Fortive

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions
This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.
Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP

.

03/18/2025, 07:03 pm

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☑ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☑ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Fortive Corporation is a provider of essential technologies for connected workflow solutions across a range of attractive industrial technology end-markets. Our strategic segments - Intelligent Operating Solutions, Precision Technologies, and Advanced Healthcare Solutions - include well-known brands with leading positions in their markets. Our businesses design, develop, manufacture, and service professional and engineered products, software, and services, building upon leading brand names, innovative technologies, and significant market positions. We are guided by our shared purpose to deliver essential technology for the people who accelerate progress in buildings, factories, and hospitals, and we are united by our culture of continuous improvement and bias for action that embody the Fortive Business System ("FBS"). Through rigorous application of the proprietary set of growth, lean, and leadership tools and processes that comprise FBS, we continuously improve business performance in the critical areas of innovation, product development and commercialization, global supply chain, sales and marketing, and leadership development. Our commitment to FBS enables us to drive higher customer satisfaction and profitability, and generate significant improvements in innovation, growth, and core operating margins. Additionally, our FBS tools enable us to execute a disciplined acquisition strategy and expand our portfolio into new and attractive markets, evolving to further our goal of creating long-term shareholder value. For more information please visit: www.fortive.com.
[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2023

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:
☑ 4 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:
☑ 4 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:
☑ 1 year
[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

6065300000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?	How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?
	Select from: ☑ No	*Fortive uses an operational control boundary for reporting Greenhouse Gas emissions aligned to Greenhouse Gas Protocol reporting guidance.*

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US34959JAH14

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US34959J1088

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

34959J108

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

FTV

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

080198742

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No
[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply
☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Greece
☑ Israel
☑ Mexico
☑ Poland
☑ Qatar
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Turkey
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark

☑ Sweden
☑ Germany
☑ Ireland
☑ Colombia
☑ Malaysia
☑ Portugal
☑ Singapore
☑ Netherlands
☑ Switzerland
☑ Saudi Arabia
☑ South Africa
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Finland
☑ Slovakia
☑ Thailand
☑ Viet Nam
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Russian Federation
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: ☑ Yes, for all facilities	*Geolocation data used for climate assessments*

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Accruent-Amsterdam, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.293341

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.712089

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Accruent Amsterdam

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Accruent-Austin, TX, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.401224

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.721473

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Accruent Austin

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Accruent-Centennial, CO, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.613111

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-104.917962

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Accruent Centennial

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Accruent-New Orleans, LA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.948469

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.067888

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Accruent New Orleans

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Accruent-Porto Alegre, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-30.1242

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-51.246243

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Accruent Porto Alegre

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Accruent-Sao Paulo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.002621

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-47.915656

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Accruent Sao Paulo

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Irvine, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.65489

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.743099

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Irvine 33

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Advanced Sterilization Products-Bangkok, Thailand

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

13.819801

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

100.563163

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Bangkok

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Advanced Sterilization Products-Kowloon, Hong Kong

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.297597

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.170283

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Hong Kong

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Advanced Sterilization Products-Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.78755

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

46.615621

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Saudi Arabia

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Advanced Sterilization Products-Seoul, South Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.555521

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

126.973861

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Advanced Sterilization Products Seoul

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Anderson Negele - Mississauga

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.632687

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.667352

(1.8.1.4) Comment

AN Mississauga

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Anderson Negele - Spartanburg, SC, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.913707

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.963454

(1.8.1.4) Comment

AN Spartanburg

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Anderson Negele - Egg an der Gunz, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.089215

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

10.27926

(1.8.1.4) Comment

AN Egg

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Anderson Negele - Fultonville, NY, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.897713

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.341461

(1.8.1.4) Comment

AN Fultonville

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Beijing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.98068

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

116.48993

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Beijing

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Bogota, Colombia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

4.686723

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.052205

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Bogota

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Brisbane, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-27.469236

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

153.022807

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Brisbane

Row 19

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Brooma, Sweden

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

59.332663

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

17.984428

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Brooma

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Eindhoven, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.498652

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.46175

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Eindhoven

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Everett, WA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.934862

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.264779

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Everett

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Guaynabo, Puerto Rico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

18.330783

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-66.097284

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Puerto Rico

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-HaNoi, Vietnam

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

21.030478

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

105.814942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Ha Noi

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Hashima City, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.299641

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

136.694682

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Hashima City

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

10.823099

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

106.629664

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Ho Chi Minh City

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Irvine, CA, USA 15101

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.649417

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.729175

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Irvine

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Jakarta, Indonesia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-6.235386

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

106.82914

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Jakarta

Row 28

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Madrid, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.44984

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-3.510962

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Madrid

Row 29

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Markham, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.832152

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.330299

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Markham

Row 30

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Mexico City, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.37056

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.151386

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Mexico City

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Midrand, South Africa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-26.005473

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

28.136477

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Midrand

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Osaka, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.818252

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

135.52004

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Osaka

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Portugal-Tecnologia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.561456

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-7.687145

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Portugal

Row 34

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Santiago, Chile

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.409385

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-70.849087

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Santiago

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Sao Paulo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.64874

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.728795

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Sao Paulo

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Schaffhausen, Switzerland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.712595

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.660104

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Schaffhausen

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Seoul, South Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.510324

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

127.061023

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Seoul

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.230151

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.35541

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Shanghai

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Strasbourg, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.584494

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.70828

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Strasbourg

Row 40

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Temse, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.143066

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.186185

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Temse

Row 41

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Tokyo, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.626811

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.741927

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Tokyo

Row 42

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Utrecht, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.180867

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.419016

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Utrecht

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Warsaw-Famat Serwis, Poland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.229676

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.012229

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Warsaw

Row 44

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Censis - Franklin, TN, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.938741

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.82628

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Censis Franklin

Row 45

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

eMaint - Bonita Springs, FL, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

26.357463

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.806611

(1.8.1.4) Comment

eMaint Bonita Springs

Row 46

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

eMaint - Mt. Laurel, NJ, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.945148

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.952934

(1.8.1.4) Comment

eMaint New Jersey

Row 47

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Alcobendas, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.534555

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-3.651172

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Spain

Row 48

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Almelo, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.370007

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.645577

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Almelo

Row 49

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - American Fork, UT, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.358626

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.776837

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke American Fork

Row 50

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Antwerp, Belgium

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.264077

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.399495

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Antwerp

Row 51

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Bangalore, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

13.057343

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.590776

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Bangalore

Row 52

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Barcelona, Spain

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.374932

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.074642

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Barcelona

Row 53

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Beijing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.907075

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

116.440905

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Beijing - Scitech Tower 19 & 20

Row 54

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Beijing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.98068

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

116.48993

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Beijing - Jiu Xian Qiao Road

Row 55

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Beijing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.907075

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

116.440905

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Beijing - Scitech tower 1902

Row 56

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Berlin, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.587245

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

13.425802

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Berlin

Row 57

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Billerica, MA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.519471

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.233573

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Billerica

Row 58

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Brigherio, Italy

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.429364

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.086218

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Brigherio

Row 59

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Bronshoj-Husum, Denmark

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.709554

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

12.482942

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Denmark

Row 60

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Brunn am Gebirge, Austria

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.114837

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

16.294446

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Austria

Row 61

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Cambridge, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.212897

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

0.164735

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Cambridge

Row 62

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Cary, NC, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.818408

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.803264

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Cary

Row 63

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Castle Hill, NSW, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.726918

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

150.980713

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Castle Hill

Row 64

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Chengdu, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.790893

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

130.36211

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Chengdu

Row 65

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Clementi Loop, Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.325095

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.763496

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Clementi Loop

Row 66

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Daegu, South Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.886601

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

128.635302

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Daegu

Row 67

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Dublin- Ireland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.349852

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-6.273432

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Dublin

Row 68

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Eindhoven, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.463474

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.403869

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke BIC 1

Row 69

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Everett, WA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.934883

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.26492

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Everett - Fluke Park

Row 70

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Everett, WA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.934883

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.26492

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Everett - Evergreen Way

Row 71

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Everett, WA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.934883

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.26492

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Everett - Plastics

Row 72

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Glattbrugg, Switzerland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.437551

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.562439

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Switzerland

Row 73

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Glottertal, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.05531

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.92179

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Glottertal 14

Row 74

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Glottertal, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.05531

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

7.92179

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Glottertal 16

Row 75

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Guangzhou, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.136152

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.329135

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Guangzhou

Row 76

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Gujarat, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.269225

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

73.165974

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Gujarat

Row 77

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

10.788199

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

106.703312

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Vietnam

Row 78

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Ismaning, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.232005

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.67747

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Ismaning 93

Row 79

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Ismaning, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.232005

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.67747

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Ismaning 94

Row 80

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Istanbul, Turkey

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.990714

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

29.101261

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Istanbul

Row 81

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Jakarta, Indonesia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-6.136119

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

106.729205

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Jakarta

Row 82

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Kanagawa, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.456837

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.592946

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Kanagawa

Row 83

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Keene, NH, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.965337

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.32865

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Keene

Row 84

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

3.124517

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

101.710679

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Kuala Lumpur

Row 85

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Laval, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.565779

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-73.786436

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Laval

Row 86

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Mexico City, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.30952

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.20387

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Mexico City

Row 87

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Middleburg, OH, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.383415

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.827056

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Middleburg

Row 88

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Milner Ave, NSW, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.824794

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

150.824277

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Milner Ave, NSW

Row 89

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Missisauga, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.632671

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.667138

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Missisauga

Row 90

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Montataire, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.25863

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.453575

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Montataire

Row 91

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Moscow, Russia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.755826

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

37.6173

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Moscow - Lenina Str.

Row 92

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Moscow, Russia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.792707

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

37.544638

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Moscow - Leningradskiy

Row 93

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Mumbai, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.086379

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

72.888977

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Mumbai

Row 94

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Northampton, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.213932

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.94474

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Northampton

Row 95

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Norwich, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.668765

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

1.278422

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Norwich

Row 96

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Oak Brook, IL, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.853801

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.921005

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Oak Brook

Row 97

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Osaka, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.735043

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

135.497332

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Osaka

Row 98

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Oxfordshire, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.830408

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.301153

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Oxfordshire

Row 99

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Paris, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.855823

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.393239

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Paris

Row 100

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Pedro Leopoldo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-19.617353

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-44.054587

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Pedro Leopoldo

Row 101

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Philadelphia, PA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.896172

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-75.234493

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Philadelphia

Row 102

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Phillip St, NSW, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.812814

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

151.006128

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Phillip St, NSW

Row 103

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Phoenix, AZ, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.375769

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.979729

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Phoenix

Row 104

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Sao Carlos, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.002621

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-47.915656

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Sao Carlos

Row 105

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Sao Paulo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.140358

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-47.237614

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Sao Paulo

Row 106

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Seoul, South Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.513042

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

127.059769

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Seoul

Row 107

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.23015

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.35541

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Shanghai Pilot FTZ

Row 108

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.23209

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.397384

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Shanghai-Science Park

Row 109

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.306174

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.61227

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Shanghai 1

Row 110

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.2263

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.35497

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Shanghai-Tonxie Rd

Row 111

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.92629

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.54604

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Shanghai B2

Row 112

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Shenyang, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.795316

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

123.402617

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Shenyang

Row 113

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Shenzhen, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.542703

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.110585

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Shenzhen

Row 114

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Clementi, Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.325095

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.763496

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Clementi

Row 115

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.325095

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.763496

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Singapore

Row 116

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Son, Netherlands

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.50279

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.467502

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Son

Row 117

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Tokyo, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.585665

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.739975

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Tokyo

Row 118

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Vantaa, Finland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

60.305525

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

24.966043

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Vantaa

Row 119

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Viborg, Denmark

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

56.447738

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.401114

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Viborg

Row 120

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Victor, NY, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.973845

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.385445

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Victor

Row 121

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Villepinte, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.974553

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.499081

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Villepinte

Row 122

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Warsaw, Poland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.218938

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

20.917621

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Warsaw

Row 123

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Wroclaw, Poland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.218938

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

20.917621

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Wroclaw

Row 124

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Wuhu, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.382406

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

118.460248

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Wuhu

Row 125

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Xian, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.203236

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

108.906786

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Xian

Row 126

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke Biomed - Beijing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.98068

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

116.48993

(1.8.1.4) Comment

FBM Beijing

Row 127

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fortive - Ahmedabad, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.003753

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

72.50094

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fortive Ahmedabad

Row 128

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fortive - Mumbai, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.116951

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

72.880041

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fortive Mumbai

Row 129

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Dubai, UAE

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.208714

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

55.276442

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Dubai

Row 130

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fortive - Everett, WA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.907597

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.277741

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fortive Everett

Row 131

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fortive - Everett, WA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.907597

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.277741

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fortive Everett - Paine Field

Row 132

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fortive - North Riding, South Africa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-26.045966

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

27.951916

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fortive North Riding

Row 133

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fortive - Sandton, South Africa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-26.08007

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

28.116351

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fortive Sandton

Row 134

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fortive - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.209448

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.359279

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fortive Shanghai

Row 135

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fortive - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.209448

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.359279

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fortive R&D Shanghai

Row 136

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gems Sensors - Basingstoke, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.251393

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-1.105568

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gems Basingstoke

Row 137

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gems Sensors - Kassel, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.310534

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.537147

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gems Kassel

Row 138

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gems Sensors - Plainville, CT, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.68991

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-72.874722

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gems Plainville

Row 139

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gems Sensors - Suita City, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.818252

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

135.52004

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gems Suita City

Row 140

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gordian - Albuquerque, NM, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.092395

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.55797

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gordian Albuquerque

Row 141

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gordian - Boston, MA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.353137

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.058227

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gordian Boston

Row 142

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gordian - Greenville, SC, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.849457

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.3234

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gordian Greenville

Row 143

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gordian - Vaughan, Ontario, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.771812

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.542713

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gordian Ontario

Row 144

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hengstler-Dynapar - Aldingen, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.096454

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.709259

(1.8.1.4) Comment

HD Aldingen

Row 145

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hengstler-Dynapar - Beijing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.97148

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

116.48988

(1.8.1.4) Comment

HD Beijing

Row 146

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hengstler-Dynapar - Elizabethtown, NC, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.638409

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.632958

(1.8.1.4) Comment

HD Elizabethtown

Row 147

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hengstler-Dynapar - Guangzhou, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.1587

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.35011

(1.8.1.4) Comment

HD Guangzhou

Row 148

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hengstler-Dynapar - Kezmarok, Slovakia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.163135

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

20.446381

(1.8.1.4) Comment

HD Kezmarok

Row 149

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hengstler-Dynapar - Sao Paulo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.491535

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.840195

(1.8.1.4) Comment

HD Sao Paulo

Row 150

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hengstler-Dynapar - Tianjin, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.999

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

117.24127

(1.8.1.4) Comment

HD Tianjin

Row 151

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hengstler-Dynapar - Gurnee, IL, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.382961

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.903827

(1.8.1.4) Comment

HD Gurnee

Row 152

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Abu Dhabi, UAE

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.322951

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

54.519813

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Abu Dhabi

Row 153

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Arras Cedex, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.305549

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.730146

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC France

Row 154

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Bangkok, Thailand

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

13.669455

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

100.610154

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Bangkok

Row 155

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Chengdu, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.65618

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

104.08329

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Chengdu

Row 156

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Doha, Qatar

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.211989

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

51.579431

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Doha

Row 157

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Dubai, UAE

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.984977

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

55.043825

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Dubai

Row 158

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Guangzhou, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

23.017331

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

113.363258

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Guangzhou

Row 159

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Lemgo, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.013497

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.924002

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Lemgo

Row 160

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Pasadena, TX, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.650735

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.14713

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Pasadena

Row 161

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Pittsburgh, PA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.439937

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.157749

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Pittsburgh

Row 162

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Pudong, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.260561

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.611271

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Pudong

Row 163

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Rayong, Thailand

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.669867

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

101.18917

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Rayong

Row 164

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Sao Paulo, Brazil

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-23.64874

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-46.728795

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Sao Paulo

Row 165

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Sherwood Park, Alberta, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.569101

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-113.314572

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Sherwood Park

Row 166

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.327305

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.748558

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Singapore

Row 167

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Vancouver, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.284521

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-123.109152

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC ehsAI

Row 168

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Westlake Village, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.163534

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-118.821827

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Westlake Village

Row 169

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Wilmslow, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

53.329438

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-2.226881

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Wilmslow

Row 170

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Xianjiang, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.790893

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

130.36211

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ISC Xianjiang

Row 172

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Reading, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.457834

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.970369

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Intelex Reading

Row 173

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Industrial Scientific - Toronto, Ontario, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.646653

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.384774

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Intelex Toronto

Row 174

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Invetech-Mt. Waverley, Australia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-37.896641

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

145.142878

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Invetech Mt. Waverley

Row 175

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Invetech-San Diego, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.901918

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.180791

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Invetech San Diego

Row 176

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Keithley - Solon, OH, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.403384

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-81.47348

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Keithley, Solon

Row 177

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Landauer - Glenwood, IL, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.543199

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.626852

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Landauer Glenwood

Row 178

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Landauer - Paris, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.780409

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.213403

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Landauer Paris

Row 179

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Landauer - Stillwater, OK, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.114278

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.017924

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Landauer Stillwater

Row 180

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pacific Scientific EMC - Chandler, AZ, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.284371

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.962174

(1.8.1.4) Comment

PSEMC Chandler Main

Row 181

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pacific Scientific EMC - Chandler, AZ, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.284371

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-111.962174

(1.8.1.4) Comment

PSEMC Chandler Nader St

Row 182

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pacific Scientific EMC - Hollister, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.836345

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.451153

(1.8.1.4) Comment

PSEMC Hollister

Row 183

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Provation - Minneapolis, MN, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.977383

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.261776

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Provation Minneapolis

Row 184

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Provation - Tampa, FL, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

27.962792

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-82.57116

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Provation Tampa

Row 185

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pruftechnik - Bangkok, Thailand

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

13.745202

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

100.544463

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Pruftechnik Thailand

Row 186

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pruftechnik - Cesano Boscone, Italy

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.429597

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.087336

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Pruftechnik Italy

Row 187

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pruftechnik - Jakarta, Indonesia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-6.288218

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

106.778213

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Pruftechnik Jakarta

Row 188

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pruftechnik - St. Petersburg, Russia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

59.850004

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

30.270806

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Pruftechnik St. Petersburg

Row 189

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Qualitrol - Beaverton, OR, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.498118

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.817264

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Qualitrol Beaverton

Row 190

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Qualitrol - Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

54.579334

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-5.958934

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Qualitrol Belfast

Row 191

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Qualitrol - Edelweiss Springs, South Africa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-26.287179

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

28.470295

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Qualitrol South Africa

Row 192

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Qualitrol - Fairport, NY, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.09989

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.458539

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Qualitrol Fairport

Row 193

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Qualitrol - Mississauga, Canada

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.690867

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.61889

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Qualitrol Mississauga

Row 194

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

RaySafe - Billdal, Sweden

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

57.602477

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.951897

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Raysafe Sweden

Row 195

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ServiceChannel - Bentonville, AR, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.372047

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.208743

(1.8.1.4) Comment

SC Bentonville

Row 196

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ServiceChannel - Pleasanton, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.69524

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.924111

(1.8.1.4) Comment

SC Pleasanton

Row 197

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Setra Systems - Boxborough, MA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.49203

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.546816

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gems Setra Boxborough

Row 198

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Setra Systems - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.23015

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.35541

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gems Setra Shanghai

Row 199

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Addison, IL, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.931696

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.988956

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Addison

Row 200

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Albuquerque, NM, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.102331

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-106.542226

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Albuquerque

Row 202

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Austin, TX, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.330108

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.674379

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Austin

Row 203

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Bangalore, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

12.936875

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.69213

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Bangalore

Row 204

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Bayan Baru, Malaysia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

5.325622

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

100.286613

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Malaysia 20

Row 205

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Beaverton, OR, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.498802

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.81676

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix US HQ

Row 206

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Beaverton, OR, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.499138

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.823337

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Walker Road

Row 207

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Beijing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.97148

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

116.48988

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Beijing - Electronics 203

Row 208

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Beijing, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.97148

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

116.48988

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Beijing - Electronics 303

Row 209

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Bracknell, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.412593

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.762999

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Bracknell

Row 210

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Charlotte, NC, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.188938

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.890266

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Charlotte

Row 211

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Chaska, MN, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.841582

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-93.58649

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Chaska

Row 212

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Chengdu, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.587371

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

104.084728

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Chengdu

Row 213

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Cincinnati, OH, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.315296

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.476279

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Cincinnati

Row 214

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Costa Mesa, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.680439

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.876151

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Costa Mesa

Row 215

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Covina, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.105106

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-117.874274

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Covina

Row 216

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Duluth, GA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.018165

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.170303

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Georgia

Row 217

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Germering, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.128338

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

11.358995

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Germering

Row 220

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Hsinchu City, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.81383

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.967475

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Hsinchu City

Row 221

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Irving, TX, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

32.921575

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-97.007098

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Irving

Row 222

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Kanagawa, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.444228

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.373754

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Kanagawa

Row 223

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Kanagawa, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.505221

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.452161

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Kanagawa 2

Row 224

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Kaohsiung City, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.727203

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

120.324765

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Kaohsiung City

Row 225

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Koln, Germany

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.976504

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.923591

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Koln

Row 226

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Kowloon, Hong Kong

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.314638

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.219685

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Hong Kong

Row 227

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Les Ulis, France

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.683884

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.199862

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Les Ulis

Row 228

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Littleton, CO, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.570901

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.026793

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Littleton

Row 229

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Louisville, CO, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.963086

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-105.169483

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Louisville

Row 230

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Mumbai, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.187081

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

72.956302

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Mumbai

Row 231

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Nagoya, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.168253

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

136.903685

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Nagoya

Row 232

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Nashville, TN, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.175315

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-86.756486

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Nashville

Row 233

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Neuhausen am Rheinfall, Switzerland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.682533

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.62291

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Switzerland

Row 234

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - New Delhi, India

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.567241

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.286605

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix New Delhi

Row 235

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - North Billerica, MA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.565897

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-71.302918

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix North Billerica

Row 236

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Osaka, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.735039

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

135.497318

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Osaka

Row 237

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Pearland, TX, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.548374

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.243195

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Pearland

Row 238

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Phoenix, AZ, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.583212

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-112.10886

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Phoenix

Row 239

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Pleasanton, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.693488

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.904714

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Pleasanton

Row 240

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Santa Clara, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.379712

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.940134

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Santa Clara

Row 242

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Seoul, South Korea

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.513042

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

127.059769

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Seoul

Row 243

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Shanghai, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.229745

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.355553

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Shanghai

Row 244

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Shenzhen, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.545278

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.105833

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Shenzhen

Row 245

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Shinagawa, Tokyo, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.626811

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.741927

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Tokyo

Row 246

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Somerset, PA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.991144

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.082561

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Somerset

Row 247

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Springfield, VA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

38.795483

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.241913

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Springfield

Row 248

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Taipei, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.068942

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.590903

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Taipei

Row 249

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Timonium, MD, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.432862

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.63157

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Maryland

Row 252

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Wayne, NJ, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.922316

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.269146

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Wayne

Row 254

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Wuhan, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

30.51517

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

114.386974

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Wuhan

Row 255

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Xian, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.203236

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

108.906786

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Xian

Row 256

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Yokohama, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.479948

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

139.643296

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Yokohama

Row 257

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Zapopan, Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

20.742267

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-103.447111

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Mexico

Row 258

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Zhubei City, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

24.819447

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.027613

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Zhubei City

Row 259

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Pudong, China

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.24669

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.61723

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Pudong

Row 260

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Accruent-Modi'in Makabim-Re'ut, Israel

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.90386

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

35.006606

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Accruent Israel

Row 261

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Accruent-Moscow, Russia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

55.755826

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

37.6173

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Accruent Moscow

Row 262

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Athens, Greece

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.960723

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

23.719057

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Athens

Row 263

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Piraeus, Greece

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.954192

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

23.66537

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Piraeus

Row 264

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Okayama, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.663097

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

133.915658

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Okayama

Row 265

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Sapporo, Japan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.069527

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

141.351807

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Sapporo

Row 266

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.285231

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.852411

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Singapore

Row 267

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

ASP-Zug, Switzerland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.168274

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.515873

(1.8.1.4) Comment

ASP Switzerland

Row 268

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Landauer-Chicago Heights, IL, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.508518

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-87.670165

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Landauer Chicago Heights

Row 269

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fluke - Taipei, Taiwan

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

25.060808

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.485607

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Fluke Taipei

Row 270

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Gems Sensors - Brighton, UK

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.838139

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-0.099327

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Gems Brighton

Row 271

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Ciudad de Mexico

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.359017

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-99.167761

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Ciudad de Mexico

Row 272

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Singapore

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

1.325095

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

103.763496

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Singapore

Row 273

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Remetschwil-Busslingen, Switzerland

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.411283

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.313768

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Switzerland Remetschwil

Row 274

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Novi, MI, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

42.468097

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.472523

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Minnesota

Row 275

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - San Jose, CA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

37.34696

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-121.929855

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix San Jose

Row 276

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Everett, WA, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

47.929412

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-122.253446

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Everett

Row 277

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Sayreville, NJ, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.478361

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.311956

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Sayreville

Row 278

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Wayne, NJ, USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.922316

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.269146

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix SSO Wayne

Row 279

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Bayan Baru, Malaysia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

5.325622

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

100.286613

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Malaysia 8

Row 280

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tektronix - Bayan Baru, Malaysia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

5.325622

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

100.286613

(1.8.1.4) Comment

Tektronix Malaysia 11
[Add row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Fortive maps our suppliers by spend and applies a EEIO approach to GHG accounting of our supply base.
[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify

(1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

Fortive's efforts are currently focused on obtaining the highest fidelity data surrounding the emission profiles of our products and their material makeup for end of life treatment. This Scope 3 assessment will inform our approach to mapping plastics across the organization in the near-term.
[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

2

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Acute climate impacts and regulatory developments

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

2

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Acute and chronic climate impacts and regulatory advancements

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:
☑ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

10

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Chronic climate impacts and longstanding regulatory impact
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations
☑ Upstream value chain
☑ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:
☑ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply
☑ Tier 1 suppliers
☑ Tier 2 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:
☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:
☑ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply
☑ Short-term
☑ Medium-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

☑ Local

☑ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

☑ Enterprise Risk Management

Databases

☑ Nation-specific databases, tools, or standards

☑ Regional government databases

Other

☑ Desk-based research

☑ Internal company methods

☑ Materiality assessment

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought

☑ Tornado

☑ Wildfires

☑ Heat waves

☑ Cold wave/frost

☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress
☑ Water stress
☑ Sea level rise
☑ Water quality at a basin/catchment level
☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
☑ Water availability at a basin/catchment level

Policy

☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
☑ Changes to national legislation

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

☑ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback

Technology

☑ Dependency on water-intensive energy sources
☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Customers
☑ Employees
☑ Investors
☑ Suppliers
☑ Regulators
☑ Local communities
☑ Indigenous peoples

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Fortive identifies, assesses and responds to climate-related risks through our Risk Assessment Process (RAP), within the enterprise risk management program (ERM). Through the annual RAP, business and functional leaders evaluate and identify the risks inherent in their operations on topics including: International dynamics; Human resources; Regulatory and industry standards; Finance and accounting compliance; Business Continuity, Product safety and security; Sales and marketing; Data protection and cybersecurity; General and internet technology; Environmental, Health and Safety; Sustainability, Physical Assets and Natural Disasters; Supply Chain, and ClimateRelated Risks. RAP is conducted via a bottom-up and top-down approach on a segment and operating company level and functional level. Through RAP, operating companies identify and analyze risks from both probability and magnitude of impact perspectives. Each risk is required to have a documented countermeasure(s) and progress is continuously monitored and actioned. These risks are entered into individual risk matrix profiles, which are reviewed by the Segment CEOs. Results are reported to senior management and the Risk Committee and reported to the Board. The RAP outcome informs business decisions and investments related to talent management, real estate, infrastructure, regulatory compliance, supplier and commodity sourcing, EHS programs, and climate change adaptation and mitigation. The Fortive Risk Committee reviews and develops the Fortive-level risk assessment based on these company prioritizations, combined with broader corporate-level risks. The Risk Committee is led by Fortive's General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer; the General Counsel reports the results to the Board of Directors annually, with our audit committee overseeing our Enterprise Risk Management process. Fortive's ESG strategy and progress is routinely reviewed with the Board as they maintain oversight with respect to reporting and disclosure. The strategy is evaluated using several factors, including identification and responsiveness to business risks and opportunities. While climate-related risks are relevant to our business, we also see significant opportunities. Fortive's products and service offerings help customers improve energy efficiency, carbon reduction, social benefits, and other impacts associated with climate change.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Partial

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

☑ WRI Aqueduct

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

☑ Groundwater depletion

☑ Declining water quality

☑ Rationing of municipal water supply

☑ Water quality at a basin/catchment level

☑ Water availability at a basin/catchment level

Policy

☑ Increased pricing of water

☑ Changes to national legislation

☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements

☑ Increased difficulty in obtaining water withdrawals permit

☑ Mandatory water efficiency, conservation, recycling, or process standards

☑ Uncertainty and/or conflicts involving land tenure rights and water rights

Market

☑ Inadequate access to water, sanitation, and hygiene services (WASH)

Technology

☑ Dependency on water-intensive energy sources

Liability

☑ Exposure to litigation

☑ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Employees
☑ Suppliers
☑ Regulators
☑ Local communities
☑ Indigenous peoples
☑ Water utilities at a local level

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Fortive uses the WRI Aqueduct tool to evaluate water risk across our global operations. "Extreme" or High" risk sites then complete a comprehensive water risk assessment is completed. In addition, regardless of risks, those sites with significant water use also complete a comprehensive assessment. Fortive starts with our EHS Significant sites, i.e., those sites with manufacturing, service, assembly operations or significant employee headcount, to prioritize those operations where water use is assumed to be higher
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

Fortive identifies, assesses and responds to climate-related risks through our Risk Assessment Process (RAP), within the enterprise risk management program (ERM). Through the annual RAP, business and functional leaders evaluate and identify the risks inherent in their operations on topics including: International

dynamics; Human resources; Regulatory and industry standards; Finance and accounting compliance; Business Continuity, Product safety and security; Sales and marketing; Data protection and cybersecurity; General and internet technology; Environmental, Health and Safety; Sustainability, Physical Assets and Natural Disasters; Supply Chain, and ClimateRelated Risks. RAP is conducted via a bottom-up and top-down approach on a segment and operating company level and functional level. Through RAP, operating companies identify and analyze risks from both probability and magnitude of impact perspectives. Each risk is required to have a documented countermeasure(s) and progress is continuously monitored and actioned. These risks are entered into individual risk matrix profiles, which are reviewed by the Segment CEOs. Results are reported to senior management and the Risk Committee and reported to the Board. The RAP outcome informs business decisions and investments related to talent management, real estate, infrastructure, regulatory compliance, supplier and commodity sourcing, EHS programs, and climate change adaptation and mitigation. The Fortive Risk Committee reviews and develops the Fortive-level risk assessment based on these company prioritizations, combined with broader corporate-level risks. The Risk Committee is led by Fortive's General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer; the General Counsel reports the results to the Board of Directors annually, with our audit committee overseeing our Enterprise Risk Management process. Fortive's ESG strategy and progress is routinely reviewed with the Board as they maintain oversight with respect to reporting and disclosure. The strategy is evaluated using several factors, including identification and responsiveness to business risks and opportunities. While climate-related risks are relevant to our business, we also see significant opportunities. Fortive's products and service offerings help customers improve energy efficiency, carbon reduction, social benefits, and other impacts associated with climate change.
[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☑ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☑ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

☑ Other location with substantive nature-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities, please specify

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Fortive uses the WRI Aqueduct tool to evaluate water risk across our global operations. "Extreme" or High" risk sites then complete a comprehensive water risk assessment is completed. In addition, regardless of risks, those sites with significant water use also complete a comprehensive assessment. Fortive starts with our EHS Significant sites, i.e., those sites with manufacturing, service, assembly operations or significant employee headcount, to prioritize those operations where water use is assumed to be higher. Fortive utilizes additional resources such as FEMA's National Risk Index to cross reference various environmental risks against our portfolio of US-based companies. In addition we leverage climate risk reports from our property insurance partner to gain a qualitative and quantitative understanding of these IROs and inform our identification and prioritization of our portfolio of operating companies.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☑ Yes, we will be disclosing the list/geospatial map of priority locations

(2.3.6) Provide a list and/or spatial map of priority locations

CDP-WRI-EHSSSdata.xlsx
[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Share price

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % decrease

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ 1-10

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Fortive's Enterprise Risk Management strategy focuse on long-term value creation and maximizing shareholder value. Through our materiality assessment process, key issues are identified and prioritized based on financial and social impact. This informs our Sustainability strategy and areas of focus for our Risk Assessment Process.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Frequency of effect occurring

☑ Time horizon over which the effect occurs

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

Fortive products and services have a wide variety of applications for Sustainability focused solutions. In 2023, approximately 60% of Fortive's revenue was generated from such products.
[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☑ No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.3) Please explain

Fortive Environmental Health and Safety Practices incorporate policies and procedures in accordance with governing bodies in the jurisdictions in which they operate. [Fixed row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, only within our direct operations

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

A carbon price on GHG emissions could result in increased compliance costs for our businesses. Our businesses' sales and operations are subject to risks associated with changes in laws, regulators and policies, including carbon emission regulations and energy efficiency and design regulations. Failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in monetary and non-monetary penalties as well as potential damage to our reputation. For example, the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) in the EU and other emerging carbon tax /ETS schemes will increase the cost of doing business. Our current EU operations account for approximately 7.5% of Fortive's total Scope 1 and 2 emissions. Organic and acquisition growth present additional risk for our operating companies headquartered and/or operating in the EU.

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, only within our direct operations

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Using the WRI Aqueduct tool, Fortive EHS Significant sites that have a Baseline Water Stress (BWS) score of "extremely high" are assessed for water risk. These EHS Significant sites typically have a larger workforce and manufacturing, service, or assembly operations. Water is sometimes, but not always, used in production. Sites with indoor ecosystem quality standards rely on humidity controls that are water dependent. Either a water interruption from a local source, or higher costs to import water for this use, can present financial and business continuity risks with potential for long-term degradation of the local watershed.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☑ Evaluation in progress

(3.1.3) Please explain

Fortive will continue to monitor and evaluate the impact of environmental risks related to plastics on our business.
[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Germany
☑ Ireland
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovakia
☑ Netherlands
☑ Greece
☑ Norway
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Denmark
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

A carbon price on GHG emissions could result in increased compliance costs for our businesses. Our businesses' sales and operations are subject to risks associated with changes in laws, regulators and policies, including carbon emission regulations and energy efficiency and design regulations. Failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in monetary and non-monetary penalties as well as potential damage to our reputation. For example, the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) in the EU and other emerging carbon tax /ETS schemes will increase the cost of doing business. Our current EU operations account for approximately 7.5% of Fortive's total Scope 1 and 2 emissions. Organic and acquisition growth present additional risk for our operating companies headquartered and/or operating in the EU.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Minimal indirect operating cost increases

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

24000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

3290000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

A carbon price between 6 (minimum) and 50 (maximum) per metric ton over the next 5-10 years, conservatively applied to Fortive's GHG emissions, could result in additional operating costs between 24k annually (EU only at 6/MCTO2e) to 3.2M annually (all FTV @ 50/MTCO2e). At 50/MTCO2e, the financial impact is less than 0.1% of Fortive's annual revenue in 2023. *Source: proposed carbon price during the Obama administration (U.S.)*

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Improve monitoring of direct operations

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Response would be compliance with external carbon pricing

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Fortive monitors regulatory updates and evaluates risks for increased costs in risk areas that include climate legislation, regulations and taxes. We implement control measures including supplier diversification, utility contract terms and agreements, and operational efficiency initiatives to mitigate operational cost increases.

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

☑ Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ India

☑ United States of America

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Using the WRI Aqueduct tool, Fortive EHS Significant sites that have a Baseline Water Stress (BWS) score of "extremely high" are assessed for water risk. These EHS Significant sites typically have a larger workforce and manufacturing, service, or assembly operations. Water is sometimes, but not always, used in production. Sites with indoor ecosystem quality standards rely on humidity controls that are water dependent. Either a water interruption from a local source, or higher costs to import water for this use, can present financial and business continuity risks with potential for long-term degradation of the local watershed.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Fortive has not arrived at a figure to quantify the impact of the risk on financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of the organization. Fortive believes that a chronic physical risk associated with water scarcity in these watersheds could incur added capital costs for procuring water for these sites and/or the relocation of operations to locations outside of water stressed areas.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Fortive continues to evalutate these costs on a site-by-site basis.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Fortive OpCos are exploring water reduction projects similar to emission reduction projects to support our 10% water reduction goal by 2029.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Other acute physical risk, please specify **:**Extreme Weather Events

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Chile
☑ China
☑ India
☑ Italy
☑ Spain
☑ Brazil
☑ Canada
☑ France

☑ Japan
☑ Mexico
☑ Norway
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Austria
☑ Ireland
☑ Malaysia
☑ Portugal
☑ Thailand
☑ Viet Nam
☑ Taiwan, China
☑ Republic of Korea
☑ Hong Kong SAR, China
☑ United Arab Emirates
☑ United States of America
☑ Greece
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Estonia
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ Australia
☑ Indonesia
☑ Netherlands
☑ Philippines
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Extreme weather events could result in physical damage to our sites and other assets, disrupting business operations and supply chain, resulting in production delays, temporary reduction of our production capacity, and/or deferred or lost revenue, among other impacts. Our global real estate portfolio could be impacted by a variety of weather events like hurricanes, wildfires, tornadoes, and droughts. We track events and enact crisis management and relief for at-risk sites during extreme weather events. Our EHS, Facilities and Human Resources teams have disaster preparedness and business continuity standard work, as well as rapid response protocols, to ensure the health and safety of our employees first and foremost. These protocols ensure continued operations in a safe and efficient manner. In 2022, unique events including wildfires in the U.S. Southwest and Pacific Northwest impacted our operating companies, in some cases requiring site closures spanning days. Operational risks are projected to occur more frequently as climate change accelerates.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Extreme weather events could result in physical damage to our sites and other assets, disrupting business operations and supply chain, resulting in production delays, temporary reduction of our production capacity, and/or deferred or lost revenue, among other impacts. Our global real estate portfolio could be impacted by a variety of weather events like hurricanes, wildfires, tornadoes, and droughts. We track events and enact crisis management and relief for at-risk sites during extreme weather events. Our EHS, Facilities and Human Resources teams have disaster preparedness and business continuity standard work, as well as rapid response protocols, to ensure the health and safety of our employees first and foremost. These protocols ensure continued operations in a safe and efficient manner. Operational risks are projected to occur more frequently as climate change accelerates.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

50000

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

95000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

As acute climate change-related events increase in likelihood, there is a greater probability for events that have a financial impact on the business. Remediation activities like smoke removal, flood response, and grid outages could incur costs at the lower bound of financial impact of 50,000. A less likely impact of acute climate changerelated events would necessitate a rebuild of a major North American EHS significant site with manufacturing, service or assembly operations, if completely destroyed by an extreme weather event, such as a wildfire or hurricane, could cost up to 95 million to the company. All operating company sites are insured for physical risks and business interruption (revenue) losses, so this figure represents the maximum unmitigated risk.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Increase environment-related capital expenditure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Currently, Fortive has resources and standard work in place to identify, mitigate and respond to physical risks. Therefore, there is no additional cost to the business. The potential exposure associated with physical changes is currently assessed and managed through Fortive's Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) program, associated Risk Assessment Process (RAP), and Risk Transfer & Financing. Fortive Corporation works closely with internal and external teams to regularly evaluate, identify and improve onsite risks and processes. Fortive facilities undergo third party site engineering assessments at varying cadences based on site total insurable value (TIV). In addition, Fortive sites are assigned EHS risk scores which include various criteria and undergo regular internal and external audits, scheduled and unscheduled. Employee safety, business continuity, and disaster response are also key focus areas in our risk management and risk mitigation efforts. Although a comprehensive climate chan

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Currently, Fortive has resources and standard work in place to identify, mitigate and respond to physical risks. Therefore, there is no additional cost to the business. The potential exposure associated with physical changes is currently assessed and managed through Fortive's Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) program, associated Risk Assessment Process (RAP), and Risk Transfer & Financing. Fortive Corporation works closely with internal and external teams to regularly evaluate, identify and improve onsite risks and processes. Fortive facilities undergo third party site engineering assessments at varying cadences based on site total insurable value (TIV). In addition, Fortive sites are assigned EHS risk scores which include various criteria and undergo regular internal and external audits, scheduled and unscheduled. Employee safety, business continuity, and disaster response are also key focus areas in our risk management and risk mitigation efforts. Although a comprehensive climate changerelated impact assessment of at some sites remains to be conducted, Fortive remains committed to continuous improvement towards our operations, real estate portfolio, standard work and site-related risk assessments.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☑ Other policy risk, please specify **:**Emerging Regulation

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ France
☑ Belgium
☑ Denmark
☑ Estonia
☑ Finland
☑ Germany
☑ United States of America
☑ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
☑ Greece
☑ Norway
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Austria
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovakia
☑ Australia
☑ Netherlands
☑ Republic of Korea

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Fortive has operations in over 50 countries, including in the EU, USA, and Asia-Pacific region where emissions reporting requirements are increasing in scope and rigor. Fortive is subject to disclosure requirements through CSRD/EFRS, SEC Climate Ruling, and California Climate Act, with others to follow. An incomplete or a lack of reporting to relevant agencies or stock exchanges requiring increased disclosure from companies with operations in these regions could negatively impact Fortive's profile among banks and insurance providers. Many rulings have ambitious timelines and phase-in periods that are subject to strict disclosure thresholds for the financial impacts of climate-related risks on financial statements. This has financial risk implications both from an infrastructure and readiness perspective and the potential for penalties and litigation for those companies not prepared in a short duration.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Decreased access to capital

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Added compliance costs will exceed the proposed 15,000 per year cited by the SEC proposal, in addition to CSRD alignment efforts. We anticipate the need for a dedicated full-time FTE at our largest operating companies and consulting support, which is conservatively estimated to increase operating costs by 1M annually for the first 1-4 years.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

15000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

4000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Added compliance costs will exceed the proposed 15,000 per year cited by the SEC ruling, in addition to CSRD alignment efforts. We anticipate the need for a dedicated full-time FTE at our largest operating companies and consulting support, which is conservatively estimated to increase operating costs by 1M annually for the first 1-4 years.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☑ Greater compliance with regulatory requirements

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

1000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Fortive continues to advance our reporting and compliance efforts in anticipation of, and response to, emerging climate related regulations through the combined efforts of the Sustainability team, Climate Disclosure Task Force, and Third-Party Verification Support. Fortive anticipates added annual operating expenses to persist to address these needs. We anticipate the need for a dedicated full-time FTE at our largest operating companies and consulting support, which is conservatively estimated to increase operating costs by 1M annually for the first 1-4 years.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Added internal and third party resources to bring Fortive into alignment with regulatory requirements and inform go-forward strategy.
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Assets

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

95000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Transitional risk not quantified in 2023

Water

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ OPEX

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

5000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Transitional risk not quantified in 2023
[Add row]

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

India

☑ Other, please specify **:**Sabarmati

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

2

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

Operations can be relocated if water stress risks cannot be mitigated
[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☑ No	*None known*

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:
☑ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:
☑ Evaluation in progress

(3.6.3) Please explain

Water reduction projects are being identified in target sites in 2023 and 2024.
[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:
☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency
☑ Other resource efficiency opportunity, please specify **:**Energy use reduction and energy efficiency investments

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

In 2022, Fortive announced our commitment to reduce Scope 1 & 2 GHG emissions across at least 95% of our real estate footprint by 2029, from 2019 levels. To achieve that target, operating companies are implementing emissions-reduction projects that will reduce energy use/improve energy efficiency. In most cases, these projects save money AND energy. For example, in 2023, operating companies submitted energy efficiency projects that had the potential to reduce GHG emissions by over 7,500 MTCO2e.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Emission reduction projects will represent modest savings at the OpCo level and ensure stability in these areas of OpEx

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

1500000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Expected annual savings of all approved projects from 2023

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

4700000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The 4.7M cost represents the total cost of every approved reduction project opportunity

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Our strategy to reduce GHG emissions is multi-faceted and grounded in the Fortive Business System (FBS). One fundamental aspect of our strategy is to identify and implement energy use reduction/avoidance and/or energy efficiency projects to drive our operational GHG emissions down. We leverage kaizen, an FBS-based process to review in-depth, the sources of energy use and opportunities for efficiency improvement, energy use avoidance and reduction. We deploy energy kaizens at scale, while also evaluating renewable energy and shared service opportunities to improve operational efficiency at scale. Fortive applies a balanced perspective for investing in GHG emissions reduction projects: we evaluate projects based on their GHG emissions reduction and their financial ROI; we do support projects that have a material GHG reduction even if the pure financial ROI does not fulfil traditional criteria. The 4.7M cost to realize the opportunity reflects the total cost if every submitted project was approved.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☑ Other products and services opportunity, please specify **:**Development of climate adaptation, resilience and insurance risk solutions

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Approximately 60% of Fortive's 2022 revenue was generated from products & services that enable sustainability-related impacts, for example: energy and carbon reduction, water savings, waste avoidance, patient health and safety, and workplace health and safety. For example: Fluke offers a suite of products that enable customers to reduce and avoid emissions, including (but not limited to):- Thermal imaging: handheld thermal cameras for preventive maintenance, inspections and frontline troubleshooting of electrical systems,- Thermal calibration: tools that identify and correct errors in temperature measurement to establish and maintain desired set points in process heating and cooling applications/HVAC,- Industrial imaging: Enable customers to locate air, gas and vacuum leaks in compressed air systems (compressed air leaks are a leading source of waste energy use in industrial operations (6% of total energy consumed, on average),- Power standards: Calibrating equipment used to manage reliability of power distribution. and.- Fluke battery testers: Tools and equipment to test efficiency of batteries, including batteries associated with solar photovoltaic (PV) arrays, to discharge efficiency and maximize charging cycles.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Fortive operating companies, are already innovating for the energy and emission spaces and anticipate continued growth in the market for hardware and software enabled solutions that advance the capabilities of industrial operations towards optimized energy efficiency and decarbonization. These Fortive businesses will continue to innovate and develop solutions to meet the increased demand, enabling customers to meet their climate goals, reduce resource consumption like water, and in the process save money by realizing more efficient operations. These businesses are also positioned to enable the expansion of renewable energy, at scale.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

1

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

3500000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Fortive developed a methodology to qualify products and services as enabling sustainability impacts (when in use) and capture revenue on a quarterly basis. This methodology now allows Fortive businesses to optimally strategize their existing offerings in these spaces with robust data analytics, as well as target new R&D opportunities and market expansion.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Fortive operating companies, are already innovating for the energy and emission spaces and anticipate continued growth in the market for hardware and software enabled solutions that advance the capabilities of industrial operations towards optimized energy efficiency and decarbonization. These Fortive businesses will continue to innovate and develop solutions to meet the increased demand, enabling customers to meet their climate goals, reduce resource consumption like water, and in the process save money by realizing more efficient operations. These businesses are also positioned to enable the expansion of renewable energy, at scale.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Fortive operating companies, are already innovating for the energy and emission spaces and anticipate continued growth in the market for hardware and software enabled solutions that advance the capabilities of industrial operations towards optimized energy efficiency and decarbonization. These Fortive businesses will continue to innovate and develop solutions to meet the increased demand, enabling customers to meet their climate goals, reduce resource consumption like water, and in the process save money by realizing more efficient operations. These businesses are also positioned to enable the expansion of renewable energy, at scale. [Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

3500000000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ 51-60%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Fortive developed a methodology to qualify products and services as enabling sustainability impacts (when in use) and capture revenue on a quarterly basis. This methodology now allows Fortive businesses to optimally strategize their existing offerings in these spaces with robust data analytics, as well as target new R&D opportunities and market expansion.
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

The Board's and the Nominating and Governance Committee's commitment to diversity as an essential consideration in the director nominee selection process has been documented in both the Corporate Governance Guidelines and the Nominating and Governance Committee's Charter and has been reflected in the Board's actions.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

2024-proxy-statement.pdf

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

The Board has delegated to the Nominating and Governance Committee the responsibility of exercising oversight with respect to the reporting of our Sustainability disclosure as well as oversight of our climate-related strategies, goals, risk management and performance. Consistent with such delegation, our SVP – General

Counsel provides frequent reports and updates to the Nominating and Governance Committee, and a report to the Board on an annual basis, regarding the Company's Sustainability program and strategies, including the corresponding risks and opportunities, climaterelated goals and strategies, progress, shareholder engagement and disclosure. Fortive will continue to evaluate the materiality of biodiversity to our business.
[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Director on board

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify **:**Board membership criteria includes Sustainability skills and attributes.

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

☑ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities

☑ Other, please specify **:**(Overseeing climate-related strategies, goals, results and reporting every meeting of the Nominating and Governance Committee, as well as climate-related strategies, risk management, and reporting on an annual basis with the full Board of Directors

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board has delegated to the Nominating and Governance Committee the responsibility of exercising oversight with respect to Fortive's Sustainability disclosures. Consistent with such delegation, our SVP, General Counsel provides frequent reports and updates to the Nominating and Governance Committee, and a report to the Board on an annual basis, with an update on the Sustainability strategy, including relevant and material risks and opportunities, goals, progress, shareholder engagement and disclosure.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Director on board

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

☑ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify **:**Fortive's Nominating and Governance Committee is briefed at every meeting on Sustainability related issues. The Committee is briefed on progress toward Fortive's water reduction goal and other relevant information.

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board has delegated to the Nominating and Governance Committee the responsibility of exercising oversight with respect to Fortive's Sustainability disclosures. Consistent with such delegation, our SVP, General Counsel provides frequent reports and updates to the Nominating and Governance Committee, and a report to the Board on an annual basis, with an update on the Sustainability strategy, including relevant and material risks and opportunities, goals, progress, shareholder engagement and disclosure.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

☑ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Other

☑ Other, please specify **:**Sustainability experience is a listed skill and/or attribute for Board membership. All current Fortive Board members have Sustainability experience as a skill and/or attribute. Some Board members possess expertise in the area of Sustainability

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☑ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process

☑ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Other

☑ Other, please specify **:**Sustainability experience is a listed skill and/or attribute for Board membership. All current Fortive Board members have Sustainability experience as a skill and/or attribute. Some Board members possess expertise in the area of Sustainability

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Engagement

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues

☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CEO supports and provides leadership in support of enterprise climate-related goals, targets, performance, and mitigation.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Engagement

☑ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues

☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Half-yearly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CEO supports and provides leadership in support of enterprise climate-related goals, targets, performance, and mitigation.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ General Counsel

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Other

☑ Other, please specify **:**Managing annual budgets for climate mitigation activities Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures related to low-carbon products or services (including R&D) Managing climate-related acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures Developing a

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Half-yearly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

General Counsel (SVP): Executive officer responsible for Fortive's Sustainability Program oversight and progress, as well as EHS and Risk Management. Climate changerelated matters are in-scope of the Sustainability Program and include Fortive's new 2029 goal to reduce absolute scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 50% from 2019 levels. The General Counsel reports to the full Board and to the Nominating and Governance Committee about Sustainability (ESG) Program disclosures, targets, and strategic initiatives on an annual basis, and semi-annually, respectively, or more frequently, as needed. The General Counsel also reports to the Board about EHS compliance matters and Risk Management, on an annual basis or more frequently as needed.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee establishes performance goals for each executive officer, with goals aligned to each executive officer's scope of responsibility in support of the Company's overall strategic initiatives. Annual executive officer incentive compensation for the corresponding fiscal year accounts for the individual's execution against his or her personal performance goals and the company's overall performance. Additionally, other leaders whose scope of responsibility includes climate have performance goals. Their performance to these goals impacts compensation (i.e., bonus, promotions, etc.).

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

0

(4.5.3) Please explain

On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee establishes performance goals for each executive officer, with goals aligned to each executive officer's scope of responsibility in support of the Company's overall strategic initiatives. Annual executive officer incentive compensation for the corresponding fiscal year accounts for the individual's execution against his or her personal performance goals and the company's overall performance. Additionally, other leaders whose scope of responsibility includes climate have performance goals. Their performance to these goals impacts compensation (i.e., bonus, promotions, etc.).
[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Shareholder approval of climate transition plan

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The incentive is a composite performance factor/personal performance factor.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

A percentage of the CEO's annual incentive compensation is determined by personal performance factors. While the financial factors are determined by the Company's consolidated financial results, the personal performance factor structure allows the flexibility to establish goals that are applicable to the specific executive officer.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

☑ Environment/Sustainability manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Resource use and efficiency

☑ Reduction in water consumption volumes – direct operations

☑ Improvements in water efficiency – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The incentive is a composite performance factor/personal performance factor.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

A percentage of annual incentive compensation is determined by personal performance factors. While the financial factors are determined by the Company's consolidated financial results, the personal performance factor structure reflect establish goals that are applicable to the scope of responsibility.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☑ General Counsel

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Board approval of climate transition plan

☑ Other strategy and financial planning-related metrics, please specify **:**Integration of Sustainability strategy into overall business strategy

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The incentive is a composite performance factor/personal performance factor

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

A percentage of the General Counsel's annual incentive compensation is determined by personal performance factors. While the financial factors are determined by the Company's consolidated financial results, the personal performance factor structure allows the flexibility to establish goals that are applicable to the specific executive officer.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

☑ Environment/Sustainability manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☑ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☑ Progress towards environmental targets

☑ Achievement of environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Board approval of climate transition plan

☑ Shareholder approval of climate transition plan

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☑ Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

The incentive is a composite performance factor/personal performance factor.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

A percentage of annual incentive compensation is determined by personal performance factors. While the financial factors are determined by the Company's consolidated financial results, the personal performance factor structure reflect establish goals that are applicable to the scope of responsibility.
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

(4.6.1) Does your organization have any environmental policies?

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.6.2) Primary reason for not having an environmental policy

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Fortive is currently exploring ways to develop and implement an environmental policy that is separate from our Environmental, Health, and Safety and Sustainability policy.

(4.6.3) Explain why you do not have an environmental policy

Fortive is currently exploring ways to develop and implement an environmental policy that is separate from our Environmental, Health, and Safety and Sustainability policy.
[Fixed row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☑ UN Global Compact

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

Fortive is a signatory to the UN Global Compact
[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☑ Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Our engagement is led and informed by our publicly stated goals and Sustainability strategy, which includes climate change. We engage when/if there is a public policy or proposed regulations that either support our goals and strategy (lend support) or to raise opposition with public policy or proposed regulations that may negatively impact our strategy. For example, Fortive submitted comments to the Securities & Exchange Commission in response to the draft Climate-related Disclosure Ruling to raise concerns about select proposed requirements.
[Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

None in reporting year 2023

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental impacts and pressures

☑ Other environmental impacts and pressures, please specify **:**No applicable in reporting year

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Global

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☑ Neutral

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify **:**Not applicable in reporting year

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

Not applicable in reporting year

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:
☑ No, we have not evaluated
[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:
☑ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :In mainstream reports, incorporating GRI, SASB, TCFD.

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Strategy

☑ Governance

☑ Emission targets

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Dependencies & Impacts

☑ Water accounting figures

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Protect the Planet. Report Appendix

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2023-Fortive-Sustainability-Report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

See attached

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Governance

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Strategy

☑ Emissions figures

☑ Emission targets

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

3-4, 10, 17, 19-20, 22, 27, 29, 34-37

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2024-proxy-statement.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

See attached
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Discovery and planning for CSA are in progress.

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Discovery and planning for CSA are in progress.

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Discovery and planning for CSA are in progress.

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Discovery and planning for CSA are in progress.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Our strategy has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities, and we are developing a climate transition plan within two years

(5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

In 2022, Fortive announced a 50% absolute Scope 1 & 2 GHG emissions reduction target by 2029 that is aligned with the Science-based Targets Initiative (SBTi) guidance. We continue to work to achieve alignment with TCFD and have taken initial steps, including: i) added climate change experience to the skills matrix for members of the Board Nominating and Governance Committee, ii) incorporated climate-related performance goals for leaders and management with relevant responsibilities, iii) reviewed and refined the risks and opportunities for operating companies within the Risk Assessment Process (RAP) to capture short- and long-term physical and transition risks, iv) expanded our Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) accounting to include over 95% of our real estate footprint, aligned with the Science Based Target initiative (SBTi) guidance, and v) announced our intention to reduce water use at our major operations by 2029, from 2022 levels. Additional details will become available as we continue to develop our processes and controls and advance towards full alignment.
[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☑ Products and services

☑ Upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Investment in R&D

☑ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

In 2022, we deployed a methodology to qualify products and services that enable Sustainability-related outcomes directly or through customer applications. The outcome is two-fold: 1) we established a standardized process across Fortive, that is now integrated into quarterly financial reporting; AND 2) we determined that approximately 60% of Fortive's 2022 revenue was generated from sustainability-enabling products and services. The products align with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs). By calculating a baseline, we have a start line from which to drive continuous improvement and measure our growth. Fortive's aspirations to improve the % revenue influences strategy - at the enterprise and operating company levels. Our customers count on Fortive's innovative products and services to accelerate progress toward these ambitious, world-shaping goals. We partner with our customers to enable safer workplaces and medical

environments, renewable energy solutions, and smarter use of precious natural resources. Climate-related risks and opportunities accelerate the demand and our innovative culture to develop and enhance products and services to realize the impacts our products enable, at scale.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

In 2023, Fortive completed our comprehensive Scope 3 relevancy assessment and quantified our 2022 and 2023 Scope 3 emissions for all categories deemed relevant. The Fortive procurement team constantly evaluates service providers to augment and advance our responsible sourcing efforts and supply chain resiliency to include climate related risks and opportunities.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Approximately 60% of Fortive's revenue was derived from sustainability-related products & services in 2023. This is the keystone of our Sustainability Value Proposition, and operating companies account for climate-related risks and opportunities by prioritizing R&D investments that reflect known and anticipated customer needs. Our proprietary Lean Portfolio Management (LPM) tool drives teams to make strategic portfolio investment decisions and carry out innovative growth programs. Through this process, we solicit customer input and leverage our stakeholders' knowledge of markets and emerging technologies to advance and support our products already in the market, or to phase out obsolete products and services to make way for new innovations. The LPM process helps Fortive and our operating companies deliver greater returns on research and development and accelerate innovation, positioning businesses for thoughtful and sustainable R&D efforts and product solutions. Fortive's Growth Accelerator is a powerful and proven FBS toolset for generating and pursuing breakthrough innovation that drives an increase in our organic growth. Growth Accelerator provides the space for our employees to solve customer problems by developing inventive solutions and quickly testing uncertainties and risks to enable faster learning and decision making. Coupling the Growth Accelerator with Lean Portfolio Management enables our businesses to bring high quality products to market at a faster pace. This is true for all Fortive products and services, including climaterelated products and services.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Through the Enterprise Risk Management, EHS Risk Score and energy kaizen programs, we account for climate change-related risk and energy use reduction opportunities and energy efficiency strategies. Climate change and water-related risks are identified through the ERM, EHS Risk Score, and Sustainability

Assessment processes. The energy kaizen program is an intensive, proactive process, used to identify energy efficiency and carbon reduction opportunities at the site and operational level.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Revenues

☑ Indirect costs

☑ Capital expenditures

☑ Acquisitions and divestments

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Indirect costs: climate-related increases in global average temperatures presents risk to operational costs (i.e., indirect costs) due to increased demand for energy to maintain and manage workplace temperatures. Capital allocation: We invest in emissions reduction projects to reduce energy use/improve energy efficiency to reduce GHG emissions and indirect costs. Dedicated capital allocation enables operating companies to implement energy efficiency and renewable energy projects.

Revenues: In 2022, we deployed a methodology to qualify products and services that enable Sustainability-related outcomes directly or through customer applications. Understanding our baseline of revenue associated with sustainability-related products and services positions us to establish aspirational targets to drive continuous improvement. Acquisitions and divestments: Several of Fortive's own products and services enable us to test and implement a range of services to execute our own Sustainability initiatives and advance our performance. Our business strategy and portfolio of companies continue to evolve towards more software-based (vs. manufacturing) businesses. With this transition, the carbon intensity of our operations is decreasing and shifting more toward indirect emissions.
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

15

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

-15

(5.9.5) Please explain

2023 was the first year of Fortive's 2029 water reduction goal. Fortive continues to identify and assess viable water reduction projects and their required CapEx investment. These findings will enable us to estimate a forward trend for CapEx
[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Fortive utilizes best practices to quantify environmental impact and allocate resources across our organization accordingly. Fortive does not leverage internal pricing to drive progress at this time.

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

Fortive utilizes best practices to quantify environmental impact, risks, and opportunities; and allocates resources across our organization accordingly. Fortive does not leverage internal pricing to drive progress at this time.
[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change
Customers	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water
Investors and shareholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water
Other value chain stakeholders	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select all that apply* ☑ Climate change ☑ Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Business risk mitigation

☑ Procurement spend

☑ Other, please specify **:**Suppliers are prioritized by our allocated spend and how intensive the commodity is, focusing on those more carbon intensive suppliers that see higher Fortive spend.

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Supplier engagement still underway
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

	Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process	Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance	Comment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ No, but we plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance	*Fortive is determining reasonable environmental requirements from our suppliers that support Scope 3 GHG emission reductions.*

[Fixed row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:
☑ No other supplier engagement
[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:
☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Other education/information sharing, please specify **:**Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes (i.e. Energy STAR)

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Due to the prominence and role of Fortive's operating companies in relevant markets such as renewable energy, we both receive questions/inquiries from customers AND solicit information from customers about products' and services' energy efficiency, certifications, etc.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Net promoter score (NPS): varies by operating company, business unit, product category etc. but on average, we always look to increase NPS by 10%

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify **:**Fortive OpCos maintain community relations with watershed organizations.

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Innovation and collaboration

☑ Incentivize collaborative sustainable water management in river basins

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We will assess risks and opportunities with value chain partners once we are in a position to educate about water stewardship from a technical perspective.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We will assess risks and opportunities with value chain partners once we are in a position to educate about water stewardship from a technical perspective.
[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

Row 1

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Innovation

☑ New product or service that reduces customers' operational emissions

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Approximately 60% of Fortive's 2023 revenue was generated from Sustainability-aligned products and services. Fortive believes that many of these products and services would benefit customers' environmental initiatives.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

☑ Improved resource use and efficiency

☑ Increased transparency of upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Reduction of customers' operational emissions (customer scope 1 & 2)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**TBD following more directed customer engagement

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

☑ No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Carbon and water savings can vary greatly depending on the particular customer and their operations, ambitions, and priorities.

Row 2

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Innovation

☑ New product or service that reduces customers' operational emissions

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Approximately 60% of Fortive's 2023 revenue was generated from Sustainability-aligned products and services. Fortive believes that many of these products and services would benefit customers' environmental initiatives.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

☑ Improved resource use and efficiency

☑ Increased transparency of upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Reduction of customers' operational emissions (customer scope 1 & 2)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**TBD following more directed customer engagement.

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

☑ No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Carbon and water savings can vary greatly depending on the particular customer and their operations, ambitions, and priorities.

Row 3

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

☑ Water

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Innovation

☑ New product or service that reduces customers' operational emissions

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Approximately 60% of Fortive's 2023 revenue was generated from Sustainability-aligned products and services. Fortive believes that many of these products and services would benefit customers' environmental initiatives.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

☑ Improved resource use and efficiency

☑ Increased transparency of upstream/downstream value chain

☑ Reduction of customers' operational emissions (customer scope 1 & 2)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**TBD following more directed customer engagement.

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

☑ No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Carbon and water savings can vary greatly depending on the particular customer and their operations, ambitions, and priorities.
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

(5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

Fortive has prioritized GHG emission reductions across our operations, as well as water consumption reduction across our key sites, prior to engaging customers on mutually beneficial environmental initiatives.
[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*Operational control boundary reflects Fortives business profile, as well as the impacts risks and opportunities presented.*
Water	*Select from:* ☑ Operational control	*Operational control boundary reflects Fortives business profile, as well as the impacts risks and opportunities presented.*
Plastics	*Select from:* ☑ Other, please specify **:**No current approach, under evaluation	*Fortive is evaluating the materiality of Plastics to our business and the impacts, risks, and opportunities therein.*
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Other, please specify **:**No current approach, under evaluation	*Fortive is evaluating the materiality of Biodiversity to our business and the impacts, risks, and opportunities therein.*

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
☑ The Climate Registry: General Reporting Protocol
☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard
☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
☑ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Indirect Emissions From Purchased Electricity
☑ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources
☑ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources
☑ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Fugitive Emissions from Refrigeration, Air Conditioning, Fire Suppression, and Industrial Gases

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	*Select from:* ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	*We have expanded our methodology to incorporate both location-based and market-based figures.*

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21188.3

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

51871.6

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

47595.4

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

187043

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend-based EIO emissions calculation methodology

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

132258

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend-based EIO emissions calculation methodology

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11757.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Fuel-based emissions calculation methodology

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

87325

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Supplier-specific method and Spend-based method emissions calculation methodology

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2710

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Waste-type-specific emissions calculation methodology

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11433.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend-based and Distance-based emissions calculation methodology

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13455

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Distance-based emissions calculation methodology

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category is not relevant because all leased assets are included in Scope 1 and 2 emissions

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

These emissions are included in Category 4 figure

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category is not relevant because Fortive does not produce intermediate products that require processing.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

210010

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Used the specifications (energy source, energy intensity, average energy consumption per use/cycle, hours used per day) by product category to determine energy intensity of each product category (e.g., kWh per single product in category). Each energy intensity by product category was then scaled to lifetime emissions of the products sold in each category for 2023 by multiplying time product lifetime, units sold, and the eGRID U.S. national grid average emissions intensity.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Used the specifications (product material composition and product mass) to obtain a total mass value for all products sold in 2023. Mass fraction of materials in each product were not readily available so assumed mixed electronics material type for all products sold. For a conservative estimate since we were not able to confirm

customer disposal behavior, assumed all products sold were landfilled. Therefore, the WARM factor for mixed electronics disposed via landfill was used to calculate Category 12 greenhouse gas emissions.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant, Fortive doesn't have downstream leased assets to report.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant, Fortive does not have businesses operating with a franchise model.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3298

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Investment-specific emissions calculation methodology

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

08/13/2099

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

08/13/2099

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13257.9

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15373.2

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change

Past year 2

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13852.7

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2021

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change

Past year 3

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19687.6

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2020

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change

Past year 4

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21188.3

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2019

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42306.5

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

37911.7

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42856.6

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

36701.3

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

43692.4

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

39673.5

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2021

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change.

Past year 3

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

43722

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

39385.3

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2020

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change.

Past year 4

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

51871.6

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

47595.4

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2019

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Consistent with the accounting methodology outlined in The Greenhouse Gas Protocol - A Corporate Accounting and Reporting standards, we update our GHG inventory to reflect current day Fortive operating companies back through the 2019 base year. We also revise historical data when and where inaccuracies are identified, exceeding the /- 5% threshold for material change.
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

185569

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Fortive has begun supplier engagement efforts to develop a means to obtain emissions directly from suppliers or value chain partners

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

119357

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Fortive has begun supplier engagement efforts to develop a means to obtain emissions directly from suppliers or value chain partners

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

10604

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Fortive uses 2023 consumption data and applied emission factors to calculate GHG emissions for fuel and energy related activities that are outside of the Scope 1 and 2 boundary. For Fortive's portfolio, this included the following: upstream electricity, transmission and distribution losses from purchased electricity, and fuels (fuel oil, diesel, natural gas, and jet fuel).

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

58982

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier-specific method

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

60

(7.8.5) Please explain

Where supplier specific activity data were available by mode and leg used EPA EF Hub emissions factors for transportation and distribution to calculate emissions; Where supplier-specific data were not available, used 2023 spend data and applied U.S. EEIO factors to calculate Upstream T&D by shipment mode. U.S. EEIO factors were adjusted for inflation to 2023 USD.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4214

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Used 2023 waste data and property area to calculate total waste emissions by site type. Used the EPA's WARM emissions factors to calculate emissions by material type and disposal method.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

13237

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

(7.8.5) Please explain

Used business travel data to calculate emissions from hotel stays, air travel, and rental car use by applying the EPA's EF Hub factors for business travel.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

12061

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Emissions are calculated on a country-by-country basis. Used passenger-miles traveled to calculated emissions using the EPA EF Hub Category 7, passenger car emissions factor

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Accounted for in category 4

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

192512

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Used the specifications (energy source, energy intensity, average energy consumption per use/cycle, hours used per day) by product category to determine energy intensity of each product category (e.g., kWh per single product in category). Each energy

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Used the specifications (energy source, energy intensity, average energy consumption per use/cycle, hours used per day) by product category to determine energy intensity of each product category (e.g., kWh per single product in category). Each energy intensity by product category was then scaled to lifetime emissions of the products sold in each category for 2023 by multiplying time product lifetime, units sold, and the eGRID U.S. national grid average emissions intensity.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

26

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Other, please specify :Used the specifications (product material composition and product mass) to obtain a total mass value for all products sold in 2023. Mass fraction of materials in each product were not readily available so assumed mixed electronics material type for a

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Used the specifications (product material composition and product mass) to obtain a total mass value for all products sold in 2023. Mass fraction of materials in each product were not readily available so assumed mixed electronics material type for all products sold. For a conservative estimate since we were not able to confirm customer disposal behavior, assumed all products sold were landfilled. Therefore, the WARM factor for mixed electronics disposed via landfill was used to calculate Category 12 greenhouse gas emissions.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Fortive does not have relevant downstream leased assets

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Fortive OpCos do not operate under Franchise business model

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3438

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Investment-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Scaled emissions relative to % ownership against company revenue, applying EEIO factor by industry category

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

187043

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

132258

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

11757

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

87325

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

2710

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

11433

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

13455

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

210010

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

27

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

3298

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Categories with 0 MT CO2e are not relevant to Fortive for Scope 3
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

Fortive CY23 Assurance Statement-ASRauth.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

1

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Fortive CY23 Assurance Statement-ASRauth.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

1

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1413.6

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.7

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Based on bundled RECs received in CY 2023

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

934.78

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

5.9

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Completed Emission Reduction Projects in CY 2023 compared against pre-project values

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:
☑ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

N/A
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:
☑ Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

12028.3

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

6.9

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☑ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

36.5

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ SF6

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1189.1

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4 - 100 year)
[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1001.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1001.5

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.8

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

99.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

80.1

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

69.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

140.5

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

140.5

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.7

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

31.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6947.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6947.3

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.7

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.5

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.4

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

62.7

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

468.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

679.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

787.1

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.4

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

69.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1326.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1326.7

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

42.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

42.9

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23.8

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

94.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

24.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40.1

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

402.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

402.6

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

28.2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

28.2

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

78.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

78.4

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

28.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

350.8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

492.1

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

106.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

61.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

81.2

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.1

Qatar

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.4

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

56.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

56.9

Russian Federation

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

141.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

141.4

Saudi Arabia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.6

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.9

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

162.6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

162.6

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

198.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.4

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

28.8

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.9

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

68.8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.1

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

141.4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

141.4

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.3

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

13.3

United Arab Emirates

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.4

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.7

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

100.2

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

651.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1159.7

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11934.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

29830.1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

24603.2

Viet Nam

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.9
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☑ By business division

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

Row 1

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Gems Sensors

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

224.4

Row 3

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Hengstler/Dynapar

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

473.1

Row 4

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Provation

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

4.8

Row 5

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Gordian

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1.8

Row 6

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Fluke Health Systems

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

189.3

Row 7

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Advanced Sterilization Products (ASP)

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1672.7

Row 8

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Anderson-Negele

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

77.5

Row 9

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Fortive Corporate

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

3173.3

Row 10

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Industrial Scientific

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

681.9

Row 12

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Pacific Scientific EMC

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

411.8

Row 13

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Setra

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

277.7

Row 14

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Accruent

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

11.7

Row 15

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Tektronix

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

3483.6

Row 16

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Censis

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

0

Row 17

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Fluke

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

2376

Row 18

(7.17.1.1) Business division

ServiceChannel

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

1.8

Row 19

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Intelex

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

0

Row 20

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Invetech

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

0

Row 21

(7.17.1.1) Business division

Qualitrol

(7.17.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)

196.4
[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☑ By business division

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

Row 1

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Invetech

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

988.2

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

979.1

Row 3

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Industrial Scientific

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2523.2

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2551.1

Row 4

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Tektronix

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16772.3

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16706.7

Row 5

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Intelex

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 6

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Accruent

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

282.7

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

291.4

Row 7

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Anderson-Negele

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

394.2

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

409.7

Row 8

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Gordian

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

182.3

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

182.3

Row 9

(7.20.1.1) Business division

ServiceChannel

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.9

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.9

Row 10

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Pacific Scientific EMC

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3438.7

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3654.2

Row 11

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Fortive Corporate

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

672.7

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

274.8

Row 12

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Provation

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

86.4

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

86.4

Row 13

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Setra

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

798.3

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

798.3

Row 14

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Gems Sensors

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

749.5

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

935.2

Row 15

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Qualitrol

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

367.4

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

424.3

Row 16

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Advanced Sterilization Products (ASP)

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

348.5

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

368.2

Row 17

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Fluke

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9703.8

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6200.6

Row 18

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Censis

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

85.6

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

85.6

Row 19

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Hengstler/Dynapar

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3733.1

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3637.8

Row 20

(7.20.1.1) Business division

Fluke Health Systems

(7.20.1.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1160.8

(7.20.1.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1187.1
[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13257.9

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42306.5

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

37911.7

(7.22.4) Please explain

Fortive reports under a consolidated approach. This includes Fortive corporate and all Fortive OpCos

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

Fortive reports under a consolidated approach. This includes Fortive corporate and all Fortive OpCos
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Invetech

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Medical equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

988.2

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

979.1

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 3

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Gems Sensors

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Electronic components

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

224.4

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

749.5

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

935.2

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 4

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Hengstler/Dynapar

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Electronic components

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

473.1

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3733.1

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3637.8

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 5

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Setra

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Electronic equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

277.7

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

798.3

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

798.3

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 6

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Fluke

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Electronic equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2376

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9703.8

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6200.6

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 7

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Accruent

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Software

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11.7

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

282.7

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

291.4

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 8

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Tektronix

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Electronic equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3483.6

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16772.3

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16706.7

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 9

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

ServiceChannel

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Software

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.8

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18.9

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18.9

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 10

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Intelex

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Software

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 11

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Pacific Scientific EMC

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Aerospace

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

411.8

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3438.7

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3654.2

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 12

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Provation

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Software

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.8

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

86.4

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

86.4

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 13

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Gordian

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Software

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.8

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

182.3

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

182.3

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 14

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Qualitrol

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Infrastructure upkeep & management

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

196.4

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

367.4

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

424.3

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 15

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Advanced Sterilization Products (ASP)

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Medical equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1672.7

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

348.5

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

368.2

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 16

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Anderson-Negele

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Electronic components

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

77.5

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

394.2

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

409.7

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 17

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Fluke Health Systems

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☑ Medical equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

189.3

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1160.8

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1187.1

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 18

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Censis

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Software

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

85.6

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

85.6

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo

Row 19

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Industrial Scientific

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☑ Electronic equipment

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☑ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

681.9

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2523.2

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2551.1

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Fortive OpCo
[Add row]

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Business unit (subsidiary company)

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Other allocation method, please specify **:**Per dollar emissions as a percentage of total sales

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Other unit, please specify **:**Percentage of total OpCo emissions on a per dollar of sales

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

20.59

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Natural Gas

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Percentage of total emissions by sales

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

None

Row 2

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Business unit (subsidiary company)

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Other allocation method, please specify :Per dollar emissions as a percentage of total sales

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Other unit, please specify :Percentage of total OpCo emissions on a per dollar of sales

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

0

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

171.93

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Percentage of total emissions by sales

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

None
[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify **:**Fortive is implementing controls and processes to enable to highest accuracy in accounting for allocated share.

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Applying our Scope 3 - Category 11 GHG emissions directly to the units sold to specific customers. Also applying the most accurate and effective allocation measures to our Scope 1 and 2 emissions relative to customers.
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

	Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Refine process for allocation of emissions relative to product line and proportional share of business with Fortive*

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☑ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:*

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV (lower heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

1151.8

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

47277.8

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

48429.6

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

5769.7

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

124651.1

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

130420.8

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

6921.5

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

171928.9

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

178850.4
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

1151.8

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No comment

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No comment

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No comment

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No comment

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

2440.1

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No comment

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

44837.7

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No comment

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No comment

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ LHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

48429.6

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No comment
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

500

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ US-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

500

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☑ US-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment

Row 3

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:
☑ Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:
☑ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

800

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:
☑ GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☑ Germany

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2013

(7.30.14.10) Comment

No comment
[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1537.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1537.50

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

35.3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

35.30

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

19.50

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

743.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

743.20

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1187.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1187.50

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4.50

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11338.8

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

11338.80

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4.50

Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0.8

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.80

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2.7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2.70

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

500.8

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

500.80

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1947.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1947.60

Greece

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.60

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

11.50

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1851.3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1851.30

Indonesia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

54.7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

54.70

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

50

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

50.00

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.20

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

87.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

87.50

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

865.7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

865.70

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

45.4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

45.40

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

192.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

192.10

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1122.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1122.60

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

94.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

94.20

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6.9

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6.90

Qatar

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7.10

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

124.3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

124.30

Russian Federation

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

388.9

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

388.90

Saudi Arabi

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4.7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4.70

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

424.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

424.20

Slovakia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19.3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

19.30

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

104.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

104.20

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

202.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

202.60

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

117.1

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

117.10

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

247.7

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

247.70

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

21.9

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

21.90

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

31.4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

31.40

United Arab Emirates

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3.60

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3157

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3157.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

98077.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

98077.50

Viet Nam

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14.00
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.00844

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

51169.6

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

6065300000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

5.62

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Other emissions reduction activities
☑ Change in output
☑ Change in revenue

(7.45.9) Please explain

Scope 1 & 2 GHG emissions decreased from 2022 to 2023 AND annual revenue increased over the same period.
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify **:**Energy intensity per operational square foot

(7.52.2) Metric value

23652

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

MMBTu

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Square Footage (SF)

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

1.7

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Operational efficiencies and reduced natural gas consumption
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
☑ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:
☑ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, and we have committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative in the next two years

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:
☑ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

04/18/2022

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Methane (CH4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☑ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☑ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☑ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

21188.3

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

47595.4

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

68783.700

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

30.8

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

69.2

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

95

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2029

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

50

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

34391.850

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

13257.9

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

38791.6

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

52049.500

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

48.66

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

At least 95% of Fortive's real estate portfolio is covered. Joint Venture sites where Fortive has a minority interest are not included and represent less than 5% of Fortives real estate portfolio.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Reduce Scope 1 & 2 GHG emissions 50% from 2019 baseline across at least 95% of our real estate footprint by 2029

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Implementation of energy efficiency projects, as well as on-site and off-site renewable energy procurement.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:
☑ No
[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
☑ No other climate-related targets

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	*0*	*`Numeric input*
To be implemented	*5*	*6674.91*
Implementation commenced	*2*	*738.86*
Implemented	*2*	*663.17*

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Not to be implemented	*0*	*`Numeric input*

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Fugitive emissions reductions

☑ Other, please specify **:**SF6 Recapture System to remove SF6 from direct emission streams from calibration processes.

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

5549.18

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

12000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

320000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings
☑ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

33.17

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)
☑ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

70109

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

81327

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

N/A
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Fortive invests in the development and modification of its products and services in response to actual or anticipated customer demands for solutions that help customers achieve their emissions reduction goals. For example, across Fortive, our operating companies fund and staff testing laboratories for products and services that support lower carbon market solutions (e.g., renewable energy, sensing technology) and our customers' carbon intensity. Where applicable, we apply those solutions to our own operations to drive performance and emissions reductions.

Row 4

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Fortive is investing in emissions reduction projects to reduce our operational carbon emissions. This includes infrastructure upgrades and improvements, gas recovery systems, and energy use avoidance. The funding for these projects is a dedicated budget, separate from standard CapEx funding and is allocated based on impact to GHG emissions reductions.

Row 5

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Fortive is investing in emissions reduction projects to reduce our operational carbon emissions. This includes energy efficiency projects such as lighting upgrades, process improvements, equipment updates and retrofits and more. The funding for these projects is a dedicated budget, separate from standard CapEx funding and is allocated based on impact to GHG emissions reductions.
[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:
☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:
☑ Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify **:**Products and services with Sustainability related attributes.

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Power
☑ Other, please specify **:**Solar PV test and measurement

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

Fluke offers a suite of products that enable customers to reduce and avoid emissions, including (but not limited to):-Thermal imaging: handheld thermal cameras for preventive maintenance, inspections and frontline troubleshooting of electrical systems,-Thermal calibration: tools that identify and correct errors in temperature measurement to establish and maintain desired set points in process heating and cooling applications/HVAC,-Industrial imaging: Enable customers to locate air, gas and vacuum leaks in compressed air systems (compressed air leaks are a leading source of waste energy use in industrial operations (6% of total energy consumed, on average),-Power standards: Calibrating equipment used to manage reliability of power distribution. and.-Fluke battery testers: Tools and equipment to test efficiency of batteries, including batteries associated with solar photovoltaic (PV) arrays, to discharge efficiency and maximize charging cycles.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☑ No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

Row 3

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

☑ Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**Products and services with Sustainability related attributes

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Power

☑ Other, please specify **:**Electrical Utilities

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

Qualitrol provides a range of products that monitor electricity and power infrastructure to ensure stable, continuous operations by:-monitoring single parameters on a power transformer to maintain health and alarm on established thresholds-providing electrical utilities insight into the health of their assets to aid in better planning of resources, prolonging asset life, avoiding unplanned power outages, and avoid environmental remediation incidents (fires and oil spills) due to catastrophic asset failure, -.diagnosing faults and power quality issues on the electrical grid when an unplanned power outages occur, andhelping electrical utilities to restore power quickly and safely while providing data to root cause the issue of the outage(s).

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☑ No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0
[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☑ No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and on-site metering

(9.2.4) Please explain

Sites self-report through Intelex SPI application, evidencing reported values with their third party or internal metering values.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Reported values are either reported as municipal water, well-water, or recycled water.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not monitored

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Site reported discharge amounts by volume

(9.2.4) Please explain

Sites self-report through Intelex SPI application, evidencing reported values with their third party or internal metering values.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not monitored

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not monitored

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not monitored

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not monitored

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not monitored

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and on-site metering

(9.2.4) Please explain

EHS Significant sites, WRI Aqueduct Extreme and/or High risk scored

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility bills and on-site metering

(9.2.4) Please explain

Limited sites recycle water and/or reuse water

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not monitored
[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

359.9

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Fortive intends to reduce water use 10% by 2029, from 2022 levels.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

28.8

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Fortive intends to reduce water use 10% by 2029, from 2022 levels.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

388.7

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Fortive intends to reduce water use 10% by 2029, from 2022 levels.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

74.5

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

20.70

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☑ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

Sites identified as Extreme or High risk in WRI Aqueduct tool are prioritized in Fortive's water reduction goal.
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

10

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

☑ 1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

In accordance with CSRD, Fortive will evaluate water IROs in preparation for ESRS reporting standards alignment.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, but we are planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

In accordance with CSRD, Fortive will evaluate water IROs in preparation for ESRS reporting standards alignment.
[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

ASP - Irvine, CA, USA

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Other, please specify **:**'Santa Ana', 'Arroyo Tijuana / Arroyo de Maneadero', 'San Diego', 'San Luis Rey / Escondido', 'Santa Margarita', 'Aliso / San Onofre', 'Newport Bay'

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.65489

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.743099

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.72

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

4.72

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased operations and on-site workers.

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Fluke - Shanghai, China

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Other, please specify **:**Lake Tail Hu

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.306174

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.61227

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

N/A

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Fortive - Ahmedabad, India

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

☑ Other, please specify **:**Sabarmati

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

23.003753

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

72.50094

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.24

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

1

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

1

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.24

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

N/A

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tektronix - Bangalore, India

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India
☑ Other, please specify **:**Ponnaivar

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

12.936875

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

77.69213

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.41

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.41

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Decreased water consumption

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tektronix - Beijing, China

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Other, please specify **:**'Bai He', 'Miyun Shuiku'

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.97148

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

116.48988

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

N/A

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 9

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tektronix- Pudong, China

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Other, please specify **:**Lake Tail Hu

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.24669

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.61723

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.27

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

5.27

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

N/A

Row 10

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 10

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tektronix - Shanghai, China

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ No

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

☑ Other, please specify **:**Lake Tail Hu

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

31.229745

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.355553

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

N/A

Row 12

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Fluke - Phoenix, AZ, USA

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.375769

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-111.979729

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

5.4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

5.4

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased operations and on-site workers.

Row 13

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:
☑ Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Pacific Scientific EMC - Chandler, AZ, USA

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☑ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☑ Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

33.284371

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-111.962174

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

27.9

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

7.93

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

35.83

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased operations and on-site workers.

Row 15

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☑ Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Invetech - San Diego, CA, USA

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:
☑ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply
☑ Risks

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:
☑ Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

No Data Available

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America
☑ Other, please specify **:**Santa Ana', 'Arroyo Tijuana / Arroyo de Maneadero', 'San Diego', 'San Luis Rey / Escondido', 'Santa Margarita', 'Aliso / San Onofre', 'Newport Bay'

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

32.901918

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-117.180791

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.08

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.08

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ This is our first year of measurement

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Will provide comparisons going forward
[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Fortive continues to evaluate opportunities for third party verification of water data

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Fortive continues to evaluate opportunities for third party verification of water data

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Fortive continues to evaluate opportunities for third party verification of water data

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Fortive continues to evaluate opportunities for third party verification of water data

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Fortive continues to evaluate opportunities for third party verification of water data

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Fortive continues to evaluate opportunities for third party verification of water data

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Fortive continues to evaluate opportunities for third party verification of water data

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:
☑ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Fortive continues to evaluate opportunities for third party verification of water data
[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:
☑ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue (currency)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
	6065300000	*16852736.87*	*Improved water withdrawl efficiency as water efficiency projects continue to be implemented*

[Fixed row]

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

Row 1

(9.12.1) Product name

None

(9.12.2) Water intensity value

0

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify **:**None

(9.12.4) Denominator

None

(9.12.5) Comment

None
[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances	Comment
	Select from: ☑ Unknown	*Under Evaluation*

[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact	Please explain
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Important but not an immediate business priority	*Fortive's portfolio of products and services may enables customers to use less water/be more water efficient.*

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Fortive will continue to evaluate materiality.*
Water withdrawals	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]*
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Fortive will continue to evaluate materiality.*
Other	*Select from:*	*Fortive will continue to evaluate materiality.*

	Target set in this category	Please explain
	☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	

[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

☑ Reduction in total water withdrawals

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

08/13/2022

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/31/2022

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

358.9

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/31/2029

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

323

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

408

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Underway

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

-137

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☑ None, alignment not assessed

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Reduce absolute water use 10% by 2029, relative to 2022 levels. In support of this goal, we are identifying and evaluating opportunities to reduce water use in high-risk regions and at our highest use sites.

(9.15.2.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Water efficiency projects, education, and awareness

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

N/A
[Add row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: ☑ No, and we do not plan to undertake any biodiversity-related actions

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Not Assessed*
UNESCO World Heritage sites	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Not Assessed*
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Not Assessed*
Ramsar sites	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Not Assessed*
Key Biodiversity Areas	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Not Assessed*
Other areas important for biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ Not assessed	*Not Assessed*

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party	Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party	Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Other, please specify :Fortive is continuing to evaluate opportunities to have additional environmental data verified/assured by a third party	*Scope 3 and Water data has not been third-party verified to date. Fortive continues to evaluate the potential for this.*

[Fixed row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information	Attachment (optional)
	None	*2023-Fortive-Sustainability-Report-Appendix.pdf*

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Peter Underwood

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:
☑ General Counsel
[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:
☑ Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute