data (2012-2021 and 2022) published by the US. Bureau of Economic Analysis to get sector-specific inflation and deflation values. Spend with select vendors are mapped to those vendors' unique revenue intensity estimates when complete and reported to the Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP). Total spend is multiplied by the EPA EF for that category or for that vendor to calculate CO2e emissions. To prevent double counting, supplier spend data that is accounted for under alternative scopes are removed from this analysis (e.g. electricity from facilities). For cloud computing emissions, we use either cloud usage data or spend data to estimate electricity consumed and calculate electricity emissions by applying regional EFs. We also use spend data to estimate the indirect emissions associated with the cloud vendor. For some physical goods where we have SKU data, BOMs are used to separate the SKU mass into individual commodities, which are multiplied by the total SKUs purchased to obtain the total mass per commodity per SKU. Mass is aggregated by each commodity to get total mass per commodity, and each commodity is mapped to the most accurate Emissions Factor(s). Emissions factors primarily come from ecoinvent and, in a few cases, publicly available scientific papers. We multiply total mass by the Emissions Factor(s) for that commodity to calculate CO2e emissions. It is noteworthy that the choice of market- vs. location-based electricity emissions will also affect this category in the case of cloud usage and spending. As for Scope 2, market-based emissions are a default.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1599

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier-specific method

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

We calculate emissions using Watershed's CEDA database or the EPA Environmentally Extended Economic Input Output (EEIO) emissions factors applied to annual supplier & procurement spend data. We account for the inflation or deflation to convert the EFs to the US dollars value for the year of the activity. We use the industry-level price index data (2012-2021 and 2022) published by the US. Bureau of Economic Analysis to get sector-specific inflation and deflation values. Spend is aggregated by each accounting category to get total spend. Each accounting category is mapped to the most accurate EEIO category. Spend with select vendors is mapped to those vendors' unique revenue intensity estimates when they have submitted complete reports to complete and reported to the Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP). Total spend is multiplied by the Emissions Factor for that category or for that vendor to calculate CO2e emissions. To prevent double counting, supplier spend data that is accounted for under alternative scopes are removed from this analysis. It is noteworthy that the choice of market- vs. location-based electricity emissions will also affect this category in the case of cloud usage and spend. As for Scope 2, market-based emissions are a default.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

19027

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier-specific method

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

We estimate fuel and energy related activities emissions for three categories: 1) Transmission and Distribution (T&D) - We estimate electricity lost to transmission and distribution. We apply regional grid loss rates from eGRID and Ecoinvent to estimate electricity lost in transmission and distribution, and apply the correct electricity emissions factor to estimate emissions. 2) Natural Gas Leakage - We use fugitive emissions data from chapter 4.2 of the 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas inventories. A tier 1 approach was taken to evaluate fugitive emissions from exploration, production, processing, and

transmission & storage of natural gas. Tier 1 was chosen as specific supply chain data was unavailable, and fugitive natural gas emissions are typically not significant for Watershed customers. 3) Upstream (well-to-tank or WTT) emissions- We calculate WTT emissions for stationary and mobile combustion, as well as WTT emissions for electricity production and electricity T&D loss. We use DEFRA EFs for WTT emissions. It is noteworthy that the choice of market- vs. location-based emissions in Scope 2 will also affect this category because electricity WTT and T&D loss emissions differ between the two methods. As for Scope 2, market-based emissions are a default.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

207996

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

1.94

(7.8.5) Please explain

We estimate emissions through two methods: 1) In cases where we only have spend, logistics expenses are aggregated by category to get total spend. Each logistics category is mapped to the most accurate sector category. We multiply total spend by the EF for that category. Spend-based EFs originate from Watershed's CEDA database or the EPA Environmentally Extended Economic Input Output (EEIO) emissions factors applied to annual supplier & procurement spend data. We exclude logistics categories that are accounted for separately. We account for the inflation or deflation to convert the EFs to the US dollars value for the year of the activity. We use the industry-level price index data (2012-2021 and 2022) published by the US. Bureau of Economic Analysis to get sector-specific inflation and deflation values. 2) Where we have available data on delivery distance and mass, we map the delivered goods to metric tons and multiply by distance traveled to get tonnes-km. We then choose the appropriate EF based on transportation method from EPA and DEFRA and multiply by tonnes-KM to get emissions.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2366

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

1) We estimate waste emissions by evaluating the number of employees working from each office location - this is assumed to match the number of employees that are actively commuting each day (see Scope 3.7). We use the CalRecycle benchmarks as an estimate for waste produced per employee per day. We multiply waste produced for each month by emissions factors for landfill and recycling. No waste estimate is included for work from home employees. We use emissions factors from DEFRA for landfill, composting, and recycling. We use emission factors from the USEPA EF Hub for landfill, composting, incineration, and digestion in the US. 2) Where waste other than employee-generated waste is expected to be relevant, we collect information on tonnage of waste disposal by waste type and treatment methods, total tonnage of waste disposal, or spend on waste disposal services.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

36751

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0.57

(7.8.5) Please explain

We estimate three emissions inputs for business travel. 1) Flights - We calculate the distance traveled by looking at flight routes and calculating the distance between airports. We calculate total emissions using Emissions Factors from DEFRA, grouped by category of flight (e.g. long haul, medium haul, short haul). When origin, destination, and mileage data is not available, we use spend on flights applied to the relevant EEIO emissions factor. 2) Hotels - We calculate the number of nights stayed at a hotel using the check-in and check-out dates, and apply a country specific emission factors (kg CO2e / room per night) from DEFRA. When this data is not available, we use spend on hotels applied to the relevant EEIO emissions factor. 3) For all other types of business travel (e.g. Uber, Trains), we calculate emissions using Watershed's CEDA database or the EPA Environmentally Extended Economic Input Output (EEIO) emissions factors applied to annual spend data. Spend is aggregated by each travel category to get total spend. Each accounting category is mapped to the most accurate EEIO category. For all EEIO EFs, we account for the inflation or deflation to convert the EFs to the US dollars value for the year of the activity. We use the industry-level price index data (2012-2021 and 2022) published by the US. Bureau of Economic Analysis to get sector-specific inflation and deflation values.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

34912

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

We estimate emissions in two categories. 1) Commute. We estimate the number of employees commuting in each location by aggregating employees by location. We exclude any remote employees, and exclude any months where employees were working from home due to COVID-19. We use data published by governments to estimate average commute mix and distance for each location, and apply that to the total number of commuting employees in each location to determine miles traveled by car, public transit, walking and biking (Example sources: US Census Bureau for US states, Euro State for select EU cities). We multiply miles by the emissions factor for that commute-method category. For commute, we use EFs from EPA EF Hub for cars and public transit, while for walking and biking, we assume that EFs are 0. 2) Remote work. We estimate that the square footage occupied by a home office is 150 square feet. We use the Department of Energy's Building Performance Database to find benchmarks for electricity consumption per square foot of residential space and natural gas per square foot of residential space. We then multiply energy usage by the corresponding region's electricity and natural gas emissions factors. Since the DoE's data set does not assume homes are being used non-stop during working hours, we adjust these estimates up to correct for this. It is noteworthy that the choice of market- vs. location-based electricity emissions will also affect this category for remote work electricity usage. As for Scope 2, market-based emissions are a default.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2663

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Asset-specific method

☑ Lessor-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

We estimate emissions from upstream leased assets in the following ways: 1) We use the same inputs as for Scope 1 and 2. Alternatively, the record of all leasing-related expenses during the measurement period, including account, currency, total spend, details (where available), vendor (where available). 2) For some leased assets such as shared co-working spaces, we have sq-ft estimates and then generate activity based EFs for electricity and natural gas then calculate emissions based on assumed activity. It is noteworthy that the choice of market- vs. location-based electricity emissions will also affect this category in the case of assets that utilize electricity. As for Scope 2, market-based emissions are a default.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

29003

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

☑ Investment-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

16.75

(7.8.5) Please explain

We estimate the emissions from corporate investments, specifically equity and debt investments. To determine the EFs, we use the input data on the currency, country, industry, and the annual revenue of the asset for the specified measurement period. We also determine the attribution factor of the asset using the outstanding amount and the asset value. We use spend-based EFs from Watershed's CEDA database or the EPA Environmentally Extended Economic Input Output (EEIO) or asset-specific EFs where available. For EEIO-based EFs, we account for inflation or deflation to convert the EFs to the US dollars value for the year of the activity. We use the industry-level price index data (2012-2021 and 2022) published by the US. Bureau of Economic Analysis to get sector-specific inflation and deflation values.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

News Corp FY2024 GHG Emissions Inventory_Verification Statement_August 2025.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

Rich text input [must be under 500 characters]

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ Corporate GHG verification guidelines from ERT

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

News Corp FY2024 GHG Emissions Inventory_Verification Statement_August 2025.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Rich text input [must be under 500 characters]

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ Corporate GHG verification guidelines from ERT

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

News Corp FY2024 GHG Emissions Inventory_Verification Statement_August 2025.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Rich text input [must be under 500 characters]

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ Corporate GHG verification guidelines from ERT

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Business travel

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

News Corp FY2024 GHG Emissions Inventory_Verification Statement_August 2025.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

Rich text input [must be under 500 characters]

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ Corporate GHG verification guidelines from ERT

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4175

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

35101.079

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

42921.96

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.435

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.602

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.217

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

50.744

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

80.638

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

80.638

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.432

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.647

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.439

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

82.745

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.086

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.086

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12.401

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

77.51

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

77.51

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.365

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.152

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.152

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9.691

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14.261

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.208

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13.793

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

111.73

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

219.696

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.913

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

88.589

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

88.589

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

52.153

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

994.636

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

994.636

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21.317

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

251.189

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

386.866

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.722

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32.503

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.113

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5.283

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

142.832

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

142.832

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.116

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.591

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.591

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.598

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.492

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.492

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3.654

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.639

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

31.558

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17.616

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.641

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.641

Papua New Guinea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.693

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.993

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.993

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3.131

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.177

Russian Federation

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.685

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.881

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.881

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.188

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.678

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.678

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23.095

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

70.355

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

116.401

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.54

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.85

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.131

United Arab Emirates

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.324

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.116

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.116

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

34844.161

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5331.224

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4349.284

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6873

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17422.377

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18254.455
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

☑ By activity

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

Row 1

(7.17.1.1) Business division

News Corp Australia

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

3617

Row 2

(7.17.1.1) Business division

HarperCollins

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

3315

Row 3

(7.17.1.1) Business division

New York Post

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

3105

Row 4

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Dow Jones

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

2817

Row 5

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Foxtel

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

307

Row 6

(7.17.1.1) Business division

REA Group

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

162

Row 7

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Move

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

160

Row 8

(7.17.1.1) Business division

News Corp - Corporate

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

245

Row 9

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Storyful

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

2

Row 10

(7.17.1.1) Business division

News UK

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1128
[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Emissions from stationary combustion*	*9313.439*
Row 2	*Emissions from mobile combustion*	*2514.354*
Row 3	*Emissions from fugitive emissions*	*3030.154*
Row 4	*Emissions from other activities (0% of scope 1)*	*0.324*

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☑ By business division

☑ By facility

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

Row 1

(7.20.1.1) Business division

News Corp Australia

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25307

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

32619

Row 2

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Dow Jones

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10321

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11558

Row 3

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Foxtel

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7709

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9063

Row 4

(7.20.1.1) Business division

News UK

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4258

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4699

Row 5

(7.20.1.1) Business division

HarperCollins

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4887

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3983

Row 6

(7.20.1.1) Business division

News Corp - Corporate

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2672

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2298

Row 7

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Move

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1234

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1475

Row 8

(7.20.1.1) Business division

New York Post

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1702

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1426

Row 9

(7.20.1.1) Business division

REA Group

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1800

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

779

Row 10

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Storyful

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19
[Add row]

(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.20.2.1) Facility

125 Granville Square

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

61.018

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

61.018

Row 2

(7.20.2.1) Facility

2 Carey St

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32.592

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40.615

Row 3

(7.20.2.1) Facility

22 Machinery Road

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.133

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.657

Row 4

(7.20.2.1) Facility

232 Mary St

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.229

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.531

Row 5

(7.20.2.1) Facility

3315 Scott Blvd, Suite 250

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

488.108

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

601.309

Row 6

(7.20.2.1) Facility

4/60 Union St

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.908

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.855

Row 7

(7.20.2.1) Facility

8/2 Bounty Close

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.229

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.531

Row 8

(7.20.2.1) Facility

81 FULCHER RD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

502.092

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

625.684

Row 9

(7.20.2.1) Facility

92 Fulcher Road

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.454

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

86.55

Row 10

(7.20.2.1) Facility

98 Nicholson Street

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.586

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

45.592

Row 11

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-ACT-PHS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.851

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

33.175

Row 12

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-2HH

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5334.977

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6354.899

Row 13

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-2HS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3643.56

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4340.123

Row 14

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-3YR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

399.464

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

475.832

Row 15

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-4BW

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3463.312

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4125.416

Row 16

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-ESS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

45.678

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

54.41

Row 17

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-KST

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

92.796

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 18

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-L11

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

122.679

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

146.133

Row 19

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-L12

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

64.307

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

76.601

Row 20

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-THD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3507.434

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4177.973

Row 21

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-U21

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

119.912

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

142.836

Row 22

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-U31

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

114.376

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

136.242

Row 23

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-U41

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

114.376

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

136.242

Row 24

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NSW-U71

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

83.487

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

99.447

Row 25

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-NT-1PP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

683.279

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1024.918

Row 26

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-1MP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

110.287

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

122.374

Row 27

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-2MS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.536

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.801

Row 28

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-2WS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.601

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

52.817

Row 29

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-3MR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.079

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

68.882

Row 30

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-4CS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1960.593

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2175.453

Row 31

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-5PR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4869.461

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5403.101

Row 32

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-6FS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1749.463

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1941.185

Row 33

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-S11

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.309

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29.192

Row 34

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-QLD-TIH

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.203

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.43

Row 35

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-SA-2RT

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1006.922

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3262.426

Row 36

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-SA-FUL

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.508

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 37

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-SA-KMH

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

424.847

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1376.506

Row 38

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-TAS-2SS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.319

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

56.157

Row 39

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-TAS-3ID

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

122.092

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

824.121

Row 40

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-TAS-4JS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.502

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.641

Row 41

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-TAS-L1S

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.098

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.664

Row 42

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-TAS-TTL

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.384

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.593

Row 43

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-VIC-1LM

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.556

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.076

Row 44

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-VIC-2PR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3549.306

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3639.162

Row 45

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-VIC-5CH

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

656.123

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 46

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-VIC-5DS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

619.105

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

634.778

Row 47

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-VIC-AST

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

258.239

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 48

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-VIC-HWT

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

825.9

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

846.809

Row 49

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-VIC-LST

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.87

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.17

Row 50

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-VIC-TMQ

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

107.952

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

110.684

Row 51

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-WA-3CA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.493

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.735

Row 52

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-WA-GFH

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.409

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

41.889

Row 53

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-AU-WA-GS1

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.872

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.389

Row 54

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-CN-BJ-RCB

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

64.286

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

64.286

Row 55

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-CN-HK-CPN

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

88.589

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

88.589

Row 56

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-CN-SH-TIS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.224

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.224

Row 57

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-IN-CH-MRC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.27

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.27

Row 58

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-IN-HR-B1T

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

107.052

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

107.052

Row 59

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-IN-KA-1FS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

265.833

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

265.833

Row 60

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-IN-MH-1FB

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37.148

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

37.148

Row 61

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-IN-MH-FFJ

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.615

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.615

Row 62

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-IN-UP-SFD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.41

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.41

Row 63

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-IN-WB-AB1

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.67

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.67

Row 64

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-JP-13-OFS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

142.832

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

142.832

Row 65

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-MY-14-JP1

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.591

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.591

Row 66

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-NZ-AUK-6AD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.435

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.435

Row 67

(7.20.2.1) Facility

APAC-SG-01-C1M

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.678

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.678

Row 68

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Balbriggan, Dublin

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 69

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Barnagh, Newcastle West, Limerick

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 70

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bava St. Section 39 Lot 23, Boroko NCD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

Row 71

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Bourbong St

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.229

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.531

Row 72

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Castletown, Co. Louth

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 73

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Clonleigh, Kinsale

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 74

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Co. Lough

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 75

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Coolsallagh, Cork

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 76

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Crockaunadreenagh, Rathcoole

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.535

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.433

Row 77

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Darnley Lodge, Co. Meath

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 78

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-AE-DU-B6O

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.116

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.116

Row 79

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-BE-BRU-6AD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.602

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.217

Row 80

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-BG-23-1CV

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.647

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.439

Row 81

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-DE-BE-HDB

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.202

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.195

Row 82

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-DE-HE-T13

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37.115

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

72.98

Row 83

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-DE-HH-V2F

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

68.412

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

134.521

Row 84

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-DK-83-H11

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.014

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

22.916

Row 85

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-DK-84-IHC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.866

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.652

Row 86

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-ES-CT-ADN

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

66.547

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

110.101

Row 87

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-ES-MD-AB8

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.808

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.3

Row 88

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-FR-IDF-1BH

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.429

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.505

Row 89

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-ABC-8BV

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.832

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.703

Row 90

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-BFS-CQ2

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30.26

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

56.961

Row 91

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-EDH-BSL

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.571

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.957

Row 92

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-EDU-1WR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1075.697

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 93

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-GLG-AUB

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.307

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.61

Row 94

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-GLG-NBP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

226.268

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 95

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-KIR-RDH

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.764

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.667

Row 96

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-LND-GIR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.482

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.498

Row 97

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-LND-TNB

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 98

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-GB-PTE-FHC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

58.814

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

110.68

Row 99

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IE-CO-BHS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32.968

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50.775

Row 100

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IE-CO-FLC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.855

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.018

Row 101

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IE-CO-MBP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.41

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

39.136

Row 102

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IE-D-HST

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.097

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.631

Row 103

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IE-D-MH4

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.46

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

125.46

Row 104

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IE-LH-8RR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.522

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30.067

Row 105

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IE-LK-MKP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

36.206

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.762

Row 106

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IT-25-VMN

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30.462

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

48.841

Row 107

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-IT-62-VDS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.041

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.272

Row 108

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-NL-NH-K31

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.639

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

31.558

Row 109

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-PL-14-D3S

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.2

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.177

Row 110

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-RU-MOS-GH7

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.881

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.881

Row 111

(7.20.2.1) Facility

EMEA-SE-AB-I4A

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.85

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.131

Row 112

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Geita Pl. Section 69 Lot 1, Gordons NCD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

Row 113

(7.20.2.1) Facility

High Street Ashbourne, Co. Meath

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 114

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Hilltop Mining, Michelstown, Cork

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 115

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kaubebe St Section 6 Lot 18, VaiVai Avenue & Kaubebe street, Boroko, NCD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

Row 116

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Kone warehouse Section 35 Lot 12, Granville, Lawes Road, Konedobu

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

Row 117

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lae Office Sect 1 Lot 13 3rd street Top Town Lae

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

Row 118

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Level 1, 69 East Street

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.189

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.728

Row 119

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Locon Solutions - Gurgaon A

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

534.394

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

534.394

Row 120

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mast, Mount Oriel, Collon, Co. Louth

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.933

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

24.377

Row 121

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MoC Adelaide - 183 Melbourne Street

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.843

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.543

Row 122

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MoC Brisbane - 37-41 O'Connell Terrace, A

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37.199

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

41.276

Row 123

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MoC Sydney - 1-15 Barr St, A

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.266

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.89

Row 124

(7.20.2.1) Facility

MoC Sydney 2

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14.335

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.18

Row 125

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Monatrea, Woodbine Hill, Cork

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 126

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mount Hillary

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.257

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.498

Row 127

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-CA-BC-1SW

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.354

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.354

Row 128

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-CA-ON-2AS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.732

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.732

Row 129

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-MX-CMX-TN9

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.492

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.492

Row 130

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-AZ-5NP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

225.036

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

249.34

Row 131

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-CA-2CS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

76.463

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

94.196

Row 132

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-CA-3RR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

91.637

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

112.889

Row 133

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-CA-5WB

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

38.738

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.723

Row 134

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-GA-LPP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

509.881

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

546.096

Row 135

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-IL-2WM

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

41.694

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

47.993

Row 136

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-IL-OSW

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.759

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

31.952

Row 137

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-IN-2EL

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

291.542

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

335.586

Row 138

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-IN-2NR

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

677.75

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

780.14

Row 139

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-MA-CPP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

967.008

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

971.79

Row 140

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-MD-WOP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

824.619

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

933.849

Row 141

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-MI-3SD

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

106.247

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

134.389

Row 142

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-MI-5WA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.493

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19.597

Row 143

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-MN-1WA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

24.367

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

27.762

Row 144

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-NJ-BKC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6824.635

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7728.634

Row 145

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-NY-195

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

739.72

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

668.55

Row 146

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-NY-3WA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

141.294

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

136.915

Row 147

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-NY-AOA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2406.258

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2031.741

Row 148

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-NY-CPF

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

75.677

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.401

Row 149

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-NY-TBX

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1626.646

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1362.775

Row 150

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-PA-PRU

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.676

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.813

Row 151

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-TN-5NP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

328.379

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

341.796

Row 152

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-TX-6S2

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

212.731

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

261.899

Row 153

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-TX-6S5

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

123.632

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

152.206

Row 154

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-TX-R7T

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

46.117

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

56.776

Row 155

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-TX-TAC

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.044

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.209

Row 156

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-WA-1CA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

100.219

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

109.55

Row 157

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-WA-FWP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

300.985

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

311.554

Row 158

(7.20.2.1) Facility

NA-US-WV-1GS

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.005

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.006

Row 159

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Newtown Quarters Section 50 Lot 7 Granville, Elenese Street Lawes Road

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

Row 160

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Nowen Hill

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

22.413

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.519

Row 161

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Patrick Street, Co. Meath

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 162

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Port lock St. Section 14 Lot 8 Granville, Portlock Street, Touguba Hill

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

Row 163

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Proptiger - Chennai A

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.408

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.408

Row 164

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Proptiger - Mumbai-Andheri A

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.835

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.835

Row 165

(7.20.2.1) Facility

REA Brisbane - 639 Wickham St, A

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

44.049

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.983

Row 166

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Radio Transmitter, Hollyhill, Cork

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.459

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.6

Row 167

(7.20.2.1) Facility

SA-BR-RJ-CQO

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.232

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.232

Row 168

(7.20.2.1) Facility

SA-BR-RJ-REE

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.757

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

69.757

Row 169

(7.20.2.1) Facility

SA-BR-SP-RAG

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.649

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.649

Row 170

(7.20.2.1) Facility

SA-CO-DC-2AP

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.59

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.59

Row 171

(7.20.2.1) Facility

SA-CO-DC-C6N

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.562

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.562

Row 172

(7.20.2.1) Facility

SPP Headquarter Office Section 37 Lot 3 Granville, Lawes Road Konedobu

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.874

Row 173

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Sheskin, Cork

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 174

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Sleaveen Road, Macroom, Cork

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 175

(7.20.2.1) Facility

St Bernard Place, Cork

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 176

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Suite 12A, 52 Macalister St

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.229

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.531

Row 177

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Suite 1605, Level 16 Deustche Bank Building 80 Jalan Imam Bonjol

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.497

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.497

Row 178

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Suite 507, The Modern, 48 Greys Ave

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.205

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.205

Row 179

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Tawnies Grove, Cork

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 180

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Three Rock, Dublin

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971

Row 181

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Unknown

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3666.72

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3666.72

Row 182

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Woodcock Hill, Old Cratloe Road, Limerick

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.126

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20.971
[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14858.27

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

59902.59

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

67918.13

(7.22.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

All other entities

(7.22.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

News Corp Australia

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Media

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3617

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25307

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

32619

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 2

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Dow Jones

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Media

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2817

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10321

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11558

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 3

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Foxtel

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Media

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

307

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7709

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9063

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 4

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

HarperCollins

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Print publishing

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3315

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4887

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3983

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 5

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

News UK

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Media

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1128

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4258

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4699

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 6

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

New York Post

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Media

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3105

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1702

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1426

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 7

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

News Corp - Corporate

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Media

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

245

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2672

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2298

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 8

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Move

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Real estate services

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

160

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1234

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1475

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 9

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

REA Group

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Real estate services

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

162

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1800

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

779

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

Row 10

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Storyful

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Media

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
[Add row]

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

	Major sources of emissions	Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made	Where published information has been used, please provide a reference
Row 1	*Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]*	*Rich text input [must be under 5000 characters]*	*Rich text input [must be under 5000 characters]*

[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☑ Managing the different emission factors of diverse and numerous geographies makes calculating total footprint difficult

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

	Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☑ Don't know

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

59487.7

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

59487.70

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

7725.13

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

130011.31

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

137736.44

Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

20.62

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

20.62

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1759.01

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1759.01

Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

126.51

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

126.51

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

0.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

7725.13

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

191405.15

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

199130.28
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

38.41

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

38.41

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

1.69

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

1.69

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

13376.94

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

429.7

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

46070.67

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

31167.63

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

14602.81

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

59487.7

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

31637.42

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

14602.81

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

1436

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

1436

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

31637.42

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

31637.42

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar, wind, hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6287

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:
☑ REGO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

54381.88

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

14179.06

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

68560.94

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30.93

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0.09

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2.78

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

33.80

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1047.91

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

97.48

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1145.39

Bulgaria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

38.81

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0.96

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2.65

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

42.42

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

455.23

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

270.88

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

726.11

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

130.97

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

25.17

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

156.14

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7.75

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0.72

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

8.47

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

219.15

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2.01

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

18.37

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

239.53

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

304.21

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1.36

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

26.94

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

332.51

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

137.22

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

14.54

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

151.76

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1353.06

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

104

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1457.06

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

865.87

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

41.77

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

907.64

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

103.78

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0.39

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

9.27

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

113.44

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

219.99

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

126.51

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

346.50

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2.52

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0.23

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2.75

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

31.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2.9

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

34.10

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

82.67

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0.51

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

7.18

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

90.36

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

21.24

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

69.78

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

91.02

Papua New Guinea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

15.12

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1.41

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

16.53

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

31.53

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2.18

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

4.81

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

38.52

Russian Federation

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

36.76

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

3.42

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

40.18

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

133.26

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

133.26

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

410.95

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

49.68

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

460.63

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

22.46

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

5.61

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1.64

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

29.71

United Arab Emirates

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

28.9

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2.69

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

31.59

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

22075.21

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

3.82

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

16564.55

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

38643.58

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

55487.16

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1759.01

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

18156.75

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

75402.92
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0000082079

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

82776

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:
☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

10085000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.9) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

Row 2

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

3.2846473334

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

82776

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:
☑ full time equivalent (FTE) employee

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

25201

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:
☑ Market-based

(7.45.9) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

	Metric numerator	Metric denominator (intensity metric only)	Please explain
Row 1	*Rich text input [must be under 50 characters]*	*Rich text input [must be under 50 characters]*	*Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]*

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

News Corp Target Validation Report.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

06/29/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

06/30/2016

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

06/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

65

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

14858

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

67918

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

82776.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ Yes, it covers land-related emissions only (e.g. FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

In 2020, News Corp signed SBTi's Pledge for Business Ambition for 1.5°C, which included committing to goals in line with the Paris Agreement's most stringent standards: 60% reduction of operational (Scopes 1 + 2) emissions by fiscal 2030, 20% reduction of Scope 3 emissions by fiscal 2030, and a long-term goal of achieving net zero emissions by fiscal 2050. These goals, measured against a fiscal 2016 base year, replaced our previous SBTi goal of reducing Scope 1 and 2 emissions 25% by 2025 — a goal we exceeded six years early, in fiscal 2019. News Corp then raised its ambition and set an SBTi-approved science-based target (aligned to 1.5 degrees C) to reduce absolute Scopes 1 & 2 GHG emissions 65% by fiscal 2030 from a fiscal 2016 base year. This target was reviewed, amended and approved in May 2023 by the SBTi after News Corp submitted its Net Zero Standard application to the SBTi. The target was adjusted from the previously approved (in 2020) 60% to 65% to adjust for the new FLAG requirements.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Achieving a 65% reduction in absolute Scopes 1 and 2 GHG emissions by FY2030 from a 2016 base year demonstrates News Corp's goal of addressing climate change and reducing its environmental footprint. This ambitious target supports global efforts to limit warming and aligns the company with leading sustainability practices and international frameworks.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

News Corp has made good progress in reducing our scopes 1 & 2 emissions; by fiscal 2023, the company reduced operational carbon emissions by 62%. Our continuing and increasing digitalization of our business and energy efficiency projects are key in reducing our operational carbon footprint. In fiscal 2024, in anticipation of mandatory reporting requirements, we made improvements to our carbon reporting methodology. We have also updated our emissions inventory following our divestment of Foxtel, in accordance with our processes and the GHG Protocol. In light of these changes, we are in the process of rebaselining and establishing a new base year, and will reevaluate our current fiscal 2030 targets while retaining our goal to reach net zero by fiscal 2050.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

News Corp Target Validation Report.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

06/29/2020

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3, Category 15 – Investments

☑ Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods

☑ Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel

☑ Scope 3, Category 7 – Employee commuting

☑ Scope 3, Category 8 - Upstream leased assets

☑ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services

☑ Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations

☑ Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

06/30/2021

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

06/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

25

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

587234

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1599

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

19027

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

207996

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2366

(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

36751

(7.53.1.65) Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

34912

(7.53.1.66) Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2663

(7.53.1.73) Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

29003

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

921551.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

921551.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

As part of its science-based emissions reduction goal under the SBTi, News Corp set a goal to reduce absolute Scope 3 GHG emissions 25% by fiscal 2030 from a fiscal 2021 base year, across all relevant categories: purchased goods and services, capital goods, fuel and energy related activities, upstream transportation and distribution, waste generated in operations, business travel, employee commuting and upstream leased assets. Downstream transportation and distribution (category 9 emissions are included in category 4 due to difficulty separating out upstream & downstream transportation vendors), processing of sold products, use of sold products, end-of-life treatment of sold products, downstream leased assets and franchises are not relevant to News Corp. This target was reviewed, amended and approved in May 2023 by the SBTi after News Corp submitted its Net Zero Standard application to the SBTi. The target was adjusted from the 20% previously approved (in 2020) to 25% to adjust for the new base year of fiscal 2021. We also signed the Business Ambition for 1.5C pledge to aim for net zero emissions across all 3 scopes by 2050.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Setting a goal of a 25% reduction in absolute Scope 3 GHG emissions by 2030 from a FY 2021 base year demonstrates News Corp's focus on addressing climate change and reducing its environmental footprint. This ambitious target supports global efforts to limit warming and aligns the company with leading sustainability practices and international frameworks.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

In 2021, we partnered with EDF's Climate Corps program to perform a deeper dive into our scope 3 emissions to develop more detailed data using supplier-specific emissions factors. In 2022 News Corp became a member of the CDP Supply Chain program to help further our engagement with suppliers and define more detailed supplier-specific emission factors which provide a higher quality of data. By fiscal 2023, News Corp has reduced Scope 3 emissions 10.6% from a fiscal 2021 base year. In fiscal 2024, in anticipation of mandatory reporting requirements, we made improvements to our carbon reporting methodology. We have also updated our emissions inventory following our divestment of Foxtel, in accordance with our processes and the GHG Protocol. In light of these changes, we are in the process of rebaselining and establishing a new base year, and will reevaluate our current fiscal 2030 targets while retaining our goal to reach net zero by fiscal 2050.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

Row 3

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 3

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

News Corp Target Validation Report.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

06/29/2020

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

06/30/2016

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

06/30/2050

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

95

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

14858

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

67918

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

82776.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ Yes, it covers land-related emissions only (e.g. FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

In 2020, News Corp signed SBTi's Pledge for Business Ambition for 1.5°C, which included committing to goals in line with the Paris Agreement's most stringent standards: 60% reduction of operational (Scopes 1 + 2) emissions by fiscal 2030, 20% reduction of Scope 3 emissions by fiscal 2030, and a long-term goal of achieving net zero emissions by fiscal 2050. These goals, measured against a fiscal 2016 base year, replaced our previous SBTi goal of reducing Scope 1 and 2 emissions 25% by 2025 — a goal we exceeded six years early, in fiscal 2019. News Corp then raised its ambition and set an SBTi-approved science-based target (aligned to 1.5 degrees C) to reduce absolute Scopes 1 & 2 GHG emissions 65% by fiscal 2030 from a fiscal 2016 base year. This target was reviewed, amended and approved in May 2023 by the SBTi after News Corp submitted its Net Zero Standard application to the SBTi. The target was adjusted from the previously approved (in 2020) 60% to 65% to adjust for the new FLAG requirements.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Achieving a 95% reduction in absolute Scopes 1 and 2 GHG emissions by fiscal 2050 demonstrates News Corp's focus on addressing climate change and reducing its environmental footprint. This ambitious target supports global efforts to limit warming and aligns the company with leading sustainability practices and international frameworks.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

News Corp has made good progress in reducing our Scopes 1 & 2 emissions; by FY23, News Corp reduced operational carbon emissions by 62% from our initial base year of FY16. Our continuing and increasing digitalization of our business and energy efficiency projects are key in reducing our operational carbon footprint.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

Row 4

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Abs 4

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

News Corp Target Validation Report.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☑ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

06/29/2020

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3, Category 15 – Investments
☑ Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods
☑ Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel
☑ Scope 3, Category 7 – Employee commuting
☑ Scope 3, Category 8 - Upstream leased assets
☑ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services
☑ Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations
☑ Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution
☑ Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

06/30/2021

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

06/30/2050

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

90

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

587234

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1599

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

19027

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

207996

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2366

(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

36751

(7.53.1.65) Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

34912

(7.53.1.66) Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2663

(7.53.1.73) Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

29003

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

921551.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

921551.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

As part of its science-based emissions reduction goal under the SBTi, News Corp set a goal to reduce absolute Scope 3 GHG emissions 25% by fiscal 2030 from a fiscal 2021 base year, across all relevant categories: purchased goods and services, capital goods, fuel and energy related activities, upstream transportation and distribution, waste generated in operations, business travel, employee commuting and upstream leased assets. Downstream transportation and distribution (category 9 emissions are included in category 4 due to difficulty separating out upstream & downstream transportation vendors), processing of sold products, use of sold products, end-of-life treatment of sold products, downstream leased assets and franchises are not relevant to News Corp. This target was reviewed, amended and approved in May 2023 by the SBTi after News Corp submitted its Net Zero Standard application to the SBTi. The target was adjusted from the previously approved (in 2020) 20% to 25% to adjust for the new base year of FY 2021. We also signed and committed to the Business Ambition for 1.5C pledge to have net zero emissions across all 3 scopes by 2050.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Achieving a 90% reduction in absolute Scope 3 GHG emissions by fiscal 2050 demonstrates News Corp's focus on addressing climate change and reducing its environmental footprint. This ambitious target supports global efforts to limit warming and aligns the company with leading sustainability practices and international frameworks.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

In 2021, we partnered with EDF's Climate Corps program to perform a deeper dive into our scope 3 emissions to develop more detailed data using supplier-specific emissions factors. In 2022 News Corp became members of the CDP Supply Chain program to help further our engagement with suppliers and define more detailed supplier-specific emission factors which provide a higher quality of data. By FY23, News Corp has reduced Scope 3 emissions 10.6% from a fiscal 2021 base year.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☑ Net-zero targets

☑ Other climate-related targets

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

06/30/2014

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

☑ Absolute

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Engagement with customers

☑ Other engagement with customers, please specify

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

06/30/2015

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

99.8

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2025

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

100

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

99.8

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

0.0000000000

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Rich text input [must be under 2400 characters]

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☑ Remove deforestation

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

News Corp has a target to source 100% of all News Corp publication paper directly purchased from the U.S., U.K., Europe and Australia from certified material by 2025 as part of our Global Paper Sourcing Policy to help reduce deforestation and thereby improve nature-based carbon removal.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

Setting a goal to source 100% of our directly purchased publication paper in the U.S., U.K., Europe and Australia from certified sources by calendar year end 2025, which is not within the current reporting period, demonstrates News Corp's focus on addressing climate change and reducing its environmental footprint. This ambitious target supports global efforts to limit warming and aligns the company with leading sustainability practices and international frameworks.

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

News Corp has made good progress in sourcing certified paper across our businesses globally, reaching a 99.8% certified rate in FY2024. Continuing efforts are planned with new and existing suppliers over the next year.
[Add row]

(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Row 1

(7.54.3.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ NZ1

(7.54.3.2) Date target was set

05/23/2023

(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

☑ Abs1

☑ Abs2

☑ Abs3

☑ Abs4

(7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

06/30/2050

(7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☑ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.54.3.7) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

News Corp Target Validation Report.pdf

(7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

☑ Scope 3

(7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

In fiscal 2024, News Corp's targets included a long-term target to reduce its carbon footprint to net zero by fiscal 2050 or earlier across all 3 reported scopes of emissions. In May 2023, the SBTi validated our Net Zero target via their new Net Zero Standard, making News Corp the first North America based media company to have its net-zero science-based target validated by the Science Based Target initiative. We signed and committed to the Business Ambition for 1.5C pledge to have net zero emissions by fiscal 2050, and we consider this a science-based target because it is in accordance with the IPCC & Paris Agreement's goal of 1.5C by 2050.

(7.54.3.11) Target objective

Setting a goal of net zero emissions across News Corp's value chain demonstrates our focus on addressing climate change and reducing its environmental footprint. This ambitious target supports global efforts to limit warming and aligns the company with leading sustainability practices and international frameworks.

(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, we plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization at the end of the target

(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target

News Corp has set a goal to achieve net zero greenhouse gas emissions by fiscal 2050. Our approach prioritizes emissions reductions across Scopes 1, 2, and 3, with neutralization reserved for residual emissions that remain at the target date. Planned efforts include: Ongoing investments in operational efficiency and renewable energy to drive emissions reductions. Continued engagement with suppliers and partners to reduce Scope 3 emissions across our value chain. Evaluation and selection of high-quality carbon credits or removals to neutralize any remaining emissions at the net zero target date, in line with recognized certification standards. The magnitude of emissions to be neutralized will reflect the residual emissions after all feasible decarbonization actions have been taken. News Corp will work to promote the integrity of our neutralization strategy by sourcing credits or removals from credible, third-party certified schemes.

(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

News Corp worked with stakeholders across business units to develop glide paths for achieving our net zero targets, tailored to the specific needs of each group. These glide paths were then circulated for review and approval within each business. We then set a consolidated net zero target at the News Corp level, which was subject to review and approval by the ESG Steering Committee. This process is designed to promote strong governance and alignment as we advance our climate goals.
[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☑ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
To be implemented	*1*	*1635*
Implemented	*3*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☑ Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1635

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

113581

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1760748

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ >30 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Lighting and HVAC upgrades in select locations. Also, in response to New York City's Local Law 88 legislation, News Corp has proactively replaced conventional lighting with high-efficiency LED bulbs and implemented Lutron Vive wireless controls throughout our facilities. These actions promote compliance with city-mandated energy standards, while also driving measurable reductions in electricity usage and greenhouse gas emissions. By aligning our building operations with LL88 requirements, we reinforce our focus on regulatory responsibility and sustainable business practices.

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1635

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Mandatory

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

113581

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1760748

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ >30 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Lighting and HVAC upgrades in select locations. Also, in response to New York City's Local Law 88 legislation, News Corp has proactively replaced conventional lighting with high-efficiency LED bulbs and implemented Lutron Vive wireless controls throughout our facilities. These actions promote compliance with city-mandated energy standards, while also driving measurable reductions in electricity usage and greenhouse gas emissions. By aligning our building operations with LL88 requirements, we reinforce our focus on regulatory responsibility and sustainable business practices.

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☑ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1635

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

113581

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1760748

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☑ >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☑ >30 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Lighting and HVAC upgrades in select locations. Also, in response to New York City's Local Law 88 legislation, News Corp has proactively replaced conventional lighting with high-efficiency LED bulbs and implemented Lutron Vive wireless controls throughout our facilities. These actions promote compliance with city-mandated energy standards, while also driving measurable reductions in electricity usage and greenhouse gas emissions. By aligning our building operations with LL88 requirements, we reinforce our focus on regulatory responsibility and sustainable business practices.

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☑ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1635

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Mandatory

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

113581

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1760748

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ >30 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Lighting and HVAC upgrades in select locations. Also, in response to New York City's Local Law 88 legislation, News Corp has proactively replaced conventional lighting with high-efficiency LED bulbs and implemented Lutron Vive wireless controls throughout our facilities. These actions promote compliance with city-mandated energy standards, while also driving measurable reductions in electricity usage and greenhouse gas emissions. By aligning our building operations with LL88 requirements, we reinforce our focus on regulatory responsibility and sustainable business practices.
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

In certain geographies where we operate, government regulations mandate a direct focus on energy efficiency, or at the least mandate reporting of emissions data, with rewards and incentives for reductions over time. In the UK, the company must report through the government's Streamlined Energy & Carbon Reporting (SECR) commitment, an annual requirement for eligible companies to report on emissions within Annual Reports. HarperCollins' Bishopsbriggs and Robroyston sites hold ISO 14001 accreditation, which means that an Impacts Register is held on environmental risks, including those relating to climate change, such as the prevention of release of ozone-harming substances. Our London office is part of The News Building which has been rated 4 out of 5 stars with an overall rating of 'Excellent' by BREEAM, the world's foremost environmental assessment method and rating system for buildings. In the U.S., New York City requires facilities to conduct energy audits and retrocommissioning work through NYC's local laws 84 & 87 to minimize energy use and carbon emissions. The new NYC local law 97 will require significant reductions in emissions over the next few years. We are also looking at energy efficiency improvements for printing plants in Australia, the United States, and the U.K., as those plants have the highest energy intensities of our plants globally.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Our business units have budgets to fund various energy efficiency investigations or projects. The funds have generated dozens of new energy efficiency ideas, many of which have already been implemented.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Reduction activities are supported through numerous employee-led initiatives. Through these local programs, employees are informed about the company's overall environmental priorities and encouraged and empowered to take action locally. Our businesses encourage employees to minimize their environmental impact and contribute to company goals through green teams; various Earth Day–related initiatives, including community cleanup events, sustainability speaker sessions and electronic waste recycling; and benefits such as News UK's partnership with Tusker, through which employees can use pre-tax salary toward the purchase of a new electric or hybrid car. This year, News UK, through its Sustainability Network, organized an employee beach cleanup and numerous staff environmental education sessions. News UK also set up energy-saving working groups at The News Building and Newsprinters and works with facilities provider CBRE to identify energy efficiency opportunities. News Corp Australia encourages and supports grassroots environmental initiatives spearheaded by staff -- demonstrating how small changes can add up to a big difference for the environment. News Corp Australia's Containers for a Cause program encourages employees to bring containers from home into the office to recycle in exchange for raising charitable funds for the Courier-Mail Children's Fund. More than 9,500 containers have been collected as of the end of FY 2025, with 10 cents per item donated in return. Over the past four years, hundreds of employees across News Corp businesses have participated in workshops with the Carbonauts, an educational and inspiring program on reducing one's personal carbon footprint through actions such as clean energy use, reducing driving and flying, composting and purchasing offsets.

Row 4

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Internal incentives/recognition programs

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Certain employees responsible for our energy, waste or water use have contributing to reduction targets as part of their performance review plan. Several employee engagement programs recognize and reward successful ideas for emissions reductions activities in the home and workplace.

Row 5

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Partnering with governments on technology development

(7.55.3.2) Comment

News Corp Australia was the first company to receive Energy Saving Certificates from the New South Wales government for software that reduces energy consumption by powering computers down when not in use. The company continued to look for new opportunities to use technology to reduce emissions. This year, News Corp Australia entered an industry collaboration accredited by the federal government and administered by ThinkNewsBrands, a consortium of Australia's major national news publishing companies, with a goal of diverting 100% of printed newspapers in Australia from landfill.
[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:
☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:
☑ Wind

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☑ Carbon removal

(7.79.1.3) Project description

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

10247

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

☑ Voluntary offsetting

(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

☑ Purchased

(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

☑ VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)

(7.79.1.14) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
[Add row]

C8. Environmental performance - Forests

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?

	Exclusion from disclosure
Timber products	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(8.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Timber products

(8.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify

(8.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

News Corp's sustainable paper sourcing applies to its major publishing businesses, and does not include: Non-publishing business units (e.g., digital real estate, ebook publishing, or other media segments); minor or non-core publishing operations that are not classified as "major"; any paper used outside of the major publishing businesses (such as packaging, office supplies, or marketing materials not related to core publishing).

(8.1.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Upstream value chain

(8.1.1.4) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

[Add row]

(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.

	Volume type	Sourced volume (metric tons)
Timber products	*Select all that apply* ☑ Sourced	*270072*

[Fixed row]

(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Grenada, MS

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

3117

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Thundery Bay, ON

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

1219

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Finland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Rauma/Tikkalantie

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

1708

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Gatineau Quebec

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

6312

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Quebec City

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

3485

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Riviere Duloup

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

11041

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Longview WA

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

8766

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Quebec

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

17034

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Kapuskasing, Ont.

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

1970

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

ST Jerome QB

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

2518

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Rothchild, WI

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Windsor QC

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

23

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Glens Fall, NY

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

435

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Chillicothie, OH

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

715

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Finland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Kouvola

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Spring Grove, PA

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

54

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Poland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Kostrzyn

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Sweden

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Hallstavik

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers
☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)
☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:
☑ Indonesia

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:
☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Jakarta

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

1344

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply
☑ Multiple contracted producers
☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)
☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Republic of Korea

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Gunsan, Chollabuk-do

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

2468

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Republic of Korea

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Gunsan, Chollabuk-do

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

2468

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Republic of Korea

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Jeollabuk-do

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

7688

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Australia

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Boyer, Tasmania

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

48510

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Finland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Lohja

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ China

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Changshu, Jiangsu

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Finland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Ruama

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Germany

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Augsburg

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Belgium

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Virton

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

469

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Norway

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Skogn

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

54837

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Norway

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Halden

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

4402

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Kings Lynn

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

50373

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Belgium

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Lanaken

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

105

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Finland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Lohja

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

1187

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Germany

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Hurth

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

1164

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)
☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:
☑ Finland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:
☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Tiilikantie

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

20705

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply
☑ Multiple contracted producers
☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)
☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Covington VA

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

46

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Wickleffe KY

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

173

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Finland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Sellutie

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

945

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Norfolk

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

25

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Canada

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

New Brunswick

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

24

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

Timber products

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

☑ Belgium

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

☑ States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Gent

(8.5.4) Volume sourced from country/area of origin (metric tons)

97

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

☑ Multiple contracted producers

☑ Contracted suppliers (processors)

☑ Contracted suppliers (manufacturers)

[Add row]

(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?

Timber products

(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

(8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

In the reporting year, News Corp did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target because we focused on efforts related to responsible sourcing through the use of certified and recycled materials in order to make progress on our priority strategy. News Corp's sustainability strategy focuses on increasing the proportion of Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC) certified materials and reducing overall paper consumption. While we believe these actions contribute to forest conservation, establishing a formal no-deforestation or no-conversion target was not identified as an immediate strategic priority during the reporting year.

(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have other targets related to this commodity

[Fixed row]

(8.7.2) Provide details of other targets related to your commodities, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target, and progress made against them.

Timber products

(8.7.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Target 1

(8.7.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide (including suppliers)

(8.7.2.5) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Third-party certification

☑ % of volume third-party certified

(8.7.2.7) Third-party certification scheme

Forest management unit/Producer certification

☑ FSC Controlled Wood certification

☑ FSC Forest Management certification

☑ Other forest management/producer certification, please specify :Canadian Standards Association (CSA)

Chain-of-custody certification

☑ FSC Recycled certification

☑ FSC Chain-of-Custody certification (any type)

☑ PEFC Recycled certification

☑ PEFC Chain-of-Custody (any type)

☑ SFI Chain-of-Custody – Percentage

☑ SFI Chain-of-Custody – Physical separation

(8.7.2.8) Date target was set

07/07/2013

(8.7.2.9) End date of base year

06/30/2014

(8.7.2.11) End date of target

06/30/2025

(8.7.2.12) Target year figure

100

(8.7.2.13) Reporting year figure

100

(8.7.2.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved

(8.7.2.16) Global environmental treaties/ initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☑ Sustainable Development Goals

(8.7.2.17) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Target Coverage: News Corp's sustainable paper sourcing target applies to its major publishing businesses. Specifically, the target is to source 100% of the paper used in these businesses from certified sources. The target covers all paper purchased for the production of newspapers, magazines, and other print publications r in the U.S., U.K., Europe and Australia under the major publishing divisions. Exclusions: The target does not cover all News Corp operations. It is limited to the company's major publishing businesses and does not include: Non-publishing business units (e.g., digital real estate, ebook publishing, or other media segments; minor or non-core publishing operations that are not classified as "major": any paper used outside of the major publishing businesses (such as packaging, office supplies, or marketing materials not related to core publishing).

(8.7.2.19) List the actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Engaging with Suppliers: News Corp worked closely with its suppliers to identify whether the paper they provided was certified by recognized sustainability standards (such as FSC, PEFC, or SFI) or was recycled. This engagement included communicating expectations and verifying compliance. Implementing Robust Procurement Policies: The company established procurement policies that required purchasing teams from our major publishing businesses to prioritize certified or recycled paper for all print materials in those businesses. Ongoing Monitoring and Verification: News Corp regularly tracked and took steps to verify the sources of paper used in its products, collect data from suppliers, and conduct internal reviews. Annual Reporting and Transparency: The company reported its progress annually in its ESG disclosures, which helped maintain accountability and transparency both internally and externally. Policy Updates and Improvement: News Corp has reviewed and updated its sourcing policies to align with evolving sustainability standards and to address certain identified gaps.
[Add row]

(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.

Timber products

(8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:
☑ Yes

(8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply
☑ Chain-of-custody certification
☑ Supplier engagement/communication
☑ Internal traceability system

(8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

Chain-of-custody certification: News Corp requires certain suppliers to provide third-party chain-of-custody certification (such as FSC, PEFC, or SFI). This certification is meant to verify that the fiber is tracked and kept separate from non-certified sources throughout the supply chain, providing traceability from the point of origin to the final product. Supplier engagement/communication: News Corp regularly communicates with suppliers to set expectations for sustainable sourcing, request documentation, and verify the origin and certification status of sourced fiber. This engagement includes ongoing dialogue, data collection, and clarification of requirements. Internal traceability system: Procurement and sustainability teams at News Corp are responsible for maintaining internal processes for collecting, reviewing, and verifying supplier documentation and certification records. This internal system helps to aggregate data, monitor compliance, and address any discrepancies or gaps in traceability.
[Fixed row]

(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes.

Timber products

(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit

100

(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit

100

(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit

100

(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin

0

(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin

0

(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported

300.00
[Fixed row]

(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.

Timber products

(8.9.1) DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity

Select from:
☑ No, but we plan to do so within the next two years

(8.9.6) Is a proportion of your disclosure volume certified through a scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(8.9.8) Explain why you have not assessed DF/DCF status

News Corp relies on third-party certification schemes such as FSC, PEFC, SFI, and CSA to determine responsible sourcing of paper. These schemes promote sustainable forestry but cannot provide or guarantee full DF/DCF assurance. News Corp does not have a process for independently verifying DF/DCF status outside of these certifications. However, we are in the process of further developing our approach to responsible sourcing and intend to address additional methods to support DF/DCF status in the future.
[Fixed row]

(8.9.2) Provide details of third-party certification schemes not providing full DF/DCF assurance.

Timber products

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Forest management unit/Producer certification

☑ PEFC Sustainable Forest Management certification

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply

☑ No

Timber products

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Chain-of-custody certification

☑ PEFC Chain-of-Custody (any type)

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply

☑ No

Timber products

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Chain-of-custody certification

☑ PEFC Recycled certification

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply

☑ No

Timber products

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Forest management unit/Producer certification

☑ SFI Forest Management standard

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply

☑ No

Timber products

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Chain-of-custody certification

☑ SFI Chain-of-Custody – Percentage

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply

☑ No

Timber products

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Chain-of-custody certification
☑ SFI Chain-of-Custody – Physical separation

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply
☑ No

Timber products

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Forest management unit/Producer certification
☑ Other forest management/producer certification, please specify :Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply

☑ No

Timber products

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Forest management unit/Producer certification

☑ Other forest management/producer certification, please specify :Canadian Standards Association (CSA)

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

100

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply

☑ No

[Add row]

(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.

Timber products

(8.10.1) Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years

(8.10.2) Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.10.3) Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

News Corp does not currently monitor or estimate its deforestation and conversion footprint because the company's primary approach to responsible sourcing is through the use of third-party certification schemes such as FSC, PEFC, SFI, and CSA. These certifications are relied upon to confirm responsible forest management and sourcing practices. At this time, direct monitoring or estimation of deforestation and conversion footprints has not been identified as an immediate strategic priority for the organization. However, preparation for expected compliance with the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) may require News Corp to develop these capabilities within the next two years.
[Fixed row]

(8.11) For volumes not assessed and determined as deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF), indicate if you have taken actions in the reporting year to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes.

	Actions taken to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes
Timber products	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.

	Third-party certification scheme adopted	Certification details are available for the volumes sold to any requesting CDP Supply Chain members
Timber products	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ We do not supply requesting members with goods and services containing this commodity

[Fixed row]

(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?

Timber products

(8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

☑ No, and do not plan to do so in the next two years

(8.13.2) Primary reason your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

Select from:

☑ Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

(8.13.3) Explain why your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

News Corp does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change because these activities are not significant to our core business operations. As a global media and publishing company, News Corp's direct operations do not involve significant land management, agriculture, or forestry activities that would result in notable land use change or related GHG emissions. Our environmental reporting and climate strategy focus on areas most

relevant to our business, such as energy use, purchased goods (including responsibly sourced paper), and supply chain transparency. As such, GHG emissions and removals from land use management and land use change are not considered relevant to our organization's direct emissions profile.
[Fixed row]

(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.

(8.14.1) Assess legal compliance with forest regulations

Select from:
☑ Yes, from suppliers

(8.14.2) Aspects of legislation considered

Select all that apply
☑ Labor rights
☑ Land use rights
☑ Third parties' rights
☑ Environmental protection
☑ Human rights protected under international law
☑ Tax, anti-corruption, trade and customs regulations
☑ Forest-related rules, including forest management and biodiversity conservation, where directly related to wood harvesting
☑ The principle of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC), including as set out in the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples

(8.14.3) Procedure to ensure legal compliance

Select all that apply
☑ Certification
☑ Supplier self-declaration
☑ Third party tools
☑ Third party databases
☑ Third party audits

(8.14.5) Please explain

News Corp does not own, manage, or control land in Brazil, nor does it directly harvest wood. Its responsible sourcing program focuses on supplier compliance with international certification standards (such as FSC and PEFC), which are intended to review compliance with laws in all sourcing regions but News Corp does not specifically require direct data collection in compliance with the Brazilian Forest Code.
[Fixed row]

(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?

(8.15.1) Engagement in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Select from:

☑ No, we do not engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(8.15.2) Primary reason for not engaging in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(8.15.3) Explain why your organization does not engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

News Corp does not currently engage in landscape or jurisdictional initiatives because these activities are not an immediate strategic priority for the organization. As a media and publishing company, News Corp does not directly own, manage, or operate land or agricultural assets. Instead, its responsible sourcing and sustainability efforts are focused on requiring suppliers to comply with internationally recognized certification standards (such as FSC and PEFC), conducting due diligence, and promoting legal compliance through its supply chain. Given this business model, News Corp's influence is primarily exercised through supplier requirements and certification programs rather than direct, on-the-ground collaboration in specific landscapes or jurisdictions. As a result, participation in landscape or jurisdictional initiatives—which typically involve direct engagement with landowners, local governments, and NGOs to address land use at a regional level—is not currently aligned with the company's operational focus or sustainability strategy.
[Fixed row]

(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to implement project(s) within the next two years

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:
☑ Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Water data availability for particular facilities in our operations has been low.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:
☑ Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:
☑ Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1500 characters]

[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 1-25

(9.2.4) Please explain

News Corp has had a focus on collecting climate and environmental data from our operations since our first CDP report. We have begun to increase our water data collection capabilities and plan to have greater insight into our water impacts from operations in the near future.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

News Corp routinely tracks its water consumption through utility data.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]
[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2000 characters]

Total discharges

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Rich text input [must be under 2000 characters]

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

340

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

News Corp currently does not have a complete water footprint. We plan to increase data collection efforts and accuracy of our water data and metrics.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Daily precipitation from: ACCESS-ESM1-5, CESM2, CESM2-WACCM, EC-Earth3, EC-Earth3-Veg, EC-Earth3-Veg-LR, HadGEM3-GC31-LL, IPSL-CM6A-LR, KACE-1-0-G, UKESM1-0-LL

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

In line with our ongoing commitment to environmental stewardship, News Corp undertook a comprehensive climate risk assessment, evaluating physical hazards across 263 operational sites globally. This analysis identified 52 facilities potentially exposed to drought-related risks, underscoring our presence in regions facing water stress. While this assessment enhances our understanding of location-specific vulnerabilities, we recognize that further work is required to quantify our water withdrawal volumes and to determine the proportion of total withdrawals sourced from water-stressed areas.
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

161

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

☑ 51-75

(9.3.4) Please explain

Through our climate risk assessment, we identified that 161 of our 263 sites—representing 61% of News Corp's global footprint—are exposed to substantive water-related risks. These facilities are located in regions susceptible to flooding, drought, extreme precipitation, or chronic precipitation. Utilizing the World Climate Research Programme's Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP), we systematically evaluated all of the included News Corp sites against four water-related hazards: flooding, drought, extreme precipitation, and chronic precipitation. The facilities highlighted here represent those with the most significant potential for financial or strategic impact to News Corp, as defined in section 2.4 of this report. For this assessment, we established a threshold of 4, classifying any facility identified as having "high risk" or "very high risk" to any of the aforementioned hazards as having substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

At this time, News Corp has not undertaken an assessment of water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities within our upstream value chain. Due to current limitations in data availability, we do not anticipate conducting such an evaluation within the next two years. We intend to continue advancing our data collection capabilities and will continue to review opportunities to enhance our understanding of water-related risks across our broader value chain.
[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

	Facility name (optional)	Please explain
Row 1	*Rich text input [must be under 500 characters]*	*Rich text input [must be under 1500 characters]*

[Add row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☑ No, CDP supply chain members do not buy goods or services from facilities listed in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Anticipated forward trend
	Rich text input [must be under 500 characters]

[Fixed row]

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

	Product name	Denominator	Comment
Row 1	*Rich text input [must be under 500 characters]*	*Rich text input [must be under 100 characters]*	*Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]*

[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: ☑ Unknown

[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

☑ Lack of internal resources

(9.14.4) Please explain

At present, News Corp does not classify its products or services as “low water impact.” This is due in part to the operational complexities and resource requirements associated with collecting comprehensive water usage data across our diverse portfolio. The process of evaluating, transforming, and certifying products and services as low water impact would necessitate significant internal resources and cross-functional coordination. Additionally, there is currently a lack of standardized industry frameworks for assessing and certifying low water impact in the media and publishing sector, which further complicates such efforts. As our data capabilities and industry guidance evolve, we intend to continue to review opportunities to enhance our approach to water stewardship.
[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:
☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:
☑ Insufficient data on operations

(9.15.3.2) Please explain

At this time, News Corp has not established water-related targets. This decision reflects the current limitations in data availability and the operational challenges associated with collecting and analyzing comprehensive water usage information across our global operations. Additionally, the absence of standardized methodologies for setting water-related targets within the media and publishing sector presents further complexities. As we continue to work to enhance our data collection capabilities and monitor developments in industry guidance, we plan to continue to review our approach and consider the establishment of water-related targets in the future as our understanding and resources evolve.
[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

	Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured
Row 1	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change

[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information
	Rich text input [must be under 10000 characters]

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Chief Human Resources Officer

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☑ Other C-Suite Officer

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

☑ Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute