



Dell Technologies

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Dell Technologies is a leader in the global technology industry focused on providing broad and innovative technology solutions for the data and artificial intelligence ("AI") era. These solutions range from client devices and peripherals to infrastructure solutions across servers, networking, and storage to meet the evolving needs of our customers and drive better business outcomes. With our extensive portfolio and our commitment to innovation, we offer secure, integrated solutions that extend from the edge to the core to the cloud, and we are at the forefront of AI, software-defined, and cloud native infrastructure solutions. Our differentiated and holistic information technology ("IT") solutions enable us to provide value and capture growth as customer spending priorities evolve. Dell Technologies operates globally in over 170 countries, supported by a world-class organization across key functional areas, including technology and product development, marketing, sales, services, and financing. We have a number of operational advantages that provide a critical foundation for our success. We provide leading end-to-end solutions across our portfolio of products and services. Our go-to-market operations include an extensive direct sales force, with the ability to build deep customer relationships, and a global network of channel partners. Our global services footprint consists of service and support professionals and vendor-managed service centers that support customers across the world. Our world-class supply chain operates at a significant scale with the ability to remain agile in a variety of environments. We offer customers choice in how they acquire our solutions, including traditional purchasing and a portfolio of offerings that provide both payment and consumption solutions, including as-a-Service, subscription, utility, leases, and loans. These options allow our customers to pay over time and provide them with operational and financial flexibility.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

01/31/2025

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ Not providing past emissions data for Scope 1

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ Not providing past emissions data for Scope 2

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 5 years

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

95567000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

24703L202

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

DELL

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☒ Oman

☒ Peru

☒ Chile

☒ China

☒ Egypt

☒ Spain

☒ Brazil

☒ Canada

☒ France

☒ Greece

☒ Panama

☒ Poland

☒ Sweden

☒ India

☒ Italy

☒ Japan

☒ Kenya

☒ Qatar

☒ Israel

☒ Jordan

☒ Kuwait

☒ Mexico

☒ Norway

☒ Bahrain

☒ Belgium

☒ Croatia

- ✓ Turkey
- ✓ Austria
- ✓ Estonia
- ✓ Finland
- ✓ Germany
- ✓ Hungary
- ✓ Ireland
- ✓ Bulgaria
- ✓ Colombia
- ✓ Malaysia
- ✓ Pakistan
- ✓ Portugal
- ✓ Indonesia
- ✓ Lithuania
- ✓ Singapore
- ✓ Sri Lanka
- ✓ Bangladesh
- ✓ Philippines
- ✓ Switzerland
- ✓ Saudi Arabia
- ✓ South Africa
- ✓ Taiwan, China

- ✓ Czechia
- ✓ Denmark
- ✓ Morocco
- ✓ Nigeria
- ✓ Romania
- ✓ Tunisia
- ✓ Ukraine
- ✓ Slovakia
- ✓ Thailand
- ✓ Viet Nam
- ✓ Argentina
- ✓ Australia
- ✓ Costa Rica
- ✓ Kazakhstan
- ✓ Luxembourg
- ✓ Netherlands
- ✓ New Zealand
- ✓ Republic of Korea
- ✓ Hong Kong SAR, China
- ✓ United Arab Emirates
- ✓ United States of America
- ✓ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No, we do not have this data and have no plans to collect it	<i>Not a strategic priority at the moment.</i>

[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 4+ suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

As part of its risk management processes Dell Technologies has a variety of processes which map our value chain. For our own operations, tier 1 suppliers, and some tier 2 suppliers, we continuously monitor for a variety of hazards which may affect our business, of which environmental factors such as severe weather events are included. As part of our scenario analysis we have also mapped a portion of our own operations and tier one supply chain for a variety of climate related hazards to understand how they may be more or less susceptible to different physical hazards across different climate scenarios.

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

A time horizon of up to one year is considered short-term by Dell Technologies. Used during the annual Enterprise Risk Assessment process, this allows for the prioritization of risks and opportunities that must be addressed immediately.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

A time horizon of one to five years is considered medium-term. This provides the necessary lead time for Dell Technologies to make business decisions to address large risks as identified in our Enterprise Risk Assessment.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

A time horizon of beyond 5 years is considered long-term and includes associated risks or opportunities for Dell Technologies. This gives time for risks that may not come to pass, or risks that will require significant amounts of time to address.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

(2.2.1) Process in place

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.2.2) Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process

Select from:

☒ Impacts only

(2.2.4) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(2.2.5) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts and describe any plans to do so in the future

Dell currently does not have a process for identifying dependencies on nature.

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ Both risks and opportunities	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Impacts
- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ WRI Aqueduct

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ COSO Enterprise Risk Management Framework
- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management
- ☒ ISO 31000 Risk Management Standard

International methodologies and standards

- ☒ Alliance for Water Stewardship Standard
- ☒ IPCC Climate Change Projections
- ☒ Life Cycle Assessment

Other

- ☒ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Heat waves
- ☒ Tornado

Chronic physical

- ☒ Water stress

Policy

- ☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms

Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ NGOs
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

As a global company, Dell Technologies is exposed to a variety of physical and transition climate risks. Dell Technologies is committed to managing and mitigating these risks and is working to better integrate climate into its ERM program. By leveraging the company's overall ERM program alongside ESG specific processes, Dell Technologies is working to ensure that climate risks are governed to the same standard as other risks the company faces, while acknowledging the unique long-term nature of some climate risks. Dell Technologies' Board of Directors is responsible for risk oversight, and Dell Technologies' management is responsible for designing processes and procedures to identify, assess and manage risk on a day-to-day basis. Management has implemented an ERM program, managed by Dell Technologies' internal audit function and supported by management risk committees. The ERM program is designed to work across the business to identify, assess, govern and manage the Company's strategic, operational, financial and compliance risks, including climate risks. Our ERM program seeks to enhance enterprise awareness of risk management, recommend best practices for risk management and highlight critical risk areas to optimize allocation of resources. Although Dell Technologies continually assesses its risk environment, the internal audit function performs an annual risk assessment that is informed by risk data collection, an analysis of industry trends, consideration of insights of third-party risk reporting companies, peer benchmarking and interviews with senior leaders and Company experts. The annual assessment considers whether risks constitute short-, medium- or long-term threats to our enterprise and provides for prioritization, in part, based on the timeframe of such risks. Our ERM program is assessed externally on a periodic basis for best practices and maturity of the program. The ERM program aims to identify the most critical risks and opportunities impacting Dell Technologies' business objectives. We work to foster a strong risk management culture among Dell Technologies team members, because they are our first defense against risk. Across the business, our management risk committees are an integral part of the overall ERM structure, promoting risk management and compliance best practices and oversight within the business. The output of the Company's enterprise risk assessment serves as an important consideration in the Company's risk based internal audit roadmap. Regular updates are provided to the Board and Audit Committee on various risk-related matters as identified by the ERM program, including any related to climate risks.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☒ No

(2.2.7.3) Primary reason for not assessing interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(2.2.7.4) Explain why you do not assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities

We are in the process of evaluating beyond our current approach how we further assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are currently in the process of identifying priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream value chain

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☒ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☒ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

To proactively address water-related risks, Dell conducts an annual water survey within its supply chain. This survey collects data on suppliers' water consumption and discharge. Using this data, we assess suppliers' water dependency, potential impacts, and associated risks. These insights enable us to determine priority locations and take targeted action to mitigate potential disruptions. We also have identified specific areas where flooding could disrupt the movement of goods and impact supply continuity.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☒ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☒ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of CDP reporting, Dell Technologies defines “substantive effects” on our organization based on the financial impact that they may represent. We consider a risk’s potential impact based on its probability of occurring, as well as the total potential magnitude of that risk, which together form the expected impact. Our overall Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework guides the definitions and thresholds of these factors for all risks across the business, including climate and water related risks.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☒ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of CDP reporting Dell Technologies considers opportunities related to climate change and water with a similar framework as it does for risks, with a financial impact being used to define a “substantive impact” for Dell.

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

Dell Technologies maintains a global environmental policy that commits to operate our business in a manner that protects the environment, prevents pollution and maintains compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. As part of Dell Technologies' direct operations, potential water pollutants are identified and risks of detrimental impact on water systems or human health are mitigated by complying with regulatory and local standards and implementing procedures and/or processes to control the risks. From our direct operations, Dell Technologies has a low risk of pollution to water. Potential water pollutants from Dell Technologies' direct operations would be classified into the categories of organics such as nitrates and phosphate (from sanitary sewage) and oils (from facility equipment).

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Oil

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Many of Dell Technologies' facilities have equipment on-site that contains oil, such as emergency generators, transformers or elevators that could accidentally release oil to the environment as a result of a spill/leak due to equipment failure, damage or human error. Oil leaks/spills could potentially migrate to storm drains and impact surface water or oil could seep into soil and impact groundwater. Dell Technologies-owned or managed oil-containing equipment undergoes regular preventive maintenance, which typically includes observations and/or testing of the oil storage tanks/containers to assess their integrity, such as evaluating for rust or corrosion or risk of leaks. Additionally, where local permits are required for oil-containing equipment, the regulatory agencies may inspect the equipment as part of the permitting process.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

☒ Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements

☒ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

Dell Technologies-owned or managed oil-containing equipment undergoes regular preventive maintenance, which typically includes observations and/or testing of the oil storage tanks/containers to assess their integrity, such as evaluating for rust or corrosion or risk of leaks. Additionally, where local permits are required for oil-containing equipment, the regulatory agencies may inspect the equipment as part of the permitting process.

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Nitrates

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

The large majority of Dell Technologies' owned facilities, leased facilities and leased office spaces discharge sanitary wastewater, which contains water pollutants including nitrates and phosphates. The wastewater is typically discharged to the local municipal wastewater collection system for off-site treatment. Dell Technologies does have a small number of facilities that separately treat their sanitary wastewater. At these locations Dell monitors wastewater quality for standard parameters, including levels of nitrates, and treats the discharges per regulatory standards to eliminate potential for water pollution.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

☒ Water recycling

☒ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

For the Dell Technologies facilities that separately treat their sanitary wastewater, Dell Technologies monitors, measures and treats the discharges according to the local regulatory authority's requirements in order to eliminate water pollutants. In some instances, Dell Technologies treats wastewater to standards such that water may be recycled for use in toilet flushing or irrigation. Additionally, at these wastewater facilities, regular preventive maintenance of the critical infrastructure is conducted to assess proper functionality to meet treatment standards.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, only in our upstream/downstream value chain

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Due to low water use, Dell Technologies does not see water security issues to have a substantive effect with respect to direct operations.
[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☒ Other acute physical risk, please specify :We are evaluating a variety of acute physical risks: flooding (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, groundwater) cyclone, hurricane, typhoon, storm (including blizzards, dust and sandstorm)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Extreme weather events and climate-related natural disasters (e.g., hurricanes, tsunamis, heavy rain, high wind, wildfires, blizzards and heatwaves) may disrupt our own operations or value chain operations and result in negative financial, operational or reputational impacts. The future of our business is dependent on the protection of our employees, infrastructure and business processes. More frequent and severe weather events could pose a threat to these critical assets. As a global company, Dell Technologies has a geographically extensive and dispersed supply chain that involves the movement and distribution of parts and finished goods around the world. The effects of climate change, such as extreme weather events and climate-related natural disasters, could result in material or product shortages or delays and disruptions of our logistics and distribution. Any such events could make it difficult to deliver products or for suppliers to deliver components, and create

delays and inefficiencies in our supply chain and increase product costs. These effects could have a negative impact on our net revenue and profitability. Dell Technologies' own operations include critical facilities throughout the globe, which are also exposed to acute physical risks.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Disruption in upstream value chain

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Acute physical risks may disrupt operations in Dell Technologies' upstream value chain. In extreme cases, these disruptions may cause impacts such as the destruction of inventory. If this were to happen, Dell may incur some cash flows to pay the deductible for insurance coverage for affected inventory. There may also be some operational related cash flows involved, such as expediting the shipment of goods between Dell facilities or to a customer.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Diversification

☒ Increase supplier diversification

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Dell Technologies builds resilience into strategy and operations to address acute climate risks through our Enterprise Resiliency Program, which covers business continuity, crisis management, and disaster recovery for natural and human-induced events worldwide. The program assesses critical dependencies to inform recovery planning and drives consistent continuity plans across business units. Examples: - Reduce single points of failure by diversifying suppliers and logistics - Monitor flooding and water levels at key sites with proactive alerts - Support suppliers in risk assessment and resilience planning - Use insurance to limit financial losses from severe weather - Track employees, facilities, and in-transit products for rapid crisis response

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☒ No	<i>Dell Technologies did not have any water-related violations registered within the reporting year.</i>

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(3.6.3) Please explain

Dell Technologies is currently evaluating water-related opportunities.

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

☒ Increased availability of products with reduced environmental impact [other than certified products]

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

As the global carbon footprint of IT increases, there is increasing demand for energy efficient IT products, particularly in Dell Technologies' Infrastructure Solutions Group business. This represents an opportunity for Dell Technologies to differentiate itself and provide customers with more efficient products and services. We are designing our products for optimized energy usage and we are introducing software products to manage power and optimize configurations for increased efficiency. We are encouraging customers to use co-location facilities that rely on different sources of electricity, and provide that option for our as-a-service offerings.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☒ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Dell does not disclose the specific revenue that was brought in from this business line in the previous fiscal year.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Dell will continue to invest in R&D and monitor changes in customer sentiment to help realize this opportunity.

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

☒ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ No

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Water	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority	Not a strategic priority at the moment.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Board Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy

☒ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Board Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
☒ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

	Board-level competency on this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Not assessed
Water	Select from: ☒ Not assessed

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Water	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority	Not a strategic priority at the moment.

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Chief Corporate Affairs Officer

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☒ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☒ Developing a climate transition plan

☒ Implementing a climate transition plan

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Chief Marketing Officer

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Quarterly

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Chief Corporate Affairs Officer

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Chief Marketing Officer

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Quarterly

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- ☒ Environmental, Social, Governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a climate transition plan

- ☒ Implementing a climate transition plan
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Quarterly

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- ☒ Environmental, Social, Governance committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Chief Marketing Officer

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Quarterly

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	% of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue	Please explain
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes	0	Please see further details provided under 4.5.1.
Water	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years	Numeric input [must be between [0 - 100]	Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Chief Corporate Affairs Officer

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Progress towards environmental targets

☒ Achievement of environmental targets

☒ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☒ Reduction in absolute emissions

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Dell has a Global Environmental Policy and the Global Climate Principles. Please see links below (<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/legal-pricing/dell-technologies-global-environmental-policy.pdf>) (<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-technologies-global-climate-principles.pdf>)

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- ☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- ☒ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Climate-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to 100% renewable energy
- ☒ Commitment to net-zero emissions

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- ☒ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- ☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

dell-technologies-global-climate-principles.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Dell Technologies has published a public Water Policy Principles document. This document details Dell Technologies' overall relationship to water as a resource, Dell Technologies operation's dependency and impact on water, water's integral part in the Information and Communications Technology (ICT) value chain, and how Dell Technologies manages its water consumption. Dell's overall focus on water issues in its own operations covers three broad areas: managing Dell's activities as a responsible corporate citizen and adhering to local rules and regulations; managing water-related risks to business operations; and understanding the role of Information Technologies (IT) in addressing water issues. While traditionally Dell's direct use of water, as well as the usage of water by Dell's products has been limited, water remains an integral part of the ICT manufacturing process of which Dell is a part of. As such Dell engages with its supply chain on these issues to develop a deeper understanding of the relationship between its suppliers and water availability and quality, as well as establishing goals and targets with strategic vendors to reduce the usage of water in Dell's supply chain. Dell Technologies acknowledges that water is an important emerging issue in the 21st century.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- ☒ Other environmental commitment, please specify :Water Policy

Water-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes
- ☒ Commitment to safely managed WASH in local communities
- ☒ Commitment to the conservation of freshwater ecosystems
- ☒ Commitment to water stewardship and/or collective action
- ☒ Other water-related commitment, please specify

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- ☒ No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- ☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

water-policy.pdf

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ Ceres | ☒ Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) |
| ☒ RE100 | |
| ☒ Race to Zero Campaign | |
| ☒ Alliance for Water Stewardship (AWS) | |
| ☒ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi) | |

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

CERES- Dell is a member of the CERES Company Network, which is a group of 50 major corporations who are committed to sustainable business practices and policies. RE100 - Dell Technologies joined the RE100 initiative in 2019 when we announced our commitment to 100% renewable energy by FY41. Race to Zero Campaign - Dell joined the Race to Zero through their partner SBTi. Science-Based Targets Initiative - Dell has long been a supporter of the Science-Based Targets Initiative, and continues to have our climate-related targets validated by the SBTi. Alliance for Water Stewardship - Dell utilizes the Alliance for Water Stewardship's International Water Stewardship Standard to help suppliers understand their current performance level and build capability going forwards. TCFD - Dell's public disclosures fulfill the recommendations set forth by the TCFD.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

dellclimatepolicyprinciples.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

☒ Mandatory government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

Dell is a federal lobbyist registrant with Senate ID 11958-12

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Dell Technologies has a public Global Climate Principles document which lays out the principles by which Dell Technologies conducts business as it relates to climate change. This document acknowledges the significance of climate change and how Dell Technologies' corporate purpose of creating technologies that drive human progress plays a role in establishing Dell Technologies' climate action.

[Fixed row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :FY25 Impact By The Numbers

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Risks & Opportunities

☒ Emissions figures

☒ Water accounting figures

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

3 to 5

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

dell-technologies-fy25-impact-by-the-numbers.pdf

[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Not defined

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Not an immediate strategic priority.

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☒ IEA NZE 2050

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

In FY24, we engaged a third-party to assist us with modeling various scenarios, using recognized, third-party datasets and climate model outputs. The IEA NZE scenario was used as part of a scenario analysis performed which centered around the effects of carbon pricing on Dell's business. It provided expectations of the rate of renewable energy uptake in the future, as well as different carbon pricing possibilities. For this scenario analysis, many assumptions were made. Some examples include the decarbonization pathways that Dell will undertake between our baseline year of FY24 to 2030 and 2050. This decarbonization pathway itself is based on a number of different assumptions, such as the growth rate of Dell's business, aggressiveness of climate targets, availability of renewable energy globally, and more. Additional assumptions around the pass through rate of carbon pricing in Dell's value chain were also used.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

The IEA NZE (the International Energy Agency - The Net Zero Emissions) scenario was used as a collection of policies related specifically to carbon pricing, to provide a 1.5C aligned future and carbon prices associated with it. The IEA STEPS scenario was also used as a high emissions counterpart to the IEA NZE scenario.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☒ IEA STEPS (previously IEA NPS)

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 2.5°C - 2.9°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☒ Global regulation

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

In FY24, we engaged a third-party to assist us with modeling various scenarios, using recognized, third-party datasets and climate model outputs. The IEA STEPS scenario was used as part of a scenario analysis performed which centered around the effects of carbon pricing on Dell's business. It provided expectations of the rate of renewable energy uptake in the future, as well as different carbon pricing possibilities. For this scenario analysis, many assumptions were made. Some examples include the decarbonization pathways that Dell will undertake between our baseline year of FY24 to 2030 and 2050. This decarbonization pathway itself is based on a number of different assumptions, such as the growth rate of Dell's business, aggressiveness of climate targets, availability of renewable energy globally, and more. Additional assumptions around the pass-through rate of carbon pricing in the Dell's value chain were also used.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

The IEA STEPS scenario was used as a collection of policies related specifically to carbon pricing, to provide a high carbon emissions aligned future and carbon prices and renewable energy rates associated with it.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ SSP5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Country/area

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2030

☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The SSP585 scenario was used as part of a scenario analysis on flooding in a specific region of the world which has a large concentration of Dell's supply chain. This analysis assumed that the physical footprint of Dell's supply chain remains as it is today in the 2030 and 2050 time horizons. Also different damage factors for physical locations were applied to different flood heights, which were also assumed constant over time. This type of analysis assumed that alongside the physical footprint being the same as it is now, that individual locations also have not changed their mitigation for flooding over time. The scenario was used along side flood specific such as ISIMIP2b to model flooding at a more granular level.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

This scenario was used as a 4C+, or high emissions, scenario for potential flooding damage in the future. By choosing a high level of warming for the scenario analysis we were able to properly stress test Dell's business model against the hazard of flooding.
[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Resilience of business model and strategy

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

High Level Scenario Analysis: We conducted a high-level scenario analysis across our supply chain, which involved screening over 1,300 Dell Technologies operated and supply chain sites for risks and exposure to 11 acute and chronic physical hazards. The assessment used scenario data in 5-year increments from 2030 to 2050, and incorporated two scenarios by the IPCC: a 2°C aligned one, SSP245, and a 4°C aligned one, SSP585. By looking at a multitude of hazards, scenarios and time horizons, this assessment provided us with a better understanding of how our operations and supply chain are exposed to physical climate risks. We were able to see trends for which geographies may be exposed to more or less risk over time. This information may be useful for our long-term business continuity efforts and supply chain planning. Physical Risk: Flooding in our Supply Chain and Operations River flooding was identified as a physical risk for parts of our operations and supply chain, particularly in China, where much of our supply chain is located. Dell Technologies conducted a scenario analysis to better understand our exposure, the hazards that may be present over time and the potential long-term impact to our business. The analysis included approximately 1,000 supply chain and Dell Technologies operated sites located in China. The river flooding was projected across two different climate scenarios including a 2°C (RCP6.0) and a 4°C (RCP8.5) scenario and was considered across 2030- and 2050-time horizons. This assessment provided us with a better understanding of our potential exposure to river flooding in China. The risk of flooding was deemed to be more significant in the 4°C scenario and over longer-term time horizons. Many of Dell's supplier facilities are projected to be exposed to flooding by 2050 and are vulnerable to impacts such as inventory damage and facility downtime. Dell will continue to assess and evaluate these exposures and embed the learnings from the assessment into its climate risk and ERM risk processes. Transition Risk: Carbon Pricing in Supply Chain and Operations Carbon pricing is increasingly being used by policymakers across the world as a tool to help lower emissions. Dell conducted a scenario analysis to better understand the potential effects that carbon pricing may have on our business. The analysis included modeling exposure by calculating different target pathways for

Dell's scope 1, 2, and 3 GHG emissions to 2030 and 2050. The hazards were modelled with carbon prices from the IEA Stated Policy (STEPS) 2.5°C scenario, and IEA Net Zero Emissions (NZE) 1.5°C aligned scenario. The model included several assumptions for cost pass-through for scope 3 emissions and applied carbon pricing based on the various scenarios. This assessment provided us with a better understanding of the potential impact that carbon pricing could have on our supply chain, on the price of the goods and services we purchase and how we may mitigate those through actions that contribute to a net zero future. Transition Opportunity: Low Carbon Data Center Products As the global carbon footprint of IT increases, there is increasing demand for energy efficient and low carbon IT products. This represents an opportunity for Dell Technologies to differentiate itself and provide customers with low carbon products and services. We conducted a scenario analysis to better understand this opportunity over time. The analysis involved estimating the opportunity associated with the growing demand for net zero data center solutions. The opportunity was modeled using 2 different transition scenarios: business as usual (BAU) and 1.5°C-aligned; from 2025 through 2050. The renewable energy landscape is the primary driver of data center decarbonization, thus changes in different scenarios affects the growth rate of low carbon data center solutions. This assessment provided us with a better understanding of the potential opportunity related to low-carbon data centers and data center products. The growth of the sustainable data center market is projected to be higher in a net zero scenario, where aggressive policies are put into place to incentivize lower-carbon infrastructure development.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

- ☒ We do not have a feedback mechanism in place, but we plan to introduce one within the next two years

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

Our Climate Transition Action Plan was published in September 2024 (Dell's FY25)

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

- ☒ No other environmental issue considered

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- ☒ Products and services
☒ Upstream/downstream value chain
☒ Investment in R&D
☒ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

In FY24, Dell Technologies conducted its most recent climate risk assessment, including climate scenario analysis. This uncovered risks and opportunities related to our products and customers, over the short, medium and long-term time horizons. Dell Technologies is seeing an increase in interest and inquiries from our customers on Dell Technologies' sustainability and climate performance, and how their Dell Technologies products contribute to their own carbon footprint and/or climate goals. Dell Technologies currently has a comprehensive suite of products which are certified by eco-labels such as ENERGY STAR and EPEAT. In the short term Dell Technologies is evolving new business models that deliver sustainable outcomes for both business and our planet. Our subscription and as-a-Service (aaS) models help right-size customers' IT environments to improve efficiency and reduce waste. By giving customers the ability to scale up IT operations as needed, APEX enables customers to reduce over provisioning, which may help reduce emissions and resource use.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Dell Technologies has long understood that a significant portion of our carbon footprint lies in our upstream value chain and has many programs which will help address this footprint in the short, medium and long-term. As such, we have been requesting emissions data from our key suppliers through programs such as CDP for over five years in an effort to first understand and then work to drive down these emissions. This effort has been useful in understanding the magnitude of emissions from our suppliers, specifically in our purchased goods and services (Scope 3 Category 1). Today, Dell Technologies has a number of programs in place to help our suppliers drive down their emissions. We not only incentivize them with a scorecard system that can help award business, but also work directly with them to innovate on new ideas. We also work with our supply chain to ensure that they have business continuity plans in place, which can enhance the climate resilience of the entire value chain.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Dell Technologies recognizes that its products, particularly those in our ISG division such as servers and storage, use significant amounts of electricity. As such Dell has ongoing R&D efforts to both reduce the energy intensity and emissions profile of our products, as well as increase the circularity of our products. The former involves both incremental efforts, such as the ducted fans now used in Dell Technologies' newest servers, as well as large investments, like our as-a-service APEX offering. The latter has ramifications on the overall lifetime carbon emissions of our products, may assist customers in having more control and impact over their IT-oriented emissions goals, and requires extensive R&D to both integrate new and recycled materials into our products, as well as understand how they can be recycled. These ongoing efforts occur in both short and medium time horizons as they are both ongoing and future planned.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate has long been a factor for Dell Technologies when governing our own operations. Dell Technologies sees many opportunities from increasing sourcing of renewable electricity to ensuring that extreme weather events do not materially disrupt our facilities. Dell Technologies is a part of RE100, and recently announced our first renewable energy power purchase agreement (PPA). In addition to increasing our consumption of renewables Dell Technologies is also working to reduce emissions in our own operations. Dell Technologies has short and medium time horizon energy efficiency and renewable electricity projects. Similarly, Dell Technologies considers climate-related risks in our operations as part of the larger Enterprise Risk Management process. Dell Technologies monitors changing weather patterns, among other items, and how they might affect our operations.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct costs
- ☒ Indirect costs
- ☒ Capital expenditures

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Dell Technologies plans for the cost of electricity in its operations to account for the purchase of Renewable Energy Credits (RECs). This directly affects financial planning as budgets must be built into each year to account for the increasing amount of RECs and other forms of renewable energy procurement that will be required. This is further complicated by the volatile nature of the price of renewable energy credits. As such, careful planning must be done to ensure budgets are set properly. In addition, Dell Technologies' indirect costs have been impacted by climate risks. This is specifically with regards to insurance premiums and deductibles that Dell Technologies has in place with its insurers. Dell Technologies' Capital Expenditure budgets are also affected. Past examples include onsite solar installations that Dell Technologies has installed in several facilities globally.

[Add row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities
	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	Select from: ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority	Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

We classify suppliers with significant environmental impacts based on their allocated Scope 3 Category 1 emissions to Dell. Our top 100 suppliers by emissions meet this threshold, representing over 90% of total Category 1 emissions. These suppliers join our Emissions Supplier Engagement Program to collaborate on reduction efforts. The list may adjust slightly each year for strategic business reasons.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

95

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- ☒ Dependence on water
- ☒ Impact on water availability

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- ☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

We conducted a supply chain water mapping analysis in 2017 and have since performed annual water surveys in our manufacturing supply chain. The top 50 water-intensive suppliers were selected in our water stewardship program. In FY25, we continued our water survey and expanded program participation to include high-impact suppliers in Vietnam.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- ☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

55
[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Procurement spend

☒ Product lifecycle

☒ Strategic status of suppliers

☒ Supplier performance improvement

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

In FY25, we run our Emissions Supplier Engagement Program (ESEP) to directly engage with strategic suppliers in reducing scope 3 category 1 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. We prioritize suppliers with the highest climate impact based on their allocated GHG emissions to Dell. Through ESEP, we work with these suppliers in emissions disclosure, training and reduction activities.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

In FY25, we conducted a supply chain water mapping analysis in 2017 and have since performed annual water surveys across our manufacturing supply chain. The top 50 water-intensive suppliers were selected in our water stewardship program. In FY25, we continued our water survey and expanded program participation to include high-impact suppliers in Vietnam.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Since January 2023, we've collaborated with suppliers through our Emissions Supplier Engagement Program (ESEP) to advance GHG measurement and reduction. We engage suppliers in data collection, training, and best practices, requesting climate disclosures via CDP and encouraging emissions reduction. While these requests aren't contractual, they're incentivized through performance scoring in our Quarterly Business Review (QBR) process. Aligned with the Responsible Business Alliance Code of Conduct v8.0, Dell suppliers are encouraged to set and report absolute corporate-wide GHG reduction goals; track, document, and publicly report energy use and Scopes 1, 2, and significant Scope 3 emissions; and pursue energy efficiency improvements to minimize consumption and emissions.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Each year, we request our water-intensive suppliers to report their progress through our water management platform. Suppliers are expected to update their water policies, reassess water risks, develop or refine water risk mitigation plans, provide status updates on water-saving projects, and submit annual water data — including freshwater consumption, wastewater discharge, and recycled water use. Additionally, suppliers are also requested to update their water emergency preparedness plans, information disclosures, and wastewater testing reports.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions

Information collection

- ☒ Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers
- ☒ Collect targets information at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers
- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 76-99%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 76-99%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Our CDP Supply Chain engagement is an annual process to collect standardized environmental data from key suppliers to support our Scope 3 Category 1 emissions reduction goal. We request suppliers representing the vast majority of our annual procurement spend to disclose to CDP. Additionally, through our ESEP program, we provided suppliers access to training resources on GHG emissions measurement and mitigation, which enhanced suppliers' capabilities in making progress towards our emissions reduction goals.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Yes

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ Total water withdrawal volumes reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

☒ Support suppliers to develop public time-bound action plans with clear milestones

Financial incentives

☒ Feature environmental performance in supplier awards scheme

Information collection

☒ Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers

☒ Collect WASH information at least annually from suppliers

☒ Collect water quality information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., discharge quality, pollution incidents, hazardous substances)

☒ Collect water quantity information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., withdrawal and discharge volumes)

Innovation and collaboration

☒ Encourage collaborative work in landscapes or jurisdictions

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers
- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 51-75%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Each year, we request our water-intensive suppliers to report their progress through our water management platform. Suppliers are expected to update their water policies, reassess water risks, develop or refine water risk mitigation plans, provide status updates on water-saving projects, and submit annual water data — including freshwater consumption, wastewater discharge, and recycled water use. Additionally, suppliers are also requested to update their water emergency preparedness plans, information disclosures, and wastewater testing reports. In addition, we host supply chain water workshops covering a range of topics, such as regulatory updates, water stewardship best practices, risk identification and assessment, industry water challenges, and opportunities for brand collaboration.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Suppliers must conduct annual water risk assessments, maintain mitigation and emergency plans, report progress, and upload wastewater test results. We also host workshops to build water management capability and offer free consulting to strengthen su

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Yes
[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Dell Technologies operates across the world with customers of all sizes, from individual customers to multinational corporations and large government entities. While not all of these customers choose to engage with our sustainability information, we continue to publish information about the products they consume, both on our own website as well as those of third-party eco-labels that we work with, such as ENERGY STAR, EPEAT and TCO. The EPEAT program offers the opportunity for manufacturers to register products with Climate+, which is directly related to criteria impacting Climate Change. Dell was one of the first to voluntarily meet this

criterion, and we continue to have products with the Climate+ distinction. The Global Electronics Council recognizes these manufacturers as Climate+ Champions. On our own website, we freely publish a large number of product carbon footprints, which give consumers detailed information about the impact of their products across various lifecycles.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Ultimately, the impact of a successful engagement is the associated reduction in emissions realized by choosing a product that meets eco-label criteria, relative to a product that does not. To estimate the percent of customers by number, we use data on our consumer products as a proxy as the absolute number of consumer customers is significantly greater than the absolute number of Dell commercial customers. We consider success to mean an increase in the number of registered products sold.
[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Dell uses the operational control approach to account for and report the GHG emissions and environmental metrics. For the GHG emissions and environmental metrics, the boundary includes all company facilities (owned and leased), vehicles (owned and leased), aircraft, and other business activities.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Dell uses the operational control approach to account for and report the environmental metrics.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :n/a

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

n/a

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :n/a

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

n/a

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, a change in methodology

(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

In FY25, we invested in third-party software tool to advance the capabilities and impact of our Emissions Supplier Engagement Program (ESEP). With the help of this new platform, Dell re-calculated historical (FY20 - FY24) Scope 3 Category 1 emissions to apply a uniform methodology across all historical emissions calculations and create accurate year over year comparisons in reported emissions. Starting with FY25, we added a current-year calculation approach to estimate the emissions of the most recent fiscal year. We continue to incorporate as much accurate, primary supplier-reported emissions data into our calculations as possible. Scope 3 categories 2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, and 15 were updated from materiality assessments to full calculations.

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

Dell Technologies assesses recalculating emissions metrics, including base year emissions, when the Company has structural changes or a change in methodologies, boundaries, or definitions in accordance with GHG Protocol guidance. Dell Technologies assesses the significance of each transaction, or multiple transactions that occur within the reporting year, by assessing quantitative and qualitative factors. For emissions, a change is generally considered significant if the restatement impact exceeds 5% of a previously disclosed amount. A change is also considered significant if the cumulative impact of multiple insignificant changes that occur within the same reporting year exceed 5% of the aggregate amount of the previously disclosed emissions metric. Judgment should be applied as necessary to determine if a departure from the significance threshold is appropriate based on relevant qualitative factors or required by applicable authoritative guidance or assurance standards.

(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- ☒ IEA CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- ☒ US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard
- ☒ Smart Freight Centre: GLEC Framework for Logistics Emissions Methodologies
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- ☒ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources
- ☒ US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources
- ☒ Defra Environmental Reporting Guidelines: Including streamlined energy and carbon reporting guidance, 2019

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

- ☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

- ☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

Scope 2 emissions: Indirect greenhouse gas emissions that are related to purchased energy. Location-based emissions are calculated from the regional energy grid, while market-based emissions align to the contracted source of the energy purchased.
[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

58000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

405400

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

240500

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16686800

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

514900

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

131700

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

763400

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

314300

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

107700

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2767400

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14750000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

181500

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.3) Methodological details

As per the description of this category in the GHG protocol scope 3 calculation guidance, this category isn't applicable to Dell. As of FY25 Dell is not involved in franchise activities.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

01/31/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

97600

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This base year represents Dell Technologies fiscal year 2020.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.3) Methodological details

n/a
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Reporting year	36700

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Reporting year	326600	118700

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

13169800

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Hybrid method

☒ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

87.41

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, we invested in third-party software tool to support the calculation of our scope 3 category 1 emissions. With the help of this new platform, Dell re-calculated historical (FY20 - FY24) Scope 3 Category 1 emissions to apply a uniform methodology across all historical emissions calculations and create accurate year over year comparisons in reported emissions. Specifically, we use a hybrid method which considers both supplier-reported emissions and commodity-level EEIO emissions factors to ensure the most accurate possible estimate of our footprints. Starting with FY25, we added a current-year calculation approach to estimate the emissions of the most recent fiscal year. We continue to incorporate as much accurate, primary supplier-reported emissions data into our calculations as possible.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

360000

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, upstream emissions associated with the purchased fuels and energy used in Dell Technologies operations. Emissions were calculated using UK (BEIS), IEA and eGrid emissions factors specific to each source for all fuels and purchased heat and electricity. AR6 GWP values were used in these calculations. Calculated according to the GHG Protocol Technical Guidance for CATEGORY 3 Fuel- and Energy-Related Activities Not Included in Scope 1 or Scope 2. Three of the four activities apply to Dell Technologies: upstream emissions of purchased fuels, upstream emissions of purchased electricity (and heat), and transmission and distribution (T&D) losses of purchased electricity and heat. Emissions associated with generation of purchased electricity that is sold to end users is not applicable to Dell Technologies. This calculation has been third-party verified.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

650000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Distance-based method

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, per the Global Logistics Emissions Council (GLEC) Framework scope 3, category 4 guidelines, this figure includes the well-to-wheel (WTW) emissions from outsourced logistics transportation and distribution contracted by Dell. Downstream transportation and distribution from customer pickup orders is also included in Dell's upstream transportation and distribution figure.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

800

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

87900

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☒ Hybrid method

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, data used in these calculations were provided by Dell Technologies' travel management partners. Business air and rail travel, plus rental vehicles and hotel stays were included for FY25 calculations. Air travel emissions are calculated by multiplying the air miles for each segment by the appropriate UK BEIS emissions factor according to class of travel and segment length. Rail travel emissions are similarly calculated, by multiplying the rail travel by the appropriate BEIS emissions

factor according to the distance travelled. Hotel emissions are calculated from BEIS factors per average room stay. Rental car fuel emissions are calculated from Dell Technologies' travel management partners, with additional refrigerant emissions calculated using EPA methodologies. In addition, Dell is incorporating the radiative forcing uplift factor for all calculations related to air travel. AR6 GWP values were used in these calculations. This calculation has been third-party verified.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

72100

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, Dell technologies does not have items that fall under scope 3 category 8 upstream leased assets.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2174700

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, Dell Technologies' products do not undergo processing before being sold.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

15570600

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Dell Technologies' calculation aligns to the GHG protocol's guide for Direct use-phase emissions from products that directly consume energy, specifically electricity.

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, calculations for this key driver are done through a two-step process. First, we calculate the aggregate energy footprint for each product type. For client, docking, displays, networking and server products, this calculation is based on the lifetime expected energy use for representative models and the number of units shipped by country during the reporting period for each of these models. For storage products, this calculation is based on the expected lifetime energy use for the representative drives and enclosures shipped during the fiscal year. Second, we calculate country-specific carbon footprints using the International Energy Agency (IEA) published emissions factors. We sum the country-specific carbon footprints to estimate a worldwide scope 3 category 11 figure for Dell's hardware portfolio. The country-level emissions factors for purchased electricity change regularly. For FY25, this calculation uses the 2023 estimated emissions factors published by the IEA in 2024. Where those are not available, we use the IEA 2022 figures that were also published in 2024.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

246800

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, currently Dell Technologies' primary emissions from downstream leased assets, namely the emissions related to the use of sold products that Dell Technologies sells via lease, is already captured in our calculations for category 11 Use of Sold Product. As such the additional emissions related to downstream leased assets is already captured in other scope 3 categories.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

In FY25, as per the description of this category in the GHG protocol scope 3 calculation guidance, this category isn't applicable to Dell. As of reporting year FY25 Dell is not involved in franchise activities.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☒ Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

129200
[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

02/02/2024

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

12838200

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

426200

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

127900

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

773400

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

81500

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

40400

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

2454700

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

11473600

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

221300

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

119200

(7.8.1.19) Comment

In FY25, Dell re-calculated historical (FY20 - FY24) Scope 3 emissions to apply uniform methodologies across all historical emissions calculations and create accurate year over year comparisons in reported emissions. Starting with FY25, we added a current-year calculation approach to estimate the emissions of the most recent fiscal year. We continue to incorporate as much accurate, primary supplier-reported emissions data into our calculations as possible.

Past year 2

(7.8.1.1) End date

02/03/2023

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

15463000

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

504783

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

129500

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

1123500

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

54800

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

46489

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

2977100

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

14410000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

264526

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

125823

(7.8.1.19) Comment

In FY25, Dell re-calculated historical (FY20 - FY24) Scope 3 emissions to apply uniform methodologies across all historical emissions calculations and create accurate year over year comparisons in reported emissions. Starting with FY25, we added a current-year calculation approach to estimate the emissions of the most recent fiscal year. We continue to incorporate as much accurate, primary supplier-reported emissions data into our calculations as possible.

Past year 3

(7.8.1.1) End date

01/28/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

21211400

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

561043

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

143000

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

1350600

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

19600

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

47082

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

3160000

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

13590000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

230471

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

175281

(7.8.1.19) Comment

In FY25, Dell re-calculated historical (FY20 - FY24) Scope 3 emissions to apply uniform methodologies across all historical emissions calculations and create accurate year over year comparisons in reported emissions. Starting with FY25, we added a current-year calculation approach to estimate the emissions of the most recent fiscal year. We continue to incorporate as much accurate, primary supplier-reported emissions data into our calculations as possible.

Past year 4

(7.8.1.1) End date

01/29/2021

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

17912100

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

396362

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

105500

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

1098200

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

61400

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

62773

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

2749600

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

13100000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

195712

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

135447

(7.8.1.19) Comment

In FY25, Dell re-calculated historical (FY20 - FY24) Scope 3 emissions to apply uniform methodologies across all historical emissions calculations and create accurate year over year comparisons in reported emissions. Starting with FY25, we added a current-year calculation approach to estimate the emissions of the most recent fiscal year. We continue to incorporate as much accurate, primary supplier-reported emissions data into our calculations as possible.

Past year 5

(7.8.1.1) End date

01/31/2020

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

16686800

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

514918

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

131700

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

763400

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

314300

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

107740

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

2767400

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

14750000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

181478

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

97571

(7.8.1.19) Comment

In FY25, Dell re-calculated historical (FY20 - FY24) Scope 3 emissions to apply uniform methodologies across all historical emissions calculations and create accurate year over year comparisons in reported emissions. Starting with FY25, we added a current-year calculation approach to estimate the emissions of the most recent fiscal year. We continue to incorporate as much accurate, primary supplier-reported emissions data into our calculations as possible.

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

Row 2**(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category**

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 3

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 4

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Business travel

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 5

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15687.81

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

9.1

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

During FY25, increased generation of on-site solar reduced Scope 1 and 2 market-based emissions by 15,687.81 MT CO₂e compared to FY24. Since the result is a reduction in emissions, the direction of change is a decrease. The FY24 total emissions were 172,460.39 MT CO₂e. The YoY percent change is the YoY change in emissions from the renewable electricity purchased divided by the FY24 total emissions, or 15,687.81 divided by 172,460.39 MT CO₂e. This equals a 9.10% decrease.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

183.74

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.11

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

This category accounts for projects that were undertaken during FY25, excluding self-generated renewable energy projects (which are included in line 1, above) and electricity efficiency projects where renewable electricity is purchased (these, by definition, have zero emissions in Scope 2 MB accounting). The FY25 projects accounted for a reduction of Scope 1 and 2 emissions of 804.97 MT CO₂e. The FY24 total emissions were 172,460.39 MT CO₂e. The YoY percent change is the YoY change in emissions from efficiency projects divided by the FY24 total emissions, or -804.97 divided by 172,460.39. This equals 0.47% decrease.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There was no material activity in this category during the reporting year.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There was no material activity in this category during the reporting year.

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There was no material activity in this category during the reporting year.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There was no material activity in this category during the reporting year.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6529.59

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

3.57

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

This category accounts for year over year changes in published Scope 2 market-based emission factors for electricity. To calculate the change, we first determine the average emission factors used for all non-renewable electricity used across our portfolio in FY24 and FY25. In locations where we did not purchase renewable energy, the average Scope 2 MB emissions intensity decreased from 0.3957 MTCO2e/MWh in FY24 to 0.3745 MTCO2e/MWh in FY25, or a difference of 0.02 MTCO2e/MWh (decrease). In FY25, 308,267.63 MWh of our purchased electricity was from non-renewable sources. To calculate YoY change, the difference in emissions intensity is multiplied by the FY25 purchased MWh from non-renewable electricity, or -0.02 times 308,267.63, to get -6,165.35 MT CO2e. Since the result is a negative number, it is a decrease. The YoY percent change is the YoY change in emissions from the change in methodology divided by the FY24 total emissions, or -6,165.35 divided by 172,460.38. This equals a decrease of 3.57%.

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There were no changes to the reporting boundary.

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In FY25, this category is used to account for other changes in local weather conditions and other miscellaneous changes that cannot be separated. Because the weather effects and other miscellaneous changes cannot be separately identified from the COVID-19-related impacts, we are including all weather and miscellaneous changes in the "Change in Output" section above.

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not applicable.

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

23532

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

20

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

109

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

12403

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 5

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ SF6

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 6

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ NF3

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

103

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

103

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1229

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

219

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

198

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

24

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

24

Bahrain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Bangladesh

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

643

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

120

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

136

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

511

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

678

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

61

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

70

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

70

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

46

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

46

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1209

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21358

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

222

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

23

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Croatia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

284

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

54

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

81

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

62

Egypt

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

675

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

987

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

987

Estonia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

80

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

72

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3433

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

230

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3845

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1217

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2389

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

81

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

37

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

113

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2475

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

62733

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

269

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

56

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

56

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1803

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9629

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1897

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

606

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5483

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5483

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

818

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

136

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

218

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

247

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4329

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4329

Jordan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Kazakhstan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

Kenya

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6

Kuwait

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Lithuania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Luxembourg

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

139

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

726

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

16339

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16339

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

121

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

121

Morocco

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

385

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1446

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1446

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

182

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

242

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Nigeria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

Norway

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

83

Oman

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Pakistan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Panama

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

210

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

905

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

905

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

331

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

331

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

358

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6882

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

901

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

224

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29

Qatar

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

140

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

454

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

141

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

241

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

196

Saudi Arabia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

336

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

336

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

81

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2811

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2811

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

309

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

113

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

332

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

123

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

123

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

788

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

41

Sri Lanka

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

24

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

22

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

107

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2526

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2526

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

114

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

114

Tunisia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

263

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

32

Ukraine

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

United Arab Emirates

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

365

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

365

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

274

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

396

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

714

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15199

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

184171

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

73339

Viet Nam

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By activity**(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.**

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Business travel - company vehicles</i>	14325.56
Row 2	<i>Manufacture or assembly of IT Hardware and related products</i>	5280.82
Row 3	<i>Office, research and development, data center and other activities</i>	17127.19

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By activity**(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.**

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Business travel - company vehicles</i>	0	0
Row 2	<i>Manufacture or assembly of IT Hardware and related products</i>	45941.89	7867.47
Row 3	<i>Office, research and development, data center and other activities</i>	280686.42	110821.92

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

36733.57

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

326628.31

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

118689.39

(7.22.4) Please explain

The data is reported in the "Consolidated accounting group" row

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

*The data is reported in the "Consolidated accounting group" row
[Fixed row]*

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Secureworks

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:
☒ IT services

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply
☒ Ticker symbol

(7.23.1.7) Ticker symbol

SCWX

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

141

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

375

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

390

(7.23.1.15) Comment

[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

- ☒ Customer base is too large and diverse to accurately track emissions to the customer level

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Dell Technologies' customer base is extremely wide, in addition to the above-mentioned issues, accurately calculating emissions at the customer level would require significant investment in data collection and automation tools.

Row 2

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

- ☒ Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Dell Technologies' products come in many configurations, with some models having thousands of different configurations possible. This leads to instances where a specific model may have emissions profiles much higher than the base models. This variability in each product/product line makes it both difficult to properly calculate emissions for Dell Technologies, as well as properly allocate them to customers.

Row 3

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

- ☒ Managing the different emission factors of diverse and numerous geographies makes calculating total footprint difficult

[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

Reporting customers' specific emissions numbers requires several different data sets as well as automated tools for estimation. Among the data sets needed are customer specific sales data, as well as product carbon footprint (PCF) models on a product-by-product basis. In addition, for products other than hardware, calculating customers' specific numbers requires allocation models for products such as software and services. We are investigating additional PCF studies for our product portfolio and expect that in the future we will pilot overall calculations for a small number of customers. Once we complete these pilots and understand scale-up requirements, we will be able to say more about long-term plans. We support and advocate for the development of common, industry-wide standards and allocation models, without which comparisons of aggregated data are not meaningful or reliable.

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☒ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from:

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: ☒ Yes
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

54212

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

54212.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

564529

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

872797

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1437326.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

2454

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

2454.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

5739

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

5739.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

5767

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

5767.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

570296

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

935202

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1505498.00
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from:

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
	☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

48179

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

4052

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

44127

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

49918

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

49918

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

98097

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

4052

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

94046

[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

7837

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

5767

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

7837

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

5767

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

329.44

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

329.44

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2013.43

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2013.43

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

186.91

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

186.91

Bahrain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Bangladesh

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

809.18

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

809.18

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

9097.2

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

454.65

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

9551.85

Bulgaria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

640.17

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

640.17

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

141.83

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

141.83

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

36089.85

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

3477.66

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

39567.51

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

154.82

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

154.82

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

289.72

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

289.72

Croatia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

34.37

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

34.37

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

122.75

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

122.75

Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

122.4

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

97.21

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

219.61

Egypt

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2438.9

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2438.90

Estonia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3.06

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3.06

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

127.63

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

127.63

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3586.86

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3586.86

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3318.42

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3318.42

Greece

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

76.15

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

76.15

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

35.94

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

35.94

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

85097.52

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

862.06

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

85959.58

Indonesia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

70.94

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

70.94

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

33192.01

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

33192.01

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12538.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12538.5

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

434.53

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

434.53

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

9296.47

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

9296.47

Jordan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Kazakhstan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

22.07

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

22.07

Kenya

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

55.6

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

55.6

Kuwait

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Lithuania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Luxembourg

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10.81

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10.81

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

25889.07

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1075.64

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

26964.71

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

327.42

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

327.42

Morocco

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1907.36

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1907.36

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

638.21

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

638.21

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Nigeria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

75.08

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

75.08

Norway

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

138.56

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

138.56

Oman

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Pakistan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Panama

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3304.02

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3304.02

Peru

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

55.84

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

55.84

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

474.3

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

474.3

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

9599.79

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

2205

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

11804.79

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

53.47

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

53.47

Qatar

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

37.47

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

37.47

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1050.16

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1050.16

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

870.26

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

870.26

Saudi Arabia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

539.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

539.5

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7391.17

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7391.17

Slovakia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

927.16

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

927.16

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

124.19

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

124.19

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

144.18

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

144.18

Sri Lanka

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

350.71

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

350.71

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

170.06

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

170.06

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4555.5

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4555.5

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

234.01

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

234.01

Tunisia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

75.89

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

75.89

Ukraine

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

36.31

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

36.31

United Arab Emirates

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

869.76

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

869.76

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1861

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

152.12

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2013.12

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

616438.67

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

616438.67

Viet Nam

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

59.28

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

59.28

[Fixed row]

(7.30.17) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity purchases in the reporting year by country/area.

Row 1

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Australia

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1655.07

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Australian LGC

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Australia

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country

Row 2

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

9016.03

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ I-REC

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country

Row 3

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

35714.6

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ I-REC

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country

Row 4

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ France

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3582.01

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ France

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country

Row 5

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

84972.57

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ I-REC

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country

Row 6

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

28933.31

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Ireland

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country

Row 7

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Poland

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

9473.98

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ GO

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Poland

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country

Row 8

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

279069.87

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ US-REC

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country

Row 9

(7.30.17.1) Country/area of consumption of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.17.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.17.3) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify

(7.30.17.4) Renewable electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

106345.05

(7.30.17.5) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ US-REC

(7.30.17.6) Country/area of origin (generation) of purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.17.7) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.17.10) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.17.12) Comment

Multiple projects per country
[Add row]

(7.30.18) Provide details of your organization's low-carbon heat, steam, and cooling purchases in the reporting year by country/area.

Row 1

(7.30.18.1) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ None (no purchases of low-carbon heat, steam, or cooling)

(7.30.18.6) Comment

None for the following countries: Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, China, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Colombia, Costa Rica, Croatia, Czechia, Denmark, Egypt, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Mexico, Morocco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nigeria, Norway, Panama, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Puerto Rico, Qatar, Republic of Korea, Romania, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, Slovakia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan, Greater China, Thailand, Turkey, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America, Vietnam.
[Add row]

(7.30.19) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity generation by country/area in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

3.53

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

454.65

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

454.65

Row 2

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0.58

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

620.61

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

620.61

Row 3

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1.4

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1075.64

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1075.64

Row 4

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0.2

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

138.08

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

138.08

Row 5

(7.30.19.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.19.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.19.3) Facility capacity (MW)

3.48

(7.30.19.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

3477.66

(7.30.19.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

3477.66

[Add row]

(7.30.20) Describe how your organization's renewable electricity sourcing strategy directly or indirectly contributes to bringing new capacity into the grid in the countries/areas in which you operate.

Currently Dell purchases renewable energy credits (RECs) on the open market. This process indirectly contributes to bringing new capacity into the grid providing an active market for credits generated by renewable energy projects, which in turn provides additional funding mechanisms for these projects outside of the selling of electricity into the grid. Dell is currently exploring the options of power purchase agreements (PPAs) or virtual power purchase agreements (vPPAs). These are more direct offtakes of RECs from projects which are built into the build out phase, and as such represent a more direct contribution to the rollout of renewable energy. In both instances Dell attempts to match the credits to the areas where Dell is using said electricity.

(7.30.21) In the reporting year, has your organization faced barriers or challenges to sourcing renewable electricity?

	Challenges to sourcing renewable electricity
	Select from: ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0000016263

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

155400

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

95567000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

0.17

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

- ☒ Change in output
- ☒ Change in revenue
- ☒ Change in methodology

(7.45.9) Please explain

The combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions (market-based) decreased by 17,037.42 metric tons, or 9.88% from the previous year. These reductions were due to a number of factors, including various energy and business efficiency improvement programs across the company, an increase in renewable energy purchases, and year over year changes in published electricity grid GHG factors. Additionally, revenue increased by about 8% since FY24.

Row 2

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0078

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

155400

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

- ☒ square meter

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

1845730

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

- ☒ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

(7.45.7) Direction of change*Select from:*☒ Decreased**(7.45.8) Reasons for change***Select all that apply*☒ Change in renewable energy consumption☒ Other emissions reduction activities☒ Change in output☒ Change in methodology**(7.45.9) Please explain**

The combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions (market-based) decreased by 17,037.42 metric tons, or 9.88% from the previous year. These reductions were due to a number of factors, including various energy and business efficiency improvement programs across the company, an increase in renewable energy purchases, and year over year changes in published electricity grid GHG factors. Additionally, square footage decreased by about 5.66% since FY24.

Row 3**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

1

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

155400

(7.45.3) Metric denominator*Select from:*☒ full time equivalent (FTE) employee

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

108000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

0

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

☒ Other emissions reduction activities

☒ Change in output

☒ Change in methodology

(7.45.9) Please explain

The combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions (market-based) decreased by 17,037.42 metric tons, or 9.88% from the previous year. The reductions were due to a number of factors, including various energy and business efficiency improvement programs across the company, an increase in renewable energy purchases, and year over year changes in published electricity grid GHG factors. Additionally, the number of FTE employees decreased by about 10% since FY24.

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/12/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

- ☒ Methane (CH₄)
- ☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)
- ☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- ☒ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

01/31/2020

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

56992

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

233326

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO₂e)

290318.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

01/31/2031

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

50

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

145159.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions***No scope 1 or scope 2 sources from Dell operations are excluded from this target.***(7.53.1.83) Target objective***This goal was launched in 2019 (Dell's FY20). It applies to Dell Technologies' company-wide combined scope 1 plus scope 2 market-based emissions.***(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year***Dell will continue to make progress towards this target by investing in energy and emissions reduction projects at our facilities as well as increasing our purchases of renewable energy. In FY25 our combined Scope 1 and 2 market-based emissions were 155,422. The base year adjusted emissions for this goal was 298,500 MT CO₂e, with a target reduction of 50% times that figure, 149,250 MT CO₂e. The change from the base year is the FY25 emissions 155,422 minus the base year emissions 298,500, or 143,078, MT CO₂e, which is a reduction. The % of target achieved is the reduction in emissions in FY25 divided by the emissions reduction goal, $143,078 / 149,250 = 95.86\%$.***(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach**

Select from:

☒ No**Row 2****(7.53.1.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

☒ Abs 2**(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?**

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/12/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

01/31/2020

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

16686769

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

16686769.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

16686769.000

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

45.9

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

45.6

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

01/31/2031

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

45

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

9177722.950

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

222.22

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Scope 3, category 1 target includes the GHG emissions associated with the purchase of goods and services from all of Dell's suppliers.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

This target is part of Dell's ambition to achieve net zero emissions by 2050.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

In FY25, we continued to prioritize our supply chain environmental programs and took steps to reducing GHG emissions through partnerships with our suppliers. We leverage technology platforms to improve data accuracy with a centralized way to collect, analyze and report on supplier-specific emissions data. We are committed to decreasing our carbon footprint and understand that supplier collaboration - both direct and indirect -- is essential. We partner with our highest emitting suppliers to drive emissions reductions through our Emissions Supplier Engagement Program (ESEP).

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

Row 3

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 3

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/12/2023

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3, Category 11 – Use of sold products

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

01/31/2020

(7.53.1.24) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

14750000

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

14750000.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

14750000.000

(7.53.1.45) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

40.6

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

40.3

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

01/31/2031

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

30

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

10325000.000

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

333.33

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

The scope of this data includes all server systems, storage systems, networking systems, docking stations, displays, client notebook and desktop systems, including Precision and Alienware.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Scope 3, category 11 target is part of Dell's ambition to achieve net zero emissions by 2050.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

During FY25, Dell focused on lowering the footprint of our products, including emissions from our upstream and downstream impacts, and providing solutions to increase efficiency. At Dell, we aim to do this all without compromising the performance of our technology. We explored solutions for the complex challenges that come with digital transformations for our customers and society.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production

☒ Net-zero targets

(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

Row 1

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Low 1

(7.54.1.2) Date target was set

11/12/2019

(7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

☒ Consumption

(7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy source(s) only

(7.54.1.7) End date of base year

01/31/2020

(7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

461416

(7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

45.75

(7.54.1.10) End date of target

01/31/2031

(7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

75

(7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Yes, it is part of the absolute scope 1 + scope 2 (market-based) ambitions also launched in 2019 (Dell Technologies' FY20).

(7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ RE100

(7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Dell Technologies joined RE100 in 2019, solidifying our commitment to renewable electricity. The first target period, through 2030, has a commitment to attain 75% of our electricity consumption from renewable sources. This is a company-wide target and there are no exclusions.

(7.54.1.20) Target objective

Dell's strategy for 2030 and net zero by 2050.

(7.54.1.21) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Dell will continue to make progress towards this target by increasing our purchases of renewable energy and utilizing instruments such as Renewable Energy Credits. Additionally, Dell will evaluate opportunities for installment of solar at our facilities, where practical. In FY25, we consumed a total of 884,544 MWh of electricity, of which 564,529 MWh was generated from renewable sources. The portion of renewable electricity consumed in FY25 is 564,529 divided by 884,544, which results in 63.82% renewable electricity consumed. The percentage of goal achieved is calculated as follows: The targeted increase in RE over the goal period is 75% less the base year RE, or $75\% - 45.75\% = 29.25\%$. The increase in RE through FY25 was $63.82\% - 45.75\% = 18.07\%$. The % of target achieved is $18.07\% / 29.25\%$, or 61.78%.

Row 2

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Low 2

(7.54.1.2) Date target was set

11/12/2019

(7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

☒ Consumption

(7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy source(s) only

(7.54.1.7) End date of base year

01/31/2020

(7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

461416

(7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

45.75

(7.54.1.10) End date of target

02/01/2041

(7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

(7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Yes, it is part of the absolute scope 1 + scope 2 (market-based) ambitions also launched in 2019 (Dell Technologies' FY20).

(7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ RE100

(7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This goal is an extension of Dell Technologies' 2030 ambition, going from 75% in 2030 to 100% by 2040. Similar to our 2030 ambition, this is a company-wide ambition and there are no exclusions.

(7.54.1.20) Target objective

Dell's strategy for 2030, 2040 and net zero by 2050.

(7.54.1.21) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Dell will continue to make progress towards this ambition by increasing our purchases of renewable energy and utilizing instruments such as Renewable Energy Credits. Additionally, Dell will evaluate opportunities for installment of solar at our facilities, where practical. In FY25, we consumed a total of 884,544 MWh of electricity, of which 564,529 MWh was generated from renewable sources. The portion of renewable electricity consumed in FY25 is 564,529 divided by 884,544, which results in 63.82% renewable electricity consumed. The percentage of ambition achieved is calculated as follows: The targeted increase in RE over the goal period is 75% less the base year RE, or $75\% - 45.75\% = 29.25\%$. The increase in RE through FY25 was $63.82\% - 45.75\% = 18.07\%$. The % of target achieved is $18.07\% / 29.25\%$, or 61.78%.

[Add row]

(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Row 1

(7.54.3.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ NZ1

(7.54.3.2) Date target was set

04/19/2021

(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

☒ Abs1

☒ Abs2

☒ Abs3

☒ Low1

☒ Low2

(7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

02/03/2051

(7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.54.3.7) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

DELL-USA-004-OFF__Target Approval Certificate.pdf

(7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2
- ☒ Scope 3

(7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Methane (CH ₄) | ☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF ₆) |
| ☒ Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) | ☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF ₃) |
| ☒ Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) | |
| ☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) | |
| ☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) | |

(7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Dell Technologies Net Zero ambition was launched on Earth Day 2021 with a targeted date of 2050 (Dell's FY2051). This will encompass all relevant emissions associated with Dell Technologies, with no exclusions. Our three SBTi-validated absolute reduction ambitions, covering scope 1, scope 2, scope 3 category 1 and scope 3 category 11, are interim (2030) ambitions that support our long-term Net Zero ambition.

(7.54.3.11) Target objective

Dell's ambition is to reach net zero emissions by 2050.

(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?

Select all that apply

☒ No, we do not plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation

(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target

As part of our net zero ambition, we commit to the neutralization of unabated emissions with an equal amount of carbon removals, where no more than 10% of baseline emissions are neutralized.

(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

Dell Technologies is reviewing this target in accordance with the SBTi guidance.

[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Implemented	37	804.97

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ <1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 6-10 years

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 6-10 years

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

223.12

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 6-10 years

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 6-10 years

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Machine/equipment replacement

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

4.77

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- ☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

2028

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- ☒ Lower return on investment (ROI) specification

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Dell Technologies typically considers project approvals for emissions and energy-reduction projects with paybacks in the range of one to five years, and considerably longer for onsite solar PV installations.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Dell Technologies works to incorporate and train employees on energy-saving technologies and procedures and communicates and/or encourages participation in events such as Earth Day, World Environment Day and Earth Hour that promote energy conservation.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Certain projects may be necessary to meet or exceed regulatory or customer compliance requirements. In addition, many of Dell Technologies' products are designed to meet energy performance criteria in various voluntary environmental standards. In such cases, compliance would be the driver and objective. Additionally, our manufacturing operations have adopted ISO 50001, which requires improvement in energy management.

Row 4

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

To support our work to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the supply chain, our Supply Chain Sustainability team has dedicated resources such as targeted communication with our suppliers, assessment of current goals and emissions-reducing strategies, and training on setting reduction targets and science-based targets, hosted in partnership with CDP.

[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

☒ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

☒ Product or service

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

☒ No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Aluminum

☒ Other, please specify :Latitude 9440 2-in-1 Notebook

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

We provide a large number of products with this material. One example is the Latitude 9440 2-in-1 notebook. These products are manufactured with a chassis made from low-carbon Aluminum. This is Aluminum that has been produced from hydropower, as opposed to more traditional fossil-fuel driven energy production, aluminum that has previously been recovered, recycled and reprocessed, or a blend of the two.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Bespoke methodology from third party.

(7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

☒ Cradle-to-gate

(7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

(MTCO₂) metric tonnes of Aluminum

(7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

Aluminum sourced through traditional channels, using traditional means of production (i.e., energy provided by fossil fuels).

(7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

Select from:

☒ Gate-to-gate

(7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

Our calculation for footprint for our low-carbon Aluminum material involved auditing two suppliers while collecting primary data on CO₂ emissions from a third supplier. We then hired a third party to review the LCAs as well as analyze the primary data from the third supplier.

[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☒ Emissions reduction

(7.79.1.3) Project description

This project is registered under the Verified Carbon Standard as Project 1815. The main purpose of this project activity is to generate a clean form of electricity through renewable solar energy sources.

(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

977

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

☒ Voluntary offsetting

(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1.7) Vintage of credits at retirement

2020

(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

☒ Purchased

(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

☒ VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)

(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

☒ Investment analysis

(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

☒ No risk of reversal

(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Renewable energy projects are considered to have no leakage.

(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

This project is listed on Verra's registry and uses the ACM0002 methodology. At the time of registry a variety of requirements were met, including an investment analysis for additionality as well as ensuring that the credits were not going to be used in any compliance programs. For more details on the project please visit the registry at: <https://registry.terra.org/app/projectDetail/VCS/1815>

(7.79.1.14) Please explain

Please see the column “provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address”.

Row 2

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

☒ Clean cookstove distribution

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☒ Emissions reduction

(7.79.1.3) Project description

This project is registered with Gold Standard under ID 11564 and it involves distribution of fuel-efficient improved cookstoves (ICS) in Ghana. The ICS disseminated through this project will replace the baseline cookstoves.

(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

976

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

☒ Voluntary offsetting

(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1.7) Vintage of credits at retirement

2022

(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

☒ Purchased

(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

☒ Gold Standard

(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

☒ Standardized Approaches

(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

☒ No risk of reversal

(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

☒ Activity-shifting

(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

This project met the strict demands of the AMS II.G version 12.0 used by Gold Standard. This includes ensuring that there is GHG reduction for the project and demonstrated additionality. For more details please find the project at: <https://registry.goldstandard.org/projects/details/3550>

(7.79.1.14) Please explain

Please see the column “provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address”.

Row 3

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☒ Emissions reduction

(7.79.1.3) Project description

This project is registered under the Verified Carbon Standard as Project 1792. The main purpose of this project activity is to generate a clean form of electricity through renewable solar energy sources.

(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

976

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

☒ Voluntary offsetting

(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1.7) Vintage of credits at retirement

(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?*Select from:*☒ Purchased**(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued***Select from:*☒ VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)**(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project***Select all that apply*☒ Investment analysis**(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk***Select all that apply*☒ No risk of reversal**(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed***Select all that apply*☒ Other, please specify :This type of project is considered to have no leakage.**(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address**

This project is listed on Verra's registry and uses the ACM0002 methodology. At the time of registry a variety of requirements were met, including an investment analysis for additionality as well as ensuring that the credits were not going to be used in any compliance programs. For more details on the project please visit the registry at: <https://registry.verra.org/app/projectDetail/VCS/1792>

(7.79.1.14) Please explain

Please see the column "provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address".

[Add row]

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility invoices and estimation

(9.2.4) Please explain

All of our owned facilities and many of our leased locations receive bills from suppliers, other parties and/or the landlord that show the volumes supplied to Dell Technologies. Dell Technologies also measures and monitors the amount of groundwater withdrawn and rainwater collected. We estimate the quantity of water withdrawals for leased spaces where water is supplied to tenants but is not itemized by the landlord. By volume, about 78% of our total water withdrawals are directly measured and monitored, with the remainder estimated.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility invoices and estimation

(9.2.4) Please explain

All sources are accounted for in our water metrics. For the leased spaces where water use is estimated, the water is assumed to be provided by local municipalities.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

Withdrawals are mainly from municipal and private 3rd party sources, who monitor the water quality. Because this monitoring occurs outside of Dell Technologies' operations, the frequency and method of measurement are unknown. We consider this not relevant because Dell is not the primary actor in monitoring water withdrawals quality. Typical water quality measurements conducted by municipalities include pH, metals, nitrates/nitrites, and coliform bacteria. We also monitor certain quality parameters of the groundwater withdrawn and rainwater we collect, such as for suspended or dissolved solids.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility invoices, estimation, or direct monitoring

(9.2.4) Please explain

The large majority of our owned and leased facilities discharge their wastewater to municipal sewers for off-site treatment, the volume of which is monitored or estimated by the local municipality. For the facilities that separately treat their sanitary wastewater, Dell Technologies measures and monitors the discharges via direct monitoring.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility invoices, estimation, or direct monitoring

(9.2.4) Please explain

The large majority of our owned facilities, leased facilities, and leased office spaces discharge sanitary wastewater to the local municipal wastewater collection system for off-site treatment. For these facilities the volumes of water discharges are monitored or estimated by the local municipal wastewater systems. For the facilities that separately treat their sanitary wastewater, Dell Technologies measures and monitors the discharge volumes and their destinations.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Utility invoices or direct monitoring

(9.2.4) Please explain

The large majority of our owned facilities, leased facilities, and leased office spaces discharge sanitary wastewater to municipal sewers for off-site treatment according to the local requirements. For the facilities that separately treat their sanitary wastewater, Dell Technologies monitors and measures the volumes treated via direct monitoring.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Direct monitoring

(9.2.4) Please explain

The large majority of our owned facilities, leased facilities and leased office spaces discharge sanitary wastewater to municipal sewers for off-site treatment according to the local requirements. For the six facilities that separately treat their sanitary wastewater, Dell Technologies monitors and measures the volumes treated according to the local regulatory authority's requirements, typically on a daily or weekly frequency based on the requirements. Standard sanitary waste treatment parameters apply, though these will vary by locality; typically the parameters include suspended and dissolved solids, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), nitrogen, phosphorous, and oil or grease. Although Dell Technologies does not generate industrial wastewater, some municipalities require that all private wastewater treatment system operators monitor for certain industrial parameters, such as chemical oxygen demand or heavy metals (lead, copper, etc.); these are monitored by Dell when required.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Daily

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Direct monitoring

(9.2.4) Please explain

For the six facilities that separately treat their sanitary wastewater, Dell Technologies monitors and measures the volumes treated according to the local regulatory authority's requirements. Emissions to water, including nitrates and phosphates, are measured when required at these facilities. These emissions are typically measured daily or weekly based on the local requirements and are measured by sending water samples to off-site laboratories for analysis.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water discharges are at indoor or outdoor ambient temperatures, so monitoring for high or low temperatures is not relevant to our discharges.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Estimation from modeling

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water is not consumed in our manufacturing processes or incorporated into products. Water consumption occurs through landscape irrigation, percolation into soils, or through evaporation such as in cooling towers (part of our building cooling systems). Most of the water brought into our operations is returned to the water

environment via municipal wastewater treatment discharges (as determined by the local municipality). While some locations have separate irrigation meters, we largely account for the overall remeasured and monitored consumption volumes using calculations and estimates.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Direct monitoring

(9.2.4) Please explain

All facilities that operate their own sanitary wastewater treatment facilities also measure and monitor the quantity of the treated effluent that is reused or recycled.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Direct monitoring

(9.2.4) Please explain

*Dell Technologies provides suitable drinking water and sanitation facilities throughout the company.
[Fixed row]*

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1658

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Dell Technologies used water for domestic and commercial purposes, such as sanitation, cooking in employee cafeterias, facility cleaning, evaporative cooling for buildings and data centers, and landscape irrigation. Water is not used in industrial processes. The quantity of water withdrawn, consumed, and discharged will vary based on changes in business activities, such as changes in employee population or use of buildings, as well as changes to local weather conditions. In FY25, we withdrew a total of 1658.51 megaliters, compared with 1692.3 megaliters in FY24, which is a decrease of 33.79 megaliters. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference is $1658.51 - 1692.3 = -33.79$ megaliters, and -33.79 divided by 1692.3 is a 2.0% decrease. We consider a year over year change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 megaliters. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 megaliters. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was less than 10% and therefore is considered "lower". We do not anticipate changes to Dell Technologies' water use over the next five years and forecast the water withdrawals to be "about the same".

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

862

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Dell Technologies uses water for domestic and commercial purposes, such as sanitation, cooking in employee cafeterias, facility cleaning, evaporative cooling for buildings and data centers, and landscape irrigation. Water is not used in industrial processes. The quantity of water withdrawn, consumed, and discharged will vary based on changes in business activities, such as changes in employee population or use of buildings, as well as changes to local weather conditions. Dell Technologies' discharges are the difference between the withdrawals and the consumption and consist of commercial / sanitary wastewaters. In FY25, we discharged a total of 862.26 megaliters compared with 964.93 megaliters in FY24, which is a decrease of 102.67 megaliters. The year over year reductions occurred mainly due to continued reduced on-site office-based activities associated with a hybrid workforce, as well as consolidations of some of our office spaces globally. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference $862.26 - 964.93 = -102.67$ megaliters, and -102.67 divided by 964.93 is a 10.64% decrease. We consider year over year change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or less than 2 megaliters. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 megaliters. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was greater than 10% and more than 25 megaliters therefore is considered "much lower". We do not anticipate changes to Dell Technologies' water discharges over the next five years and forecast the consumption to be "about the same".

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

796

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Dell Technologies' water consumption consists of evaporation (such as in cooling towers), landscape irrigation (vegetative update, percolation, and evaporation) and percolation of treated effluent into the irrigated landscape and soil system. Water is not consumed in manufacturing processes or in our products. In FY25, we consumed a total of 796.25 megaliters compared with 728.0 in FY24, which is an increase of 66. The year over year increase occurred mainly due to employees returning to office. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference is $796.25 - 728.0 = 68.25$ megaliters, and 68.25 divided by 728.0 is a 9.37% increase. We consider a year over year (YoY) change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 megaliters. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 megaliters. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY increase was more than 1% but less than 10% and therefore is considered "higher". We do not anticipate changes to Dell's water consumption over the next five years and forecast the consumption to be "about the same".

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

24.85

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☒ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

We are using the WRI Aqueduct Baseline Water Stress measurement indicator to analyze the water stress at building or city levels, for 100% of our operations worldwide include leased office buildings, of which about 24% of the water withdrawals are estimated. Locations with BWD scores of "high" or "very high" are considered to be in areas with water stress. In FY25, the % of water withdrawn from areas with water stress was 25%, compared to 26% the previous year. In volume

terms, 411.67 megaliters of water was withdrawn from areas with water stress in FY25, compared to 433.38 megaliters in FY24. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year change is $411.67 - 433.38 = -21.71$ megaliters, and -21.71 divided by 433.38 is a 5.0% decrease. We consider a YoY change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 megaliters. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 megaliters. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was between 1-10% and therefore is considered "lower". We anticipate that Dell Technologies' building locations and business activities will remain mostly consistent over the next five years, therefore, the five-year forecast of withdrawals from water stress areas will be "about the same".
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Dell Technologies' water withdrawals from surface water consists only of rainwater and accounts for a very small portion of Dell Technologies' total withdrawals, representing only about 0.12% of our FY25 total. In FY25, we withdrew 1.91 megaliters (ML) of fresh surface water (rainwater) compared with 2.31 megaliters in FY24, which is a decrease of 0.4 ML. the YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference is $1.91 - 2.31 = -0.4$ ML, and -0.4 divided by 2.31 is a 17.32% decrease. We consider a year over year change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 ML. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 ML. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was less than 2 ML, so the change is considered "about the same".

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant because Dell Technologies does not withdraw or use brackish surface water or seawater.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

23

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Groundwater use fluctuates depending on operating conditions and needs. In FY25, we withdrew 22.59 megaliters (ML) of renewable groundwater compares with 39.43 megaliters in FY24, which is a decrease of 16.84 ML. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference is $22.59 - 39.43 = -16.84$ ML, divided by 39.43 is a 42.71% decrease. We consider a year over year (YoY) change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 ML. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 ML. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was between 2 and 25 ML, so the change is considered "lower".

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant because Dell Technologies does not withdraw water from this non-renewable source.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant because Dell Technologies does not conduct activities that bring produced or entrained water into our operations.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

1634

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Approximately 98.52% of the volume is from municipal sources. In FY25, we withdrew 1634.0 megaliters (ML) from third party sources compared with 1649.21 in FY24, a decrease of 15 ML. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference is $1634 - 1649 = -15.21$ ML, and -15.21 divided by 1649.21 is a 0.92% decrease. We consider a year over year (YoY) change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 ML. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 ML. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was less than 1% and therefore is considered "about the same".

[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

7

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Dell Technologies has one facility that discharges fully treated commercial/domestic effluent into a local waterway. In FY25, the facility discharged 7.03 megaliters compared to 7.58 in FY24, which is a decrease of 0.55 megaliters. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year change is $7.03 - 7.58 = -0.55$ megaliters, and -0.55 divided by 7.58 is a 7.26% decrease. We consider year over year change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 ML. We consider YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 ML. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was less than 2 ML and therefore is considered "about the same".

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant because Dell Technologies does not discharge water to brackish surface water or to seawater.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

35

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Dell Technologies has one facility that discharges fully treated commercial/domestic effluent into subsurface wells. In FY25, the facility discharged 35.42 megaliters compared to 37.8 megaliters in the previous year. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year change is $35.42 - 37.8 = -2.38$ megaliters, and -2.38 divided by 37.8 is a 6.3% decrease. We consider a YoY change to be “about the same” when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 megaliters. We consider a YoY change to be “much higher” or “much lower” when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 megaliters. All other changes are considered to be “higher” or “lower” for increases and decreases. The YoY decrease is less than 10%, and therefore is considered “lower”.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

820

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Dell Technologies discharges the majority of its effluent to municipal wastewater treatment facilities. In FY25, we discharged a total of 819.81 megaliters to third party destination compared with 918.93 ML in FY24, which is a decrease of 99.12 ML. The YoY change is calculated as follows: $819.81 - 918.93 = -99.12$ ML, and -99.12 divided by 918.93 is a 10.79% decrease. We consider a YoY change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 ML. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 ML. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was greater than 10% and also more than 25 ML, so therefore is considered "much lower".

[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

42

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 1-10

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This volume represents the effluent from 2 facilities where we own and/or operate an on-site wastewater treatment system. The treated effluent is discharged to a local waterway, injected under the soil and/or beneficially reused for landscape irrigation depending on the specific facility (the volume reported excludes the beneficial reuse quantities) or discharged elsewhere. Dell Technologies complies with local regulatory standards and permit requirements when selecting the level of treatment for these discharges. In FY25, we treated a total of 42.44 megaliters compared with 55.39 megaliters in FY24, which is a decrease of 12.94 ML. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference is $42.44 - 55.39 = -12.94$ ML, and -12.94 divided by 55.39 is a 23.37% decrease. We consider a year over year (YoY) change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 megaliters. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 megaliters. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was more than 10% but less than 25 ML, and therefore is considered "lower".

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This category is not relevant because Dell Technologies does not utilize treatment systems that treat only to secondary treatment levels.

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

58

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 1-10

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This quantity is from a small number of facilities we own or operate where primary treatment (solids removal, and pH adjustment if needed) of our commercial / domestic wastewater is required prior to discharging it to a municipal wastewater treatment facility. In these locations, Dell Technologies only performs primary treatment in compliance with the regulatory requirements because the wastewater undergoes additional treatment at these third-party facilities. The discharged volume is estimated from water withdrawal rates at these facilities. In FY25, we discharged 57.89 megaliters compared with 65.23 megaliters in FY24. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference is $57.89 - 65.23 = -7.34$ ML, and -7.34 divided by 65.23 is a 11.26% decrease. We consider a year over year (YoY) change to be “about the same” when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 megaliters. We consider a YoY change to be “much higher” or “much lower” when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and less than 25 megaliters. All other changes are considered to be “higher” or “lower” for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY increase was more than 10% but less than 25 megaliters and therefore is considered “lower”.

Discharge to the natural environment without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This category is not relevant because Dell Technologies does not discharge wastewater to the natural environment without treatment.

Discharge to a third party without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

762

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 91-99

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This volume represents direct and indirect discharge of our commercial / domestic wastewater to municipal or other third-party wastewater treatment facilities. Wastewater is not treated by Dell Technologies because it is treated at these third-party facilities. Dell Technologies complies with regulatory and local standards when discharging this wastewater to the third-party wastewater treatment facilities. The discharged volume is estimated from water withdrawals and includes leased spaces where treatment may be provided by municipalities and/or in on-site treatment systems operated by other parties on behalf of the tenants. The level of treatment provided in third party facilities is not known. In FY25, we discharged 762 megaliters compared with 844 megaliters in FY24. The year over year quantity increased in proportion to the increase in water withdrawals. The YoY change is calculated as follows: the year over year difference is $762 - 844 = -82$ ML, and -82 divided by 844 is a 9.7% decrease. We consider year over year change to be "about the same" when the YoY change increases or decreases by less than 1% or by less than 2 megaliters. We consider a YoY change to be "much higher" or "much lower" when a change increases or decreases by both of these: the change is more than 10% and more than 25 megaliters. All other changes are considered to be "higher" or "lower" for increases and decreases, respectively. The YoY decrease was more than 25 megaliters but less than 10% and therefore is considered "lower"

Other

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This category is not relevant because Dell Technologies has no other levels to which we treat discharge than those noted above.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization’s emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

0.01

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply

- ☒ Nitrates
- ☒ Phosphates

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

A small volume of emissions from nitrates are emitted from a limited number of Dell Technologies' facilities that treat sanitary wastewater from our operations. At these facilities, Dell Technologies monitors and measures the volumes of nitrates and phosphates (along with other standard parameters) in order to treat the wastewater to meet regulatory and local standards to reduce potential pollution to water. These facilities are not located in water stressed areas, nor are they adjacent to sensitive communities. Dell Technologies manages emissions of nitrates and phosphates by adhering to regulatory requirements for wastewater treatment.
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

- ☒ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

n/a

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

158

(9.3.4) Please explain

We conduct our supply chain water mapping analysis in 2017 to understand the water map. Since 2017, we conducted annual water survey in our manufacturing supply chain. We selected top 50 water intensive suppliers to join our supply chain water stewardship program. Up until 2020, more than 200 water-intensive suppliers had already joined our program. We require in-scope suppliers to conduct water risk assessment and develop a water risk mitigation plan annually. In FY25, there are 158 supplier factories with active water risk mitigation plans update to Dell through our water program.

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☒ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

95567000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

57639927.62

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

We do not anticipate significant changes in water volume. Changes in revenue will have a larger relative impact to this water withdrawal efficiency figure.
[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Annex XVII of EU REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Annex XVII of the EU REACH Regulation lists substances that are restricted under REACH. Dell Technologies restricts the use of those substances by its suppliers in the Materials Restricted for Use Specification ENV0424, see www.dell.com/restrictedsubstanceslist

Row 2

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern for Authorisation above 0.1% by weight (EU Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

EU REACH requires Dell Technologies to provide customers with sufficient information on Substances of Very High Concern (SVHC) contained in products in concentration above 0.1% weight by weight (w/w) to allow safe use of the product. Many of our products contain substances such as lead which are found on the REACH list. Please refer to www.dell.com/reach

Row 3

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Guidelines for Controlling the Use of Key Chemical Substances in Consumer Products (China Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

GB/T 39498-2020 Guidelines for the use and control of key chemicals in consumer products is effective from June 1, 2021. This is a voluntary requirement that provides recommendations for controlling the use of chemical substances in consumer products. For IT products, the content is the same as China RoHS requirement. These are restricted for use in Dell products through our Materials Restricted for Use Specification ENV0424.

Row 4

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Annex XIV of UK REACH Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Annex XIV of the UK REACH Regulation lists substances that cannot be used or placed on the market for a use after a specified date, known as the sunset date, unless the use has been authorized or is exempt from authorization. Dell Technologies does not use substances that are included in the Authorization List (Annex 14).

Row 5

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern (UK Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

UK REACH requires Dell Technologies to provide customers with sufficient information on Substances of Very High Concern (SVHC) contained in products in concentration above 0.1% weight by weight (w/w) to allow safe use of the product. Many of our products contain substances such as lead which are found on the REACH list. Please refer to www.dell.com/reach

Row 6

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ List of substances (Canadian Environmental Protection Act)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Don't know

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

*Dell monitors toxic substances list under Canadian Environmental Protection Act and ensures that these are restricted for use in Dell products through our Materials Restricted for Use Specification ENV0424.
[Add row]*

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

- ☒ Judged to be unimportant, explanation provided

(9.14.4) Please explain

Dell Technologies' products do not directly use water, though there is some used in relation to our products, such as cooling systems for servers. Because of this low direct usage, we do not consider having products classified as low water usage important for the next two years.

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

- ☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

- ☒ Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.15.3.2) Please explain

Dell Technologies recognizes water scarcity as a global issue. When it comes to water-related targets Dell Technologies is currently assessing this topic and evaluating future plans to set targets considering business priorities.

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security

☒ Water withdrawals– total volumes

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☒ ISAE 3000

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Our FY25 Impact By The Numbers is available here: <https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-ie/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-technologies-fy25-impact-by-the-numbers.pdf> Report of the Independent Accountants where details around methodologies are prescribed:

<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

[dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf](#)

Row 2

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☒ Other data point in module 7, please specify :Scope 1 GHG emissions, Scope 2 GHG emissions, Total energy consumed

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☒ ISAE 3000

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Our FY25 Impact By The Numbers is available here: <https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-ie/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-technologies-fy25-impact-by-the-numbers.pdf> Report of the Independent Accountants where details around methodologies are prescribed:
<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

[dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf](#)

Row 3

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☒ Plastics

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Plastics

☒ Waste generated

☒ Other data point in module 10, please specify :Percentage of Product Collected

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☒ ISAE 3000

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Our FY25 Impact By The Numbers is available here: <https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-ie/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-technologies-fy25-impact-by-the-numbers.pdf> Report of the Independent Accountants where details around methodologies are prescribed:
<https://www.delltechnologies.com/asset/en-us/solutions/business-solutions/briefs-summaries/dell-fy25-limited-assurance-report-and-management-assertion.pdf>

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information
	n/a

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Chief Accounting Officer

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Senior Vice President Corporate Affairs

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

☒ No

