



BP P.L.C.

# 2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

**Important: this export excludes unanswered questions**

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

# Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>C1. Introduction</b>   | <b>7</b>  |
| (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?   | 7         |
| (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.  | 7         |
| (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.  | 7         |
| (1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.  | 8         |
| (1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?  | 8         |
| (1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.   | 9         |
| (1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?  | 9         |
| (1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.  | 11        |
| (1.19) In which part of the oil and gas value chain does your organization operate?   | 12        |
| (1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?  | 13        |
| <b>C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities</b>  | <b>14</b> |
| (2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?         | 14        |
| (2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?   | 15        |
| (2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?  | 16        |
| (2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.   | 16        |
| (2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?  | 21        |
| (2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?   | 21        |
| <b>C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities</b>  | <b>24</b> |
| (3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?             | 24        |
| (3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. | 24        |
| (3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?  | 27        |
| (3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.   | 27        |
| (3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.   | 28        |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| (3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by? .....  | 30 |
| (3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? .....            | 30 |
| (3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future. .... | 31 |
| (3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities. ....   | 40 |

## **C4. Governance ..... 42**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? .....   | 42  |
| (4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? .....  | 43  |
| (4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues. ....                         | 43  |
| (4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues? .....   | 45  |
| (4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization? .....   | 46  |
| (4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). ....  | 46  |
| (4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets? .....   | 48  |
| (4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals). ....  | 49  |
| (4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues? .....  | 52  |
| (4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. ....  | 52  |
| (4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? .....  | 54  |
| (4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? .....                                       | 55  |
| (4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year? .....   | 57  |
| (4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year. .... | 137 |
| (4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? .....  | 208 |
| (4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication. ....                                    | 208 |

## **C5. Business strategy ..... 211**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| (5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes? ..... | 211 |
|--|-----|

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| (5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis. ....   | 211 |
| (5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis. ....   | 218 |
| (5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?.....  | 220 |
| (5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....  | 220 |
| (5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning. ....   | 224 |
| (5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition? .....   | 225 |
| (5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition. ....  | 225 |
| (5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities? .....  | 227 |
| (5.8) Disclose the breakeven price (US\$/BOE) required for cash neutrality during the reporting year, i.e. where cash flow from operations covers CAPEX and dividends paid / share buybacks..... | 228 |
| (5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities? .....  | 228 |
| (5.10.1) Provide details of your organization’s internal price on carbon. ....   | 228 |
| (5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues? .....  | 231 |
| (5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment? .....   | 231 |
| (5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues? .....   | 232 |
| (5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process? .....  | 233 |
| (5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place. ....             | 234 |
| (5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues. ....  | 236 |
| (5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain. ....   | 238 |

**C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach ..... 242**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| (6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data..... | 242 |
|--|-----|

**C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change..... 243**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| (7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP? .....   | 243 |
| (7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?..... | 243 |
| (7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year? .....  | 243 |
| (7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?....                   | 244 |
| (7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. ....  | 245 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| (7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions. ....   | 245 |
| (7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure? ..... | 246 |
| (7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions. ....   | 246 |
| (7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e? .....   | 248 |
| (7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e? .....   | 248 |
| (7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions. ....   | 249 |
| (7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions. ....   | 255 |
| (7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements. ....  | 255 |
| (7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements. ....   | 257 |
| (7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? .....   | 262 |
| (7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year. ....   | 262 |
| (7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure? .....   | 269 |
| (7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization? .....  | 269 |
| (7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> . ....  | 269 |
| (7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type? .....   | 269 |
| (7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP). ....  | 269 |
| (7.15.4) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions from oil and gas value chain production activities by greenhouse gas type.....   | 270 |
| (7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....  | 277 |
| (7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division. ....   | 277 |
| (7.19) Break down your organization’s total gross global Scope 1 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e. ....  | 277 |
| (7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide. ....  | 278 |
| (7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division. ....   | 278 |
| (7.21) Break down your organization’s total gross global Scope 2 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e. ....  | 279 |
| (7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response? .....  | 280 |
| (7.24) Report your methane emissions as percentages of natural gas and hydrocarbon production or throughput. ....  | 280 |
| (7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? .....  | 281 |
| (7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. ....   | 281 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| (7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....  | 282 |
| (7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.....  | 285 |
| (7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.....   | 285 |
| (7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.....   | 294 |
| (7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.....  | 294 |
| (7.38) Disclose your net liquid and gas hydrocarbon production (total of subsidiaries and equity-accounted entities).....   | 328 |
| (7.38.1) Explain which listing requirements or other methodologies you use to report reserves data. If your organization cannot provide data due to legal restrictions on reporting reserves figures in certain countries/areas, please explain this..... | 329 |
| (7.38.2) Disclose your estimated total net reserves and resource base (million boe), including the total associated with subsidiaries and equity-accounted entities.....  | 330 |
| (7.38.3) Provide an indicative percentage split for 2P, 3P reserves, and total resource base by hydrocarbon categories.....   | 330 |
| (7.38.4) Provide an indicative percentage split for production, 1P, 2P, 3P reserves, and total resource base by development types.....  | 331 |
| (7.43) Disclose your total refinery throughput capacity in the reporting year in thousand barrels per day.....  | 331 |
| (7.43.1) Disclose feedstocks processed in the reporting year in million barrels per year.....   | 332 |
| (7.43.2) Are you able to break down your refinery products and net production?.....   | 333 |
| (7.43.3) Disclose your refinery products and net production in the reporting year in million barrels per year.....  | 333 |
| (7.43.4) Please disclose your petrochemicals production in the reporting year in thousand metric tons.....  | 334 |
| (7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.....          | 335 |
| (7.48) Provide the intensity figures for Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e) per unit of hydrocarbon category.....   | 336 |
| (7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.....  | 337 |
| (7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?.....   | 339 |
| (7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.....  | 339 |
| (7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....  | 353 |
| (7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.....   | 353 |
| (7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).....  | 359 |
| (7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.....  | 362 |
| (7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.....   | 362 |
| (7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.....   | 362 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| (7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities? .....   | 365 |
| (7.57) Describe your organization’s efforts to reduce methane emissions from your activities.....   | 369 |
| (7.61) Does your organization conduct leak detection and repair (LDAR) or use other methods to find and fix fugitive methane emissions from oil and gas production activities?.....   | 369 |
| (7.61.1) Describe the protocol through which methane leak detection and repair or other leak detection methods, are conducted for oil and gas production activities, including predominant frequency of inspections, estimates of assets covered, and methodologies employed..... | 370 |
| (7.62) If flaring is relevant to your oil and gas production activities, describe your organization’s efforts to reduce flaring, including any flaring reduction targets. ....  | 371 |
| (7.66) Is your organization involved in the sequestration of CO2? .....   | 371 |
| (7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products? .....   | 371 |
| (7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products. ....  | 371 |
| (7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....   | 373 |
| (7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.....  | 373 |

**C13. Further information & sign off ..... 397**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| (13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?.....     | 397 |
| (13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?.....  | 397 |
| (13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored..... | 398 |
| (13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. ....   | 399 |

## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*bp is an integrated energy business, one of only a few that can deliver energy at global scale through a decades-long energy transition. We have operations in Europe, North and South America, Australasia, Asia, Middle East and Africa. Our purpose is to deliver energy to the world, today and tomorrow. In February 2025, as part of our fundamental strategy reset, we announced we would simplify the aims we have set as part of our sustainability frame to focus on the areas that we believe are most relevant to bp's long-term success. We now have five aims: net zero operations, net zero sales, people, biodiversity and water. In some areas we have retired aims we had previously set; however, in many cases work continues in those areas. We provide an update on our actions on those aims, and our wider progress in relation to embedding sustainability, in our bp Sustainability Report 2024 (bp.com/sustainability). Our ambition remains to be a net zero company by 2050 or sooner, and to help the world get to net zero. Our net zero aims, as set out in page 38 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, are: - Net zero operations - Our aim is to reach net zero by 2050 or sooner for Scope 1 and 2 emissions within bp's operational control (on a CO2e basis) including by maintaining 'near-zero' methane intensity across our operated producing assets, enabled by supportive government policies. This aim now combines our previous operational emissions reduction aim and our methane reduction aim. - Net zero sales - Our aim is to reduce to net zero the average lifecycle carbon intensity of the energy products we sell by 2050 or sooner, enabled by supportive government policies and the decarbonization of energy demand. For both net zero operations and net zero sales, a 2030 aim (medium term) and 2050 aim (long term) have been defined as set out in the bp Sustainability Report 2024. As a global group, our interests/activities are held or operated through subsidiaries, branches, joint arrangements or associates established in—and subject to the laws and regulations of—many different jurisdictions. BP*

p.l.c. and its subsidiaries are separate legal entities. References to “bp”, “bp businesses”, “we”, “our” and similar terms throughout this submission are to BP p.l.c. and its subsidiaries generally, to one or more of them, or to those who work for them. In responding to some questions in this questionnaire we draw upon content from the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, bp’s sustainability report 2024 and other sources (including investor presentations available on bp.com) but the responses do not contain sufficient information to allow as full an understanding of the results and the state of affairs of BP p.l.c. as the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. As such no part of these responses constitutes, or shall be taken to constitute, an invitation or inducement to invest in BP p.l.c. or any other entity and must not be relied upon in any way in connection with any investment decisions. Certain responses also involve forward-looking statements, forecasts or projections with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and businesses of bp and certain of the plans and objectives of bp with respect to these items. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that will or may occur in the future. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in such statements depending on several factors. Please refer to the Cautionary statements on pages 338-339 of bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 and page 50 of bp Sustainability Report 2024 for information on forward-looking statements. For a number of questions, the CDP format uses dropdown answers, thus limiting respondents’ choices to a predefined list of options. In some cases, the options and follow-up questions do not permit a complete explanation of our circumstances. In responding to such questions, we have answered by selecting what seems to be the most appropriate answer in each case, and where possible provide additional clarification or context in free text where our answers are constrained by the question structures. We have not responded to certain questions where we have set out our position in our Annual Report, Sustainability Report, or other publicly available information. Responses other than quantified data are intended to be illustrative rather than comprehensive or selected according to materiality; quantified data drawn from data published elsewhere by bp are subject to any qualifications or clarifications provided there.

[Fixed row]

**(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.**

|  | End date of reporting year | Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period | Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|
|  | 12/31/2024                 | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes                 | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                |

[Fixed row]

**(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?**

189195000000

## (1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

### (1.5.1) Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?

Select from:

No

### (1.5.2) How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?

*For this submission, Scope 1 and 2 emissions are reported on a gross operational control basis and Scope 3 (Category 11) emissions are reported on a bp equity share basis based on bp's net share of production, excluding bp's share of Rosneft production.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

### ISIN code - bond

#### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

### ISIN code - equity

#### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

#### (1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

GB0007980591

### CUSIP number

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

**Ticker symbol**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

*BP plc*

**SEDOL code**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

*0798059*

**LEI number**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

## D-U-N-S number

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

### (1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

210042669

## Other unique identifier

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

## (1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Iraq   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Oman   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Peru   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Libya  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Egypt  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Angola | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Greece |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kuwait |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cyprus | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway |

- France
- Sweden
- Turkey
- Austria
- Belgium
- Denmark
- Romania
- Senegal
- Uruguay
- Colombia
- Malaysia
- Australia
- Greenland
- Indonesia
- Singapore
- Azerbaijan
- Switzerland
- Saudi Arabia
- South Africa
- Taiwan, China
- Republic of Korea
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Poland
- Finland
- Georgia
- Germany
- Hungary
- Nigeria
- Portugal
- Slovakia
- Thailand
- Viet Nam
- Argentina
- Luxembourg
- Mauritania
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United Arab Emirates
- United States of America
- Bolivia (Plurinational State of)

**(1.19) In which part of the oil and gas value chain does your organization operate?**

Oil and gas value chain

- Chemicals
- Downstream
- Midstream
- Upstream

Other divisions

- Biofuels
- Carbon capture and storage/utilization
- Grid electricity supply from gas
- Grid electricity supply from renewables

## **(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?**

### **(1.24.1) Value chain mapped**

Select from:

- Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

### **(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping**

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain

### **(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped**

Select from:

- Tier 1 suppliers

### **(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped**

Select from:

- All supplier tiers known have been mapped

### **(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage**

*Tier 1 suppliers are those with which bp has a direct contractual relationship. Tier 1 suppliers are recorded via an Enterprise Resourcing Planning system and are visible via an internal analytics tool. As we only map tier 1 suppliers, in 1.24.4, we have selected that "all supplier tiers known have been mapped".*

*[Fixed row]*

## **C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities**

**(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?**

### **Short-term**

**(2.1.1) From (years)**

0

**(2.1.3) To (years)**

1

**(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning**

*Short term (to 2025): aligning with our near term business and financial planning timeframe.*

### **Medium-term**

**(2.1.1) From (years)**

2

**(2.1.3) To (years)**

6

**(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning**

*Medium term (to 2030): aligning with our group business outlook timeframe, and enabling us to think beyond our short-term targets and adjust course if appropriate.*

## Long-term

### (2.1.1) From (years)

7

### (2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

No

### (2.1.3) To (years)

26

### (2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*Long term (to 2050): using scenarios to help explore the wide range of uncertainties surrounding the energy transition over the next 25 years.  
[Fixed row]*

## (2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

### (2.2.1) Process in place

Select from:

Yes

### (2.2.2) Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process

Select from:

Impacts only

### (2.2.4) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts

Select from:

No standardized procedure

## (2.2.5) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts and describe any plans to do so in the future

*Our operating management system (OMS) provides a single framework for delivering safe, reliable and compliant operations. It includes environmental, carbon and social requirements related to our sustainability frame. These requirements apply to businesses over which we have operational control. All our major operating sites attest or certify to ISO 14001, in line with our OMS requirement. Our Mauritania and Senegal gas business which became a major operating site in 2023 is still working towards ISO certification or attestation as per our OMS requirement. Our OMS includes requirements in our environmental and social practices that cover investment decisions, projects and operations. These practices set out requirements to identify, prevent and mitigate carbon, environmental and social impacts and risks; and to identify related opportunities.*

[Fixed row]

## (2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

|  | Process in place  | Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process                             | Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process? |
|--|---|--|--|
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes              |

[Fixed row]

## (2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

### Row 1

#### (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

### (2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

### (2.2.2.4) Coverage

*Select from:*

- Full

### (2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

*Select all that apply*

- Tier 1 suppliers

### (2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

- Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- More than once a year

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific
- Local
- Sub-national
- National

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- Enterprise Risk Management

International methodologies and standards

- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Other

- Scenario analysis

## (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

### Acute physical

- Drought
- Wildfires
- Heat waves
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Other acute physical risk, please specify :**Waves, currents, storm surge**
- flooding, dust, lightning**
- Cold wave/frost
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

### Chronic physical

- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- Permafrost thawing
- Sea level rise
- Water stress
- Other chronic physical driver, please specify :Water temperature and salinity

### Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Changes to national legislation
- Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits

### Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- Changing customer behavior
- Uncertainty in the market signals

### Reputation

- Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback

### Technology

- Transition to lower emissions technology and products
- Unsuccessful investment in new technologies

## Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- NGOs
- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*Our processes for identifying, assessing, managing and monitoring climate-related risks are integrated into bp's risk management policy and the associated risk management procedures. This includes physical and transition risks. Assessment of risks is ongoing and covers potential risks to the bp business originating from our direct operations, upstream and downstream value chains, to the extent identified as material by management and employees at our facilities, assets, and within our businesses, integrators and enablers. bp requirements, which take into account applicable laws and regulations, underpin the plans developed to help reduce risk and deliver safe, compliant and reliable operations as well as greater efficiency and sustainable financial results. Risks are assessed over short-, medium- and long-term time horizons as appropriate. Risks are identified as part of our risk management processes outlined on page 62 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 and guidance to support consistency has been made available to our businesses to provide them with a climate-related framework and taxonomy, which they are able to use as they see fit in their identification and assessment of risk.. Where risks – including climate-related risks – are identified, businesses and functions are required to assess them, in line with our risk management policy. This includes an impact and likelihood assessment which supports the consideration of relative significance and prioritization of risk management activities. The impact criteria outlined on page 62 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 include health and safety, environmental, financial and non-financial (such as regulatory impact) criteria and are used for assessing risks, including climate-related risks. This provides a consistent basis for assessment across bp. Through our Operating Management System (OMS), we require our major operating sites to attest or certify to ISO 14001, and to define the environmental risks relevant to their operations – including climate-related risks – in line with this. As set out in our TCFD Strategy A and B disclosures on page 47 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, we have identified potentially material climate-related opportunities and our strategy has been*

*informed by these. We identify climate-related opportunities by considering a range of information sources, including the bp Energy Outlook 2024 (see page 7 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024). Business opportunities continue to be originated across bp, and taken forward through bp's investment governance framework, see page 21 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. Our gas & low carbon energy business is accountable for the delivery of many of our low carbon opportunities through both organic and inorganic growth (see page 62 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024). Our investment governance framework (see page 21 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024) provides the mechanism by which alignment of these opportunities with our strategy is assessed and decisions on which to progress are made. We describe in question 5.1.2, and in our climate-related financial disclosures on pages 42-55 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, how we have used scenarios to inform our understanding of climate-related risks and opportunities.*

[Add row]

## **(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?**

### **(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed**

Select from:

Yes

### **(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed**

*As set out in our response to question 2.2.2, above, our environmental and social practices, which form part of our Operating Management System (OMS), set out requirements to identify, prevent and mitigate carbon, environmental and social impacts and risks; and to identify related opportunities. All our major operating sites attest or certify to ISO 14001, in line with our OMS requirement. Our Mauritania and Senegal gas business which became a major operating site in 2023 is still working towards ISO certification or attestation as per our OMS requirement. Guidance on implementation of our environmental and social practices has been made available to our businesses to support the identification and management of carbon, environmental, & social aspects, impacts, risks and opportunities (AIRO) and how information on identified impacts can inform assessment of potential risks. Potential and actual environmental impacts arising from risk events can lead to financial, compliance, legal and reputation consequences for bp – and, where relevant, these potential impacts are assessed and managed in line with the risk management processes described above in question 2.2.2.*

[Fixed row]

## **(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?**

### **Risks**

#### **(2.4.1) Type of definition**

Select all that apply

Qualitative

## (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Potential for material adverse effect on the implementation of our strategy, business, financial performance, results of operations, cash flow, liquidity, prospects, shareholder value and returns and reputation.

## (2.4.7) Application of definition

*bp defines principal risks as those which, separately or in combination, could have a material adverse effect on the implementation of our strategy, business, financial performance, results of operations, cash flow, liquidity, prospects, shareholder value and returns and reputation. These risks – including climate change and the transition to a lower carbon economy – are described in the Risk factors on pages 65-67 of our 2024 Annual Report and Form 20-F.*

## Opportunities

### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Qualitative

### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Climate-related opportunities material to our strategy

### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*As set out in our TCFD Strategy A and B disclosures on page 47 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, we have identified potentially material climate-related opportunities and our strategy has been informed by these. We identify climate-related opportunities by considering a range of information sources, including the bp Energy Outlook 2024 (see page 7 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024), which helps inform our core beliefs about the energy transition. Business opportunities continue to be originated across bp, and taken forward through bp's investment governance framework, see page 21 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. Our gas & low carbon energy business is accountable for the delivery of many of our low carbon opportunities through both organic and inorganic growth (see page 62 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024). Our investment governance framework (see page 21 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024) provides the mechanism by which alignment of these opportunities with our strategy is assessed and decisions on which to progress are made.*

[Add row]



### C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

|                | Environmental risks identified  |
|----------------|---|
| Climate change | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain |

*[Fixed row]*

**(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

#### Climate change

##### (3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

*Select from:*

Risk1

##### (3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

Carbon pricing mechanisms

##### (3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

### (3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Germany
- Netherlands
- Spain

### (3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

*In our climate-related financial disclosures contained within the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 (pages 42-55) we describe 3 broad, material climate-related transition risks and opportunities we have identified at a group level. These are underpinned by underlying risks that are managed through the risk process outlined on pages 61-62 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 and above in question 2.2.2. Although there are other underlying risks in relation to bp's climate-related transition risks, question 3.1.1 requests specific input in relation to identified risks, which we were able to identify for carbon pricing. Including carbon pricing in this section does not mean it is the only risk nor that it is the most significant or material risk. We support the extensive use of carbon pricing as a key tool to help the world meet the Paris climate goals, but it could present a risk to businesses whose GHG emissions would be subject to such a scheme, and this risk could be substantive, particularly if there are significant regional differences in carbon prices creating imbalances between operators in different jurisdictions. For example, in Europe, direct GHG emissions from bp operations are covered by the EU emissions trading scheme, in which the cost of acquiring allowances in order to comply with the cap and trade regulations could increase due to market considerations and policy interventions as Governments seek to further reduce GHG emissions from capped sectors.*

### (3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased direct costs

### (3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term

### (3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Likely

### (3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium

### (3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*The price of European Union Allowances (EUAs) is expected to rise in the next decade, with several external forecasts predicting prices to be in excess of EUR120 per EUA by 2030. Refiners from outside the EU do not carry these costs, so fuel imports are advantaged and will increase competitive pressure on EU refiners, potentially accelerating the refinery rationalisation process in Europe. Product exports from bp refineries like Rotterdam and Castellon could be competitively disadvantaged, leading to potential throughput reductions in times of low refinery margins.*

### (3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

### (3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

700000000

### (3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

2200000000

### (3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

*The potential financial impact is based on estimated cumulative EUA (certificates) cost for 2025-2030 for bp's refineries across Europe.*

### (3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

Establish organization-wide targets

### (3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

50000

### (3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

*It is difficult to provide an accurate management cost to this activity as resources are utilized across a number of teams and spread across multiple activities (commercial, environment, business). However, by way of example the external verification cost for the EU ETS is ~ \$50,000 per annum (this varies with the number of sites in scope).*

### (3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Through our Net Zero Operations aim to reach net zero by 2050 or sooner for Scope 1 and 2 emissions within bp's operational control, we continue to make progress on reducing operational emissions through implementing energy efficiency measures, reducing flaring and managing methane. Net Zero Operations covers our Scope 1 (from running the assets within our operational control boundary) and Scope 2 (associated with producing the electricity, heating and cooling that is bought in to run those operations) GHG emissions on an operational control boundary. We have selected "Establish organization-wide targets" in response to "Primary response to risk" because progress against our Net Zero Operations Aim may reduce emissions from affected facilities and therefore reduce potential compliance costs, however our Net Zero Operations Aim was not set specifically in response to this risk. Relevant bp businesses manage the cost of any residual obligation (price risk) after any engineering or operational emission reduction activities that they have undertaken, through the use of our Low Carbon Trading team within our Trading and Shipping organisation. This team sources the allowances and other carbon credits from global markets.*  
[Add row]

## (3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

Yes

### (3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply

EU ETS

UK ETS

**(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.**

**EU ETS**

**(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS**

26

**(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS**

0

**(3.5.2.3) Period start date**

01/01/2024

**(3.5.2.4) Period end date**

12/31/2024

**(3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated**

5556724

**(3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased**

2589159

**(3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e**

8145883

**(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e**

0

### (3.5.2.9) Details of ownership

Select from:

Facilities we own and operate

### (3.5.2.10) Comment

*EU ETS does not cover Scope 2 emissions or Scope 1 methane emissions.*

## UK ETS

### (3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

3

### (3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

### (3.5.2.3) Period start date

01/01/2024

### (3.5.2.4) Period end date

12/31/2024

### (3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated

226217

### (3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased

709084

### (3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e

**(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e**

0

**(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership**

Select from:

 Facilities we own and operate**(3.5.2.10) Comment**

*The UK Emissions Trading System (UK ETS) launched on 1 January 2021 following the end of the Brexit transition period and the UK's participation in the EU ETS. It seeks to provide a carbon pricing mechanism as a tool for helping achieve the UK's net zero target and covers the same GHGs and sectors as the EU ETS. bp's North Sea operations are subject to the UK ETS. UK ETS does not cover Scope 2 emissions or Scope 1 methane emissions.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?**

*Affected installations make a business decision whether to comply through investment in emission reductions and/or purchase of allowances. For example, bp's North Sea operations, which have been subject to the UK Emissions Trading System (UK ETS) since 1 January 2021, manage compliance with the ETS in line with a documented procedure which covers all aspects of compliance including permitting, measurement and monitoring, reporting and verification, surrendering allowances and record-keeping. This process is conducted on an annual basis. Data is monitored throughout the calendar year and allowances are surrendered to cover emissions during that calendar year. Operators, Verifiers, and the Regulator are involved at various points in the compliance cycle. Prior to 1 January 2021 bp's North Sea operations operated under the EU ETS and followed an equivalent process for compliance. Emission reduction strategies, which may include efficiency upgrades, are employed where it makes technical and commercial sense to do so. The purchase and depositing of allowances into each asset's UK ETS Registry account is undertaken according to trade agreements specific to each asset, and the process of surrendering allowances each year is co-ordinated by the Hydrocarbon Accounting Team. For investment appraisal of new opportunities or projects, potential future operational emissions costs that may be borne by bp as a result of an investment are included as bp costs, in order to incentivize engineering solutions that reduce operational carbon emissions on projects. All investment cases with anticipated annual operational GHG emissions (Scope 1 and 2) above 20,000 tonnes of CO2 equivalent (bp net), must estimate those anticipated GHG emissions and include an associated carbon cost in the investment economics, using the carbon prices that are detailed in question 5.10.1 and on page 20 of bp's Annual Report and Form 20-F.*

**(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

|                |   |
|----------------|---|
|                | <b>Environmental opportunities identified</b>   |
| Climate change | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized |

*[Fixed row]*

**(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.**

### Climate change

#### (3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

*Select from:*

Opp1

#### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

Other products and services opportunity, please specify :Development and/or expansion of low emission goods and services.

#### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

*Select from:*

Downstream value chain

#### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

*Select all that apply*

- Italy
- Japan
- Spain
- Brazil
- France
- Australia
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Taiwan, China
- Republic of Korea

- Greece
- Poland
- Germany
- Ireland
- Portugal
- Trinidad and Tobago
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

### **(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description**

*Hydrogen and renewables & power: bp's Energy Outlook 2024 uses two scenarios – Current Trajectory and Net Zero – to explore a range of possible outcomes for the global energy system out to 2050. The fastest growing source of primary energy in both scenarios is renewable energy: more than doubling by 2050 in Current Trajectory and increasing more than three-fold in Net Zero. The growing importance of renewable energy is underpinned by the continuing electrification of the energy system, and low carbon hydrogen can complement the growing electrification of the energy system through its use in processes and activities in industry and transport that are hard to electrify and as a source of long-duration energy storage in power markets. The role of low carbon hydrogen is most pronounced in the Net Zero scenario, where it is supported by policies spurring a faster decarbonization pathway. The role of low carbon hydrogen in the Current Trajectory scenario is more limited. We recognize the opportunity to scale up our low carbon energy businesses – hydrogen and renewable power – over the next decade underpinned by the growing demand suggested by the Energy Outlook, and other scenarios, and regulatory support.*

### **(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity**

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

### **(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization**

Select all that apply

- Long-term

### **(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon**

Select from:

Likely (66–100%)

### (3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-high

### (3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*In February 2025, we announced a strategy reset, as part of this we plan to invest with discipline: with selective investment in biogas, biofuels and EV charging, where we see strong demand growth; adopting innovative capital-light partnerships in renewables; and focusing investment on hydrogen and carbon capture projects to support us in decarbonizing our operations, and position us for growth through the next decade. We now expect capital investment into transition businesses to be between \$1.5-2.0 billion per year through 2027. Transition businesses are business activities (including development, production/manufacture/ generation and marketing, distribution and trading) associated with products and services that support energy transition, including in the areas of biogas, biofuels, EV charging, renewable power generation, hydrogen and carbon capture. Our investment into the transition will be either through our own consolidated entities or additionally through our equity-accounted entities. Our disciplined approach to capital investment means that individual investments will be made when we consider there to be a clear and compelling business case, in line with our balanced set of investment criteria, see page 22 of bp's annual report and Form 20-F 2024. We recognize that the pace of our transition relative to our core low carbon target sectors and regions is important. If we move more slowly than those markets, we may miss investment opportunities and customers may prefer different suppliers with potential negative consequences to demand for our products and to our reputation. If we move faster than these markets, we risk investing in technologies or low carbon products that are unsuccessful because there is insufficient demand for them. However, our investment may also help to stimulate demand and provide us with a leading position in growth markets. In considering time frames, we have aligned to those used in our TCFD analysis (medium term being currently to 2030, long-term being to 2050), and consider substantive effects to bp's financial position and performance to be more evident in the long-term. When setting and monitoring the delivery of bp's strategy across the long term, scenarios are used to help explore the wide range of uncertainties surrounding the energy transition over the next 25 years. For more detail on our approach, see page 7 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024.*

### (3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

### (3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

2000000000

### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

*In February 2025, we announced a strategy reset, as part of this we plan to invest with discipline: with selective investment in biogas, biofuels and EV charging, where we see strong demand growth; adopting innovative capital-light partnerships in renewables; and focusing investment on hydrogen and carbon capture projects to support us in decarbonizing our operations, and position us for growth through the next decade. We now expect capital investment into transition businesses to be between \$1.5-2.0 billion per year through 2027. This upper value of this range is the basis for the 'cost to realise opportunity' provided here (note this is our current guidance capex figure across all our transition businesses and not just those listed in this specific climate related opportunity).*

### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

*Recent volatility and uncertainty has impacted low carbon energy businesses globally, demonstrating the need to be aligned with and flexible to market and policy development. As announced in February 2025, we are changing our model for low carbon – delivering with partners and with external financing that will be capital-light for bp and help improve our equity returns. In renewable power we now have the Lightsource bp platform, and completed the formation of JERA Nex bp in August 2025. Recognizing the exposure to transition volatility seen in recent years, JERANex bp plans to focus on highly disciplined, capital efficient growth. We also plan to maintain access to our equity share of power offtake to support our own growing internal demand. Lightsource bp is now scaled to deliver 3-5GW annually, backed by around 50GW mature pipeline with further potential to scale while remaining capital-light for bp. In our hydrogen and CCS businesses, we are prioritizing fewer, higher value projects in the near term while building capability and future optionality to scale and grow as the market develops. By focusing on projects in jurisdictions where we have an adequate regulatory framework, access to the value chain including our own or customer demand and leveraging access to advantaged carbon capture and renewable power, we aim, over time, to decarbonize our operations and help our customers decarbonize. We sanctioned four projects, for example, Lingen, Germany in 2024 (see page 23 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024) and have a strong pipeline with which to respond to future demand growth.*

## Climate change

### (3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp2

### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

Other products and services opportunity, please specify :Development and/or expansion of low emission goods and services.

### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- India
- Spain
- France
- Poland
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- South Africa
- United States of America
- Austria
- Germany
- Portugal
- Australia
- Indonesia
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*Convenience and EV charging: The bp Energy Outlook 2024 suggests that, out to 2050, there will be an increasing shift towards electrification of road transport. Across the two scenarios explored in the outlook – Current Trajectory and Net Zero – oil is increasingly replaced by electricity as the main energy source for road transport, with the fleet of light-duty vehicles in particular becoming increasingly electrified over the outlook, led by changes in developed economies. The share of electric vehicles in the global light vehicle parc increases from less than 2% in 2022 to between 20-30% by 2035 in Current Trajectory and Net Zero, growing to between 50% and 85% respectively by 2050. Similar trends are apparent in medium and heavy-duty (MHD) trucks – with tightening regulation standards driving a shift away from the use of oil-based products towards lower carbon fuels. Increasing electrification of trucks accounts for most of this shift. Recognizing the growing opportunities in low carbon mobility that the energy transition offers, we are growing our EV charging network and bringing our capabilities and reach in convenience together with EV charging to enable us, over time, to provide customer-focused, lower carbon transport solutions. Since 2019, we've increased our charge points by 150% worldwide and we are continuing to grow our network in Germany, China, the UK, Europe, the US, Australia and more.*

### (3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

### (3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Long-term

### (3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Likely (66–100%)

### (3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-high

### (3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*In February 2025, we announced a strategy reset, as part of this we plan to invest with discipline: with selective investment in biogas, biofuels and EV charging, where we see strong demand growth; adopting innovative capital-light partnerships in renewables; and focusing investment on hydrogen and carbon capture projects to support us in decarbonizing our operations, and position us for growth through the next decade. We now expect capital investment into transition businesses to be between \$1.5-2.0 billion per year through 2027. Transition businesses are business activities (including development, production/manufacture/ generation and marketing, distribution and trading) associated with products and services that support energy transition, including in the areas of biogas, biofuels, EV charging, renewable power generation, hydrogen and carbon capture. Our investment into the transition will be either through our own consolidated entities or additionally through our equity-accounted entities. Our disciplined approach to capital investment means that individual investments will be made when we consider there to be a clear and compelling business case, in line with our balanced set of investment criteria, see page 20 of bp's annual report and Form 20-F 2024. We recognize that the pace of our transition relative to our core low carbon target sectors and regions is important. If we move more slowly than those markets, we may miss investment opportunities and customers may prefer different suppliers with potential negative consequences to demand for our products and to our reputation. If we move faster than these markets, we risk investing in technologies or low carbon products that are unsuccessful because there is insufficient demand for them. However, our investment may also help to stimulate demand and provide us with a leading position in growth markets. In considering time frames, we have aligned to those used in our TCFD analysis (medium term being currently to 2030, long-term being to 2050), and consider substantive effects to bp's financial position and performance to be more evident in the long-term. When setting and monitoring the delivery of bp's strategy across the long term, scenarios are used to help explore the wide range of uncertainties surrounding the energy transition over the next 25 years. For more detail on our approach, see page 7 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024.*

### (3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

### (3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

2000000000

### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

*In February 2025, we announced a strategy reset, as part of this we plan to invest with discipline: with selective investment in biogas, biofuels and EV charging, where we see strong demand growth; adopting innovative capital-light partnerships in renewables; and focusing investment on hydrogen and carbon capture projects to support us in decarbonizing our operations, and position us for growth through the next decade. We now expect capital investment into transition businesses to be between \$1.5-2.0 billion per year through 2027. This upper value of this range is the basis for the 'cost to realise opportunity' provided here (note this is our current guidance capex figure across all our transition businesses and not just those listed in this specific climate related opportunity).*

### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

*We are increasing the resilience of our existing fuels network, high-grading our regional footprint and reallocating capital into our most advantaged positions on major transit routes where we see sustained demand for fuels and EV growth. Since 2020 we have announced our exit from two retail markets, and the sale of another. Our integrated mobility model across fuels (hydrocarbons and biofuels), convenience and EV charging provides resilience to the pace of transition by allowing us to flex our offer to meet customer demand. In 2024 EV charging continued to show strong momentum. Energy sold and EV charge points installed in the year grew by around 75% and 35% respectively, compared to 2023, with charge points now around 39,100. bp continued to advance its future network growth: • In July 2024 bp signed a deal with Simon Property Group to install and operate up to 900 ultra-fast charging bays at up to 75 sites across the US, with initial sites expected to open to the public in early 2026. • In September 2024, bp signed a deal with LAZ parking in the US, to roll-out ultra-fast charging hubs in 20 cities. In addition: • In March 2024 bp acquired the freehold of one of the largest truck stops in Europe, Ashford International Truckstop in Kent. The acquisition presents bp with the opportunity to help meet the comprehensive needs of UK and European HGV operators transitioning to EVs. • In April 2024, bp opened its first bp pulse branded Gigahub in Houston, Texas, with 24 ultra fast charge points, building momentum in our US charging business offering.*

## Climate change

### (3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp3

### (3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

## Products and services

- Other products and services opportunity, please specify :Development and/or expansion of low emission goods and services.

### (3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

### (3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- Spain
- Brazil
- Germany
- Australia
- Netherlands
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

### (3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

*Bioenergy: The bp Energy Outlook 2024 forecasts that, out to 2050, the use of modern bioenergy – modern solid biomass, biofuels and biomethane – will increase significantly, providing a source of low carbon energy to help decarbonize sectors and processes that are hard to electrify. Modern bioenergy use increases by around two-thirds by 2050 in Current Trajectory and more than doubles in Net Zero to a little over 70 EJ by 2050. The demand for biofuels expands rapidly over the first half of the outlook, increasing by around 60% in Current Trajectory and almost tripling in Net Zero. This growth is driven by increasing use in transport in China and emerging economies as well as in the EU and US, supported by government policies to boost biofuel use. Biomethane also grows rapidly over the outlook, blended into the natural gas grid or fed into industrial sites as a direct substitute for natural gas. By 2050 biomethane comprises around 3% of total gas volumes in Current Trajectory and around 15% in Net Zero, compared with less than 1% in 2022. As the world seeks lower carbon fuels, we see clear opportunities to leverage our portfolio of assets and customer base to grow our bioenergy presence. This includes biofuels, including sustainable aviation fuel, and biogas.*

### (3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

### (3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Long-term

### (3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Likely (66–100%)

### (3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium

### (3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

*In February 2025, we announced a new strategy, as part of this we plan to invest with discipline: with selective investment in biogas, biofuels and EV charging, where we see strong demand growth; adopting innovative capital-light partnerships in renewables; and focusing investment on hydrogen and carbon capture projects to support us in decarbonizing our operations, and position us for growth through the next decade. We now expect capital investment into transition businesses to be between \$1.5-2.0 billion per year through 2027. Transition businesses are business activities (including development, production/manufacture/ generation and marketing, distribution and trading) associated with products and services that support energy transition, including in the areas of biogas, biofuels, EV charging, renewable power generation, hydrogen and carbon capture. Our investment into the transition will be either through our own consolidated entities or additionally through our equity-accounted entities. Our disciplined approach to capital investment means that individual investments will be made when we consider there to be a clear and compelling business case, in line with our balanced set of investment criteria, see page 20 of bp's annual report and Form 20-F 2024. We recognize that the pace of our transition relative to our core low carbon target sectors and regions is important. If we move more slowly than those markets, we may miss investment opportunities and customers may prefer different suppliers with potential negative consequences to demand for our products and to our reputation. If we move faster than these markets, we risk investing in technologies or low carbon products that are unsuccessful because there is insufficient demand for them. However, our investment may also help to stimulate demand and provide us with a leading position in growth markets. In considering time frames, we have aligned to those used in our TCFD analysis (medium term being currently to 2030, long-term being to 2050), and consider substantive effects to bp's financial position and performance to be more evident in the long-term. When setting and monitoring the delivery of bp's strategy across the long term, scenarios are used to help explore the wide range of uncertainties surrounding the energy transition over the next 25 years. For more detail on our approach, see page 7 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024.*

### (3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

### (3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

2000000000

### (3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

*In February 2025, we announced a new strategy, as part of this we plan to invest with discipline: with selective investment in biogas, biofuels and EV charging, where we see strong demand growth; adopting innovative capital-light partnerships in renewables; and focusing investment on hydrogen and carbon capture projects to support us in decarbonizing our operations, and position us for growth through the next decade. We now expect capital investment into transition businesses to be between \$1.5-2.0 billion per year through 2027. This upper value of this range is the basis for the 'cost to realise opportunity' provided here (note this is our current guidance capex figure across all our transition businesses and not just those listed in this specific climate related opportunity).*

### (3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

*Our strategy to realise the opportunity covers biofuels and biogas. Our biofuels business is helping to decarbonize the mobility value chain using existing infrastructure. We recently took full ownership of bp bioenergy in Brazil, accessing around 50kb/d of production and see potential for future growth with support from policy and market conditions. Our feedstock positions (such as our strategic collaboration with Corteva aimed at producing and delivering crop-based biofuel feedstocks) also provide additional resilience and opportunity to anticipated supply shortages in the transition, see page 35 bp's annual report and Form 20-F 2024. Through Archaea, we believe we are well positioned in the US to meet growing demand for biogas as the transition progresses. Our business is integrated across the value chain, enabling us to capture rent as the market evolves. We are building resilience by improving capital efficiency and reducing operating costs and continue to assess and develop new routes to market and customer solutions to create future optionality.*

*[Add row]*

**(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.**

## Climate change

### (3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

CAPEX

**(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)**

**(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue**

Select from:

 21-30%**(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures**

*We have retired our aim for more investment into the transition (previously referred to as bp's aim 5 - more investment into transition). In 2024 transition growth investment was \$3.7 billion, compared with \$0.6 billion in 2019 and \$3.8 billion in 2023. It represents around 23% of total capital expenditure in both 2023 and 2024, compared with around 3% in 2019. Our disciplined approach to capital investment means that individual investments will be made when we consider there to be a clear and compelling business case, in line with our balanced set of investment criteria, see page 20 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. We will continue to provide guidance on a periodic basis about production volumes and our capital frame. As announced in February 2025, we now expect to invest between \$1.5-2.0 billion per year through 2027 in what we now refer to as our transition businesses.*

*[Add row]*

## C4. Governance

### (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

#### (4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

More frequently than quarterly

#### (4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Executive directors or equivalent

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

#### (4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

Yes, and it is publicly available

#### (4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

*The bp Board diversity, equity & inclusion policy, approved by the board on 14 November 2024 and available on [www.bp.com](http://www.bp.com), sets out how the board, supported by the people, culture and governance committee will: 1. Encourage a diverse and inclusive working environment in the boardroom 2. Continue our journey towards greater diversity on the board across all its dimensions 3. Consider all aspects of diversity when reviewing the board's composition, its skills, experience and overall balance, including when conducting the annual board performance review. 4. Oversee the development of a diverse pipeline for succession to the board and its committees. 5. Monitor that all board appointments are subject to a formal, rigorous, and transparent procedure. 6. Provide a tailored and adaptive induction for new board appointments. 7. Continue to increase its understanding of all aspects of diversity, equity and inclusion through appropriate training and development*

opportunities. 8. Engage search firms who understand bp’s values and approach to diversity, equity and inclusion and agree to comply with those values and approach in identifying suitable board candidates from diverse candidate pools. Note: the above is a summary of the policy, truncated to comply with CDPs character limit – see the attached policy (also available on www.bp.com) for full details.

**(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)**

*bp-board-of-directors-diversity-policy-2025.pdf*  
 [Fixed row]

**(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?**

|                |   |
|----------------|---|
|                | Board-level oversight of this environmental issue       |
| Climate change | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes |

[Fixed row]

**(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.**

**Climate change**

**(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

Board-level committee

**(4.1.2.2) Positions’ accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board**

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board Terms of Reference
- Individual role descriptions

#### (4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

#### (4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*Climate-related risks and opportunities were discussed at each board meeting covering strategy in 2024, and the committees considered climate-related issues where appropriate to do so in fulfilling their responsibilities. Oral reports from each of the committee chairs are given at board meetings to keep the board apprised of the relevant matters discussed including, where applicable, climate-related risks and opportunities. The board also reviewed documents containing climate-related disclosures. The board continues to develop its knowledge and expertise on climate-related and sustainability matters. For example, in 2024, the board took part in: a renewables and power transition growth engine update, a hydrogen and carbon capture and storage transition growth engine update, and an energy and economic update. The board is due to receive further updates on bp's strategic process and sustainability frame in 2025. Examples of the board's consideration of climate-related issues in 2024 included: Focused its sustainability aims on those most relevant to the long term success of its businesses and to its net zero ambition, regular reviews of potential merger, acquisition and divestment opportunities, including transition and low carbon and approved the acquisition of transition business bp Bunge Bioenergia. For further information see page 76 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. As part of bp's group investment process, described on pages 20-23 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, the board assesses capital allocation across the bp portfolio, including the level and mix of capital expenditures and divestments, strategic acquisitions, distribution choices and deleveraging, as well as reviewing certain investment cases for approval. It reviews and approves*

investment cases of more than \$3 billion for resilient hydrocarbons, more than \$1 billion for all transition or low carbon investments and any significant inorganic acquisition that is exceptional or unique in nature. All new material capex investments (investments greater than \$250 million that relate to a new project or asset) are evaluated for consistency with the Paris goals by the executive-level resource commitment meeting (RCM), which is chaired by the chief executive officer. Throughout 2024, management, the leadership team, the board and relevant committees (including the safety and sustainability committee) provide oversight of how principal risks to bp - including climate-related risks - are identified, assessed and managed. Such oversight may include internal audit reports, group risk reports and reviews of the outcomes of business processes including strategy, planning and resource and capital allocation.

[Fixed row]

## **(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?**

### **Climate change**

#### **(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board**

Select all that apply

- Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
- Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

#### **(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member**

Experience

- Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues
- Experience in an organization that is exposed to environmental-scrutiny and is going through a sustainability transition
- Active member of an environmental committee or organization

[Fixed row]

### (4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

|                | Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue |
|----------------|--|
| Climate change | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes      |

[Fixed row]

### (4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

#### Climate change

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Developing a climate transition plan
- Implementing a climate transition plan

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- More frequently than quarterly

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*The board, subject to certain conditions and limitations, delegates day-to-day management of the business of the company to the CEO. The CEO is responsible for proposing bp's strategy to the board for approval and leading the bp leadership team in delivering bp's strategy and annual plan. Under this delegation, the CEO is responsible for overseeing the implementation of a comprehensive system of internal controls that are designed to, among other things (a) identify and manage risks that are material to bp, (b) protect bp's assets, and (c) monitor the application of bp's resources in a manner that meets external regulatory standards. Risks, for these purposes, include the climate-related risks and opportunities for bp associated with the issue of climate change and the transition to a lower carbon economy. This is set out in the CEO role profile at bp.com/board. The assessment and management of climate-related risks and opportunities is embedded across bp at various levels and delegated authority flows down from the board through the CEO. Our description of the responsibilities of the CEO provided here is on the basis of and subject to this delegation from the board. See page 44 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 for more information on risk governance and oversight. Where considered appropriate, climate-related risks and opportunities were discussed at bp leadership team meetings in 2024 as part of regular business performance updates prepared for these meetings. The bp leadership team provides oversight of risk, including climate-related risk, through the various committees described on page 61 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024.*

### Climate change

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

#### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

Reports to the Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

#### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

Quarterly

#### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*The executive-level group sustainability committee was established to provide oversight, challenge and support in the implementation of bp's sustainability frame and the management of potentially significant non-operational sustainability (including climate-related) risks and opportunities. It met four times in 2024. During 2024 the committee considered progress embedding sustainability, performance against targets and bp's position on certain strategic sustainability issues that present risks or opportunities to delivery. This committee was chaired by the EVP Strategy, Sustainability & Ventures (SS&V) in 2024 and comprises members of the bp leadership team. The outputs from the committee were shared with the board and its committees, including the safety and sustainability committee, as appropriate.*

[Add row]

**(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?**

**Climate change**

#### (4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

### (4.5.3) Please explain

*The annual bonus and performance share awards for the bp leadership team is directly related to the same bp performance measures and outcomes as those for the wider workforce. The bp leadership team annual bonuses and performance share awards are determined based on a range of performance dimensions, both financial and non-financial, including safety and sustainability measures. In 2024, the annual bonus and performance share awards were weighted 15% towards delivery of measures to reduce operated scope 1 and 2 carbon emissions. There are no monetary incentives for non-executive board members. For further information on remuneration, please refer to the Directors' remuneration report on pages 88-113 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

#### Climate change

#### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

#### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

*Select all that apply*

Bonus - % of salary

Shares

#### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Emission reduction

Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

Reduction in absolute emissions

#### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

#### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*The annual bonus for executive directors is directly related to the same bp performance measures and outcomes as those for the wider workforce. The CEO and CFO's annual bonuses are determined based on a range of performance dimensions, both financial and non-financial, including safety and sustainability measures. Sustainability performance was previously assessed against sustainable emissions reductions (SER). bp transitioned to use operated carbon emissions from 2024, as it is a more holistic and inclusive measure that represents the full breadth of possible operational movements and is better suited to driving ownership and delivery across the business. In 2024 15% of the CEO and CFO's annual bonus outcomes were linked to operated carbon emissions with a target of 35.5 million tonnes in 2024. For 2024, operated carbon emissions of 33.7MtCO<sub>2</sub>e achieved an outcome between target and maximum and is reflective of our strong progress against net zero operations milestones. For further information on remuneration, please refer to the Directors' remuneration report on pages 88-113 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. As an executive director, the CEO is also eligible for performance shares under the executive director incentive plan (EDIP). In 2022 we introduced, for the 2023-2025 EDIP, a new emissions target by way of a net zero measure; aligned to our Net Zero Operations aim to be net zero across the entire bp operations by 2050 (scope 1+ 2). Weighted at 15%, it forms a significant and meaningful percentage of the 2023-2025 EDIP. In 2023 we introduced, for the 2024-2026 EDIP, a cumulative reduction % in operated carbon emissions measure. This is to provide direct alignment with bp's net zero ambition so that all operated emissions are captured. The weighting remained at 15% to ensure a meaningful percentage of the EDIP is focused on operational emissions reduction and performance. The same measure of cumulative reduction % in operated carbon emissions measure is included in the 2025-2027 EDIP.*

#### (4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

*Sustainability performance was previously assessed against sustainable emissions reductions (SER). bp transitioned to use operated carbon emissions from 2024, as it is a more holistic and inclusive measure that represents the full breadth of possible operational movements and is better suited to driving ownership and delivery across the business. The operated carbon emissions measure is linked directly to delivery against our Net Zero Operations aim. For 2024, operated carbon emissions of 33.7MtCO<sub>2</sub>e achieved an outcome between target and maximum and is reflective of our strong progress against net zero operations milestones. The 2023-2025 performance shares (EDIP) net zero measure and the 2024-2026 and 2025-2027 performance shares (EDIP) cumulative reduction % in operated carbon emissions measure are linked directly to delivery against our Net Zero Operations aim.*

### Climate change

#### (4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

### (4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

Bonus - % of salary

Shares

### (4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Emission reduction

Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative

Reduction in absolute emissions

### (4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

### (4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

*The annual bonus for executive directors is directly related to the same bp performance measures and outcomes as those for the wider workforce. The CEO and CFO's annual bonuses are determined based on a range of performance dimensions, both financial and non-financial, including safety and sustainability measures. Sustainability performance was previously assessed against sustainable emissions reductions (SER). bp transitioned to use operated carbon emissions from 2024, as it is a more holistic and inclusive measure that represents the full breadth of possible operational movements and is better suited to driving ownership and delivery across the business. In 2024 15% of the CEO and CFO's annual bonus outcomes were linked to operated carbon emissions with a target of 35.5 million tonnes in 2024. For 2024, operated carbon emissions of 33.7MtCO<sub>2</sub>e achieved an outcome between target and maximum and is reflective of our strong progress against net zero operations milestones. For further information on remuneration, please refer to the Directors' remuneration report on pages 88-113 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. As an executive director, the CFO is also eligible for performance shares under the executive director incentive plan (EDIP). In 2022 we introduced, for the 2023-2025 EDIP, a new emissions target by way of a net zero measure; aligned to our Net Zero Operations aim to be Net zero across the entire bp operations by 2050 (scope 1+ 2). Weighted at 15%, it forms a significant and meaningful percentage of the 2023-2025 EDIP. In 2023 we introduced, for the 2024-2026 EDIP, a cumulative reduction % in operated carbon emissions measure. This is to provide direct alignment with bp's net zero ambition so that all operated emissions are*

captured. The weighting remained at 15% to ensure a meaningful percentage of the EDIP is focused on operational emissions reduction and performance. The same measure of cumulative reduction % in operated carbon emissions measure is included in the 2025-2027 EDIP.

**(4.5.1.6) How the position’s incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan**

Sustainability performance was previously assessed against sustainable emissions reductions (SER). bp transitioned to use operated carbon emissions from 2024, as it is a more holistic and inclusive measure that represents the full breadth of possible operational movements and is better suited to driving ownership and delivery across the business. The operated carbon emissions measure is linked directly to delivery against our Net Zero Operations aim. For 2024, operated carbon emissions of 33.7MtCO2e achieved an outcome between target and maximum and is reflective of our strong progress against net zero operations milestones. The 2023-2025 performance shares (EDIP) net zero measure and the 2024-2026 and 2025-2027 performance shares (EDIP) cumulative reduction % in operated carbon emissions measure are linked directly to delivery against our Net Zero Operations aim.

[Add row]

**(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?**

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  | <p>Does your organization have any environmental policies?</p>     |
|  | <p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> |

[Fixed row]

**(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.**

**Row 1**

**(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered**

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*Included in our environmental policy is to: - Comply with applicable environmental laws and company policies and procedures to protect the environment where we operate. - Through our Operating Management System (OMS), identify and systematically manage the environmental performance of our operating activities including: greenhouse gas emissions and energy management, waste management, water management, air quality, biodiversity and protected areas. - Continuously improve our environmental management system through the application of our OMS performance improvement cycle and through the requirement at our major operating sites to attest or certify to the international standard, ISO 14001 - Environmental Management. - Maintain board and executive-level oversight of the management of operational environmental risks and the effectiveness of implementation of our sustainability frame. - Aim to raise awareness and understanding of environmental issues with our employees - by communicating the role and value of our sustainability frame and delivering against its aims. The above is an excerpt of our environmental policy published in May 2021. This is to be updated in due course so please see bp.com for the most up to date policy.*

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

BP - Environmental policy - May 2021.pdf

[Add row]

### (4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

#### (4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

UN Global Compact

World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)

Other, please specify :Sustainable Markets Initiative (SMI) Oil and Gas Decarbonisation Charter

#### (4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

*We are a signatory to the UN Global Compact and have reinforced our support for the 10 principles on human rights, labour, environment and anti-corruption through our purpose and sustainability frame. We are a founding member of the World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD). In 2024 we continued to work with the WBCSD in relation to their ongoing 'Climate Scenario Analysis Reference Approach for Companies in the Energy System'. We support the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), established by the Financial Stability Board to improve the reporting of climate-related risks and opportunities We are a founding member of the SMI. Two bp employees are on secondment to the SMI Secretariat, and our CEO and other executives actively participate in the SMI programme, particularly in the Energy Transition Hub and the SMI's engagement with countries around the world. In 2024 the Energy Transition Hub was restructured to include various forms of energy, including oil, gas, hydrogen and geothermal. The Hub works closely with the SMI's finance hub so that financing the transition remains a key priority. We are proud to be part of the SMI and to contribute to His Majesty, King Charles III's vision for Nature, People and Planet, as set out in the Terra Carta he launched when he was Prince of Wales in 2021. We are a founding signatory of the Oil and Gas Decarbonization Charter (OGDC), launched at COP28 in December 2023. The Charter brings together over 50 companies, operating nearly 7,000 assets across more than 100 countries, and accounting for 43% of global oil production. Approximately 60% of OGDC members are National Oil Companies. The OGDC aims for*

net zero operations by 2050, zero routine flaring and near-zero methane emissions by 2030. The Charter also emphasizes the importance for leading companies to share best practice to help others in the earlier stages of their methane reduction journey. Aligning with the Charter creates an opportunity for our sector to accelerate emission reductions through collective action. In 2024 the OGDC published *The Oil & Gas Decarbonization Charter 2024: A Baseline For Action*, which will help prioritise and track progress on emissions reductions. See more on our methane progress on page 20 of bp's Sustainability Report 2024.

[Fixed row]

#### **(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?**

##### **(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Select all that apply

- Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers
- Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

##### **(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

##### **(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

##### **(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement**

*bp - High level climate policy positions - May 2023.pdf*

##### **(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register**

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

Mandatory government register

#### (4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

*We make disclosures under the relevant laws within the jurisdictions we operate in. Europe (3394026642-58): We log responses to public consultations, forum participation and some meetings with officials <https://go.bp.com/rZJZT> Scotland: We log F2F meetings with members of Parliament, officials, ministers and special advisors <https://go.bp.com/QEY0n> US (House 34594, Senate 48678): We submit federal lobbying disclosure reports quarterly per the US Lobbying Disclosure Act <https://go.bp.com/TC7eJ>*

#### (4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

*We co-operate and engage with governments, regulators and legislators in the development of proposed policies relevant to our business. Our activities may include direct lobbying on specific policy proposals by bp employees, through broader advocacy via research work or supporting think tanks, to communications activities and advertising. bp's high level climate policy positions (attachment under 4.11.4) set out our high level public positions in response to specific areas of climate policy. This does not seek to be comprehensive. The detail of specific policies and regulations is crucial for their success; new policies and regulations generally need to interact effectively with a range of existing measures, and these interactions can be complex. Each policy maker needs to tailor their policy objectives to the unique circumstances, challenges and opportunities of their country and their specific social, political and economic environment. For these reasons, to achieve the relevant policy objectives while avoiding unintended consequences it is essential that climate policies—like any other policies—are well designed. bp is a member of many trade associations. Some trade associations engage in lobbying and advocacy on matters they consider important to their members. Positions taken by a trade association on any topic are often a compromise or majority view, arrived at through their decision-making processes. We will make the case for our views on climate change within the associations we belong to and we will be transparent where we differ. And where we can't reach alignment, we will be prepared to leave. We periodically assess the alignment of key associations with our position on climate. The examples that we give in question 4.11.1 of the policies, laws and regulations that may impact climate that we have engaged with, are taken from our global advocacy hub (available at: [www.bp.com/policyandadvocacy](http://www.bp.com/policyandadvocacy)), and do not represent an exhaustive list of engagement activities.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?**

**Row 1**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Exposure draft of the Fuel Industry (Fuel Resilience) Amendment Regulations 2024 The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to**

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

**(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Other

Other, please specify :Fuel resilience

**(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation**

*Select from:*

National

**(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to**

*Select all that apply*

New Zealand

**(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation**

*Select from:*

- Support with major exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp New Zealand response to the exposure draft of the Fuel Industry (Fuel Resilience) Amendment Regulations 2024 - <https://go.bp.com/JrMgC> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

- Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 3

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Safeguard Mechanism implementation: production variable updates and international best practice benchmarks The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Environmental impacts and pressures

- Emissions – CO2

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- Australia

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp Australia response to the consultation on the Safeguard Mechanism implementation: production variable updates and international best practice benchmarks - <https://go.bp.com/yBZeO> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

#### Row 4

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Pre-budget submission The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

- Other, please specify :Energy aspects of federal budget

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- Australia

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with no exceptions

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp Australia pre-budget submission - <https://go.bp.com/Kri0O> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

## Row 5

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

IRS Notice REG-132569-17: "Definition of Energy Property and Rules Applicable to the Energy Credit" The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Subsidies for low-carbon, non-renewable energy projects

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United States of America

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp America's response to IRS Notice REG-132569-17: "Definition of Energy Property and Rules Applicable to the Energy Credit" - <https://go.bp.com/6GNU4> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Paris Agreement

## Row 6

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*PURA's October 11, 2023 Notice of Request for Written Comments in Docket No. 21-09-17 Investigation into Medium and Heavy-Duty Electric Vehicle Charging The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

Other, please specify :Electric vehicles

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

National

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

United States of America

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

Support with minor exceptions

### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

bp pulse Response to PURA's October 11, 2023 Notice of Request for Written Comments in Docket No. 21-09-17 Investigation into Medium and Heavy-Duty Electric Vehicle Charging - <https://go.bp.com/ZKkdN> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

## Row 7

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Bureau of Ocean Energy Management's Proposed Sale Notice for Atlantic Lease Sale 10 for Commercial Leasing for Wind Power Development on the Outer Continental Shelf Docket No. BOEM-2023-0062 The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Renewable energy generation

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

Sub-national

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

United States of America

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

Support with no exceptions

### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

**(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp America comments on the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management's Proposed Sale Notice for Atlantic Lease Sale 10 for Commercial Leasing for Wind Power Development on the Outer Continental Shelf Docket No. BOEM-2023-0062 - <https://go.bp.com/4WiKE> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 8**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Subsidies for low-carbon, non-renewable energy projects

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp America testimony: IRS Public Hearing on REG–132569–17: Definition of Energy Property and Rules Applicable to the Energy Credit - <https://go.bp.com/pXGeq>  
We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 9

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Green electricity tariffs/renewable energy PPAs

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with no exceptions

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

**(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp America comments on IRS REG-117631-23: Section 45V Credit for Production of Clean Hydrogen - <https://go.bp.com/jUlfu> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 10**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*New York Cap and Invest Pre-Proposal Draft The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Emissions trading schemes

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Sub-national

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp America comments on New York Cap and Invest Pre-Proposal Draft - <https://go.bp.com/Q6YDp> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

**(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 11**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Clean fuel carbon tax exemption The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Carbon taxes

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

- Canada

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- Support with no exceptions

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

*Select all that apply*

- Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp Canada Energy Group ULC and 38 organizations sign joint letter calling for urgent action on the clean fuel carbon tax exemption - <https://go.bp.com/1hOkE> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### **Row 12**

#### **(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Inquiry into the transition to electric vehicles The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to**

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

Other, please specify :Electric vehicles

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

Australia

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp Australia response to the inquiry into the transition to electric vehicles - <https://go.bp.com/nRE7V> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 13

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Proposed exemption from the requirement to hold a transmission licence for array systems The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Renewable energy generation

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp response to the consultation on the proposed exemption from the requirement to hold a transmission licence for array systems - <https://go.bp.com/xdz42> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 14

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Contracts for Difference (CfD) Sustainable Industry Reward The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Renewable energy generation

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp response to the Government's open consultation into introducing a Contracts for Difference (CfD) Sustainable Industry Reward - <https://go.bp.com/C6sKm> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 15

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*UK Emissions Trading Scheme: Future Markets Policy The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Emissions trading schemes

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp response to the joint consultation on UK Emissions Trading Scheme: Future Markets Policy - <https://go.bp.com/4xjeB> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

**Row 16**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*UK Emissions Trading Scheme: Free Allocation Review The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to**

Select all that apply

- Climate change

**(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Emissions trading schemes

#### **(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation**

Select from:

- National

#### **(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to**

Select all that apply

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### **(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation**

Select from:

- Neutral

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their*

net zero ambition. bp response to the joint consultation on UK Emissions Trading Scheme: Free Allocation Review - <https://go.bp.com/i5GnM> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 17

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Proposed amendments for Allocation Round 7 and future rounds The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Renewable energy generation

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp response to the Government's consultation on proposed amendments for Allocation Round 7 and future rounds - <https://go.bp.com/e7rNM> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### **Row 18**

#### **(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Marine Protected Area assessments: draft updates to policy The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to**

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### **(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Environmental protection and management procedures

Other environmental protection and management procedures, please specify :Marine Protected Area assessments

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Neutral

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp response to the Government's consultation on Marine Protected Area assessments: draft updates to policy - <https://go.bp.com/AdoEB> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are*

consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 19

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Empowering drivers and boosting competition in the road fuel retail market The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Alternative fuels

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with major exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp response to the Government's open consultation on empowering drivers and boosting competition in the road fuel retail market - <https://go.bp.com/orXEC> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### **Row 20**

#### **(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Renewable transport fuels obligation (RTFO) addressing multiple incentives consultation The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to**

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### **(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Energy and renewables

Alternative fuels

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Undecided

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp's response to the Renewable transport fuels obligation (RTFO) addressing multiple incentives consultation - <https://go.bp.com/gV0s0> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are*

consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 21

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Commodity Futures Trading Commission on its proposed rule requiring an Operational Resilience Framework for Futures Commission Merchants, Swap Dealers, and Major Swap Participants The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

Emissions trading schemes

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with no exceptions

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp America comments to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission on its proposed rule requiring an Operational Resilience Framework for Futures Commission Merchants, Swap Dealers, and Major Swap Participants - <https://go.bp.com/IXCx6> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

**Row 22**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*EPA's proposed rule imposing a Waste Emissions Charge for Petroleum and Natural Gas Systems The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to**

Select all that apply

- Climate change

**(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Carbon taxes

**(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation**

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Neutral

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp America comments for EPA's proposed rule imposing a Waste Emissions Charge for Petroleum and Natural Gas Systems - <https://go.bp.com/LD4jr> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### **Row 23**

#### **(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Definition of Energy Property and Rules Applicable to the Energy Credit: Correction REG–132569–17 The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to**

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### **(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Subsidies for low-carbon, non-renewable energy projects

#### **(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation**

Select from:

- National

#### **(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to**

Select all that apply

United States of America

#### **(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation**

Select from:

Support with no exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp America comments on the Definition of Energy Property and Rules Applicable to the Energy Credit: Correction REG-132569-17 - <https://go.bp.com/qHCDA> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

#### Row 24

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Joint letter endorsing the inclusion of funding for EV and alternative fuel programs in Michigan's Fiscal Year 2025 State Budget The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Alternative fuels

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Sub-national

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with no exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp America signs joint letter endorsing the inclusion of funding for EV and alternative fuel programs in Michigan's Fiscal Year 2025 State Budget - <https://go.bp.com/24zdQ> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

## Row 25

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Washington Department of Ecology 2024 Clean Fuel Standard Rulemaking The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Alternative fuels

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- Sub-national

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

- United States of America

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp America comments on the Washington Department of Ecology 2024 Clean Fuel Standard Rulemaking - <https://go.bp.com/fMElu> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

## Row 26

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Electricity and Energy sector plan discussion paper The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

- Climate transition plans

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- Australia

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp Australia response to the Electricity and Energy sector plan discussion paper - <https://go.bp.com/zxuye> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

- Paris Agreement

## Row 27

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Western Australia design paper for the Capacity Investment Scheme The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Emissions trading schemes

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- Sub-national

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

- Australia

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp Australia response to the Western Australia design paper for the Capacity Investment Scheme - <https://go.bp.com/aqqku> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

## Row 28

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Introduction of voluntary templates for Power Purchase Agreements in the EU energy market The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Green electricity tariffs/renewable energy PPAs

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Regional

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- Europe

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp response to consultation on the introduction of voluntary templates for Power Purchase Agreements in the EU energy market - <https://go.bp.com/pHEul> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

## Row 29

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Draft Commission Regulation amending Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 as regards requirements for the import of used cooking oil The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Alternative fuels

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Regional

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

Europe

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp response to draft Commission Regulation amending Regulation (EU) No 142/2011 as regards requirements for the import of used cooking oil - <https://go.bp.com/tS7bp> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

## Row 30

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*FMIA: Unlocking Australia's low carbon liquid fuel opportunity consultation paper The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Alternative fuels

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

Australia

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp Australia response to the FMIA: Unlocking Australia's low carbon liquid fuel opportunity consultation paper - <https://go.bp.com/KCTIA> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

## Row 31

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Future Made In Australia Bill 2024 inquiry The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

Climate transition plans

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

National

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

Australia

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp Australia response to the Future Made In Australia Bill 2024 inquiry - <https://go.bp.com/5JeJ4> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

- Paris Agreement

## Row 32

### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Hydrogen Production Tax Incentive consultation The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Green electricity tariffs/renewable energy PPAs

### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- National

### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

- Australia

### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- Support with minor exceptions

#### **(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation**

*bp Australia response to the Hydrogen Production Tax Incentive consultation - <https://go.bp.com/crD8t> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

- Paris Agreement

### Row 33

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Coalition letter asking for Kentucky Governor's support for provisions within Senate Bill 64 and House Bill 6 that promote sustainable aviation fuel The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Alternative fuels

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

- United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- Support with no exceptions

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp signs on to coalition letter asking for Kentucky Governor's support for provisions within Senate Bill 64 and House Bill 6 that promote sustainable aviation fuel - <https://go.bp.com/PMP4q> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

Row 34

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Illinois Senate Revenue Committee on Senate Bill 3131 – Amendment to the Illinois Income Tax Act The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Alternative fuels

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

Sub-national

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

Support with major exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp testimony to the Illinois Senate Revenue Committee on Senate Bill 3131 – Amendment to the Illinois Income Tax Act - <https://go.bp.com/18T4s> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is*

aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

**Row 35**

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Implementation of a Clean Fuels Standard in New York The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Alternative fuels

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

Sub-national

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp pulse signs coalition letter expressing support for the implementation of a Clean Fuels Standard in New York - <https://go.bp.com/ED8cq> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is*

aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.

#### **(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Responding to consultations

#### **(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

**Row 36**

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Commodity Futures Trading Commission on Notice of Intent to Renew Collection 3038–0095; Large Trader Reporting for Physical Commodity Swaps The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Emissions trading schemes

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

- United States of America

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

- Support with no exceptions

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

*Select all that apply*

- Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### (4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp Energy Company and bp Products North America Inc. comments to the Commodity Futures Trading Commission on Notice of Intent to Renew Collection 3038–0095; Large Trader Reporting for Physical Commodity Swaps - <https://go.bp.com/Y3lnr> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 37

#### (4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

*Changes to various permitted development rights consultation The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

- Other, please specify :Operations permits

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp response to changes to various permitted development rights consultation - <https://go.bp.com/Suk2D> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

**(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 38**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Introduction of a UK carbon border adjustment mechanism from 2027 The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Financial mechanisms (e.g., taxes, subsidies, etc.)

- Carbon taxes

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp's response to the government's consultation on the introduction of a UK carbon border adjustment mechanism from 2027 - <https://go.bp.com/cXfln> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

**(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 39**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Transport and Infrastructure Net Zero Consultation Roadmap The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

- Climate transition plans

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- Australia

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp Australia response to the Transport and Infrastructure Net Zero Consultation Roadmap - <https://go.bp.com/YxTZD> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

**(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 40**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Future Made in Australia (Guarantee of Origin) Bill 2024 inquiry The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Energy attribute certificate systems

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- Australia

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp Australia response to the Future Made in Australia (Guarantee of Origin) Bill 2024 inquiry - <https://go.bp.com/NmVZb> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

**(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 41**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Sustainable Aviation Fuels Revenue Certainty Mechanism The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Alternative fuels

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

#### (4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

*bp response to Sustainable Aviation Fuels Revenue Certainty Mechanism - <https://go.bp.com/bZ0fz> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because: • We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement. • Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims. • We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Responding to consultations

**(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)**

0

**(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition.*

**(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

**(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 42**

**(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers**

*Road fuel monitoring consultation on draft guidance for information gathering powers The information included here has been taken from our global advocacy hub, where we publish examples of advocacy in support of our strategy and net zero ambition.*

#### (4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### (4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

Alternative fuels

#### (4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

National

#### (4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

*Select all that apply*

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

#### (4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

*Select from:*

Neutral

#### (4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

*Select all that apply*

Responding to consultations

#### (4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

#### **(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement**

*The public policy environment sets the framework in which we operate, and it is undergoing significant change. We monitor the external policy environment to identify opportunities and potential risks to our strategy. We advocate for a policy framework that can support our strategy and our sustainability frame, including our net zero aims. We believe that supportive government policies will be vital for the world to meet the Paris climate goals, and for bp and other companies to fully realize their net zero ambition. bp response to road fuel monitoring consultation on draft guidance for information gathering powers - <https://go.bp.com/o1WVj> We have answered 'yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned' to the question 'Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals [the Paris Agreement]?' because:*

- We believe our ambition and aims, taken together, are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement.*
- Our strategy underpins our ambition and aims.*
- We strive to conduct our advocacy in line with our aims and ambition to help us deliver our strategy.*

#### **(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

[Add row]

#### **(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.**

##### **Row 1**

#### **(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

- American Chemistry Council

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the American Chemistry Council was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to*

make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 2

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

- American Clean Power Association (formerly AWEA)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the American Clean Power Association was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 3

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

American Petroleum Institute

#### **(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### **(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

Select from:

Mixed

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Where we say "Yes and they have changed their position" in response to "Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year", we mean that we (together, at times, with other members) have engaged in meaningful discussion and have noted subsequent movement in the stated views of the association. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations review, we found American Petroleum Institute to be partially aligned. Although we found this organisation to be partially-aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree or disagree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. We have communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 4

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

South America

Other trade association in South America, please specify :Associação Brasileira de Exploração de Produção (ABEP)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Associação Brasileira de Exploração de Produção was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 5

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Asia and Pacific

Other trade association in Asia and Pacific, please specify :Australian Energy Producers (formerly APPEA)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Australian Energy Producers was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 6

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Asia and Pacific

Other trade association in Asia and Pacific, please specify :Australian Institute of Petroleum (AIP)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Australian Institute of Petroleum was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 7

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

Other trade association in North America, please specify :Electric Power Supply Association (EPSA)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Electric Power Supply Association was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 8

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC) [CH only]

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the European Chemical Industry Council was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 9

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

- Federation of German Industries (BDI)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Federation of Germany Industries / Förderkreis der Deutschen Industrie e.V. was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 10

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

Other trade association in Europe, please specify :Fuels Industry UK (UK Petroleum Industry Association)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Fuels Industry UK was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 11

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

FuelsEurope

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the FuelsEurope / CONCAWE was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 12

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

Other trade association in North America, please specify :Greater Houston Partnership

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Greater Houston Partnership was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 13

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

Other global trade association, please specify :IPIECA

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that IPIECA was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 14

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

Other trade association in North America, please specify :Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil & Gas Association (LMOGA)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Mixed

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, and they have changed their position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*Where we say "Yes and they have changed their position" in response to "Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year", we mean that we (together, at times, with other members) have engaged in meaningful discussion and have noted subsequent movement in the stated views of the association. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations review, we found Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil & Gas Association to be partially aligned. Although we found this organisation to be partially-aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree or disagree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. We have communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

- Paris Agreement

### **Row 15**

#### **(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

*Select from:*

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### **(4.11.2.4) Trade association**

Global

- Other global trade association, please specify :Mærsk Mc-Kinney Møller Center for Zero Carbon Shipping

#### **(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### **(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

Select from:

Consistent

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Mærsk Mc-Kinney Møller Center for Zero Carbon Shipping was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with*

respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization’s engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization’s engagement on policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### **Row 16**

#### **(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### **(4.11.2.4) Trade association**

North America

- National Association of Manufacturers

#### **(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the National Association of Manufacturers was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 17

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

- Other trade association in North America, please specify :National Association of Truck Stop Operators (NATSO)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Mixed

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, and they have changed their position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Where we say "Yes and they have changed their position" in response to "Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year", we mean that we (together, at times, with other members) have engaged in meaningful discussion and have noted subsequent movement in the stated views of the association. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations review, we found National Association of Truck Stop Operators to be partially aligned. Although we found this organisation to be partially-aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree or disagree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. We have communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

*Select all that apply*

Paris Agreement

#### Row 18

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

*Select from:*

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

Other trade association in North America, please specify :Natural Gas Supply Association (NGSA)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

*Select from:*

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Natural Gas Supply Association was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

#### Row 19

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

Other trade association in Europe, please specify :NLHydrogen

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that NLHydrogen was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

#### Row 20

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

- Offshore Energies UK (OEUK) (Formerly OGUK)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that Offshore Energies UK was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

#### Row 21

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

- Other global trade association, please specify :Oil and Gas Climate Initiative (OGCI)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Oil and Gas Climate Initiative was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

#### Row 22

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

- Other global trade association, please specify :Oil Companies International Marine Forum (OCIMF)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Mixed

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, and they have changed their position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Where we say "Yes and they have changed their position" in response to "Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year", we mean that we (together, at times, with other members) have engaged in meaningful discussion and have noted subsequent movement in the stated views of the association. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations review, we found Oil Companies International Marine Forum to be partially aligned. Although we found this organisation to be partially-aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree or disagree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. We have communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question."*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

## Row 23

### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

- Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA)

### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

We answered “Yes, we publicly promoted their current position”. What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp’s seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations’ positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Solar Energy Industries Association was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn’t mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members’ differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization’s engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization’s engagement on policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

**Row 24**

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Africa

- Other trade association in Africa, please specify :South African Petroleum Industry Association (SAPIA)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions.*

An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the South African Petroleum Industry Association was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

Row 25

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

- Other trade association in Europe, please specify :Spanish Association of Petroleum products Operators (AOP)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Spanish Association of Petroleum products Operators was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on*

everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### **Row 26**

#### **(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

Other trade association in North America, please specify :Texas Oil & Gas Association (TXOGA)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Mixed

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, and they have changed their position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*Where we say "Yes and they have changed their position" in response to "Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year", we mean that we (together, at times, with other members) have engaged in meaningful discussion and have noted subsequent movement in the stated views of the association. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations review, we found Texas Oil & Gas Association to be partially aligned. Although we found this organisation to be partially-aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree or disagree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. We have communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 27

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

International Association of Oil and Gas Producers (IOGP)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the The International Association of Oil & Gas Producers was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 28

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

Other trade association in Europe, please specify :UFIP Énergies et Mobilités – The French association of petroleum industry

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the UFIP Énergies et Mobilités was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 29

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

- Other trade association in Europe, please specify :UK Chamber of Shipping

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the UK Chamber of Shipping was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

### Row 30

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

US Chamber of Commerce

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Mixed

#### (4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, and they have changed their position

#### (4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

*Where we say "Yes and they have changed their position" in response to "Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year", we mean that we (together, at times, with other members) have engaged in meaningful discussion and have noted subsequent movement in the stated views of the association. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations review, we found the US Chamber of Commerce to be partially aligned. Although we found this organisation to be partially-aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree or disagree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. We have communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### (4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

606859

#### (4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

*Select from:*

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

*Select all that apply*

- Paris Agreement

### **Row 31**

#### **(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement**

*Select from:*

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### **(4.11.2.4) Trade association**

Europe

- Other trade association in Europe, please specify :Verband Der Chemischen Industrie (VCI)

#### **(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position**

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### **(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

Select from:

Consistent

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Verband Der Chemischen Industrie was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with*

respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 32

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

- Other trade association in Europe, please specify :VEMOBIN (Vereniging Energie voor Mobiliteit en Industrie)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

**(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with**

Select from:

Mixed

**(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, and they have changed their position

**(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*Where we say "Yes and they have changed their position" in response to "Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year", we mean that we (together, at times, with other members) have engaged in meaningful discussion and have noted subsequent movement in the stated views of the association. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations review, we found VEMOBIN to be partially aligned. Although we found this organisation to be partially-aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree or disagree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. We have communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

**(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

**(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 33

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

- Other trade association in Europe, please specify :Wirtschaftsverband Fuels und Energie e.V. (en2X)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the Wirtschaftsverband Fuels und Energie e.V. was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 34

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

- Other global trade association, please specify :World Bank Global Gas Flaring Reduction Partnership (GGFR)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the World Bank Global Gas Flaring Reduction Partnership was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 35

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

- Other global trade association, please specify :World Business Council For Sustainable Development (WBCSD)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the World Business Council For Sustainable Development was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### (4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### (4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

### Row 36

#### (4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

#### (4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

- Other global trade association, please specify :World Economic Forum (WEF)

#### (4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

#### **(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year**

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

#### **(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position**

*We answered "Yes, we publicly promoted their current position". What we mean by this is that we have assessed (in our internal 2024 Trade Associations review) that their position on climate change policy is consistent with our own. And we have promoted these positions on climate change policy. In our internal 2024 Trade Associations Review, we used bp's seven high-level positions on climate change and the energy transition as the basis for our review of trade associations' positions. An association was assessed as aligned overall if we found it to have aligned positions on the Paris Agreement, climate science and reducing emissions; with limited positions where we found misalignment or no position. Ideally an association has demonstrated active support for specific policy proposals. We found that the World Economic Forum was aligned. Although we found this organisation to be aligned with us overall, that doesn't mean we agree on everything as trade associations need to take account of members' differing views. We recognize this and will continue to monitor alignment going forward and to use our influence to make our case. For those associations deemed as aligned, we have formally communicated our expectations to association leadership and those within bp who work with the organization in question.*

#### **(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)**

606859

#### **(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment**

*In the spirit of transparency, for "Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year" we have disclosed an average fee across the 36 organisations listed – which are over the membership fee threshold of \$100,000. This average is used due to reasons of commercial confidentiality with respect to the exact fees we pay each organisation. There is a large variance around the average figure. We believe our memberships provide significant benefits – from contributing to the development of equipment, operating and safety standards, through to working with regulators and policymakers, knowledge sharing and professional development.*

#### **(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

#### **(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation**

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

[Add row]

#### **(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?**

Select from:

- Yes

**(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.**

#### **Row 1**

##### **(4.12.1.1) Publication**

Select from:

- In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

##### **(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with**

Select all that apply

TCFD

#### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

Complete

#### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Governance
- Risks & Opportunities
- Strategy
- Emissions figures
- Emission targets

#### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

*Climate-related financial disclosures, bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, pages 42-55.*

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

*bp-annual-report-and-form-20f-2024.pdf*

#### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*We support the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), established by the Financial Stability Board to improve the reporting of climate-related risks and opportunities We report in line with the FCA Listing Rule UKLR 6.6.6R(8), which requires us to report on a 'comply or explain' basis against the TCFD Recommendations and Recommended Disclosures in respect of the financial year ended 31 December 2024. We consider our climate-related financial disclosures to be consistent with all of the TCFD Recommendations and Recommended Disclosures and that they are therefore compliant with the*

*requirements of UKLR 6.6.6R(8). You can find our disclosures against each TCFD Recommended Disclosure and the related Recommendation covering Governance, Strategy, Risk Management and Metrics and Targets in the bp Annual Report 2024 – pages 42-55.*  
*[Add row]*

## C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

#### (5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Annually

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

### Climate change

#### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

Customized publicly available climate transition scenario, please specify :WBCSD (World Business Council for Sustainable Development) Scenario Reference Catalogue

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Market
- Technology

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.6°C - 1.9°C

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025
- 2030

#### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Stakeholder and customer demands

- Other stakeholder and customer demands driving forces, please specify :Various factors / driving forces considered depending on underlying scenario, see response to 5.1.1.10 below

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

☑ Other regulators, legal and policy regimes driving forces, please specify :Various factors / driving forces considered depending on underlying scenario, see response to 5.1.1.10 below

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :Various factors / driving forces considered depending on underlying scenario, see response to 5.1.1.10 below.

### **(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario**

*The WBCSD (World Business Council for Sustainable Development) Scenario Reference Catalogue Version 3.0, used for our analysis, is composed of scenarios taken from leading public institutions on climate transition modelling with small additions for alternative economic growth. The Catalogue includes scenarios from the following leading public institutions: - the International Energy Agency (IEA); - the Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS); - the Inevitable Policy Response (IPR); - the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA); - Bloomberg New Energy Finance (BNEF). The catalogued scenarios have been grouped into climate reference scenario families (families). These are: - Paris Ambitious 1.5C: Scenarios with outcomes designed to keep temperature rise within 1.5C above pre-industrial levels with limited/no overshoot. - Paris Aligned Well-Below 2C: Scenarios with outcomes designed to keep temperature rise within 2C above pre-industrial levels with limited/no overshoot -Current Policies/Business as Usual (BAU): Scenarios that reflect the range of current climate policies and Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) or other national pledges, resulting in a temperature rise between 2.5 and 3.5C by 2100. The Catalogue includes 7,142 unique variables for climate risk testing and disclosure, which are either extracted directly from the selected scenarios, or disaggregated and/or expanded with support and analysis by McKinsey Sustainability. Extracted variables are taken directly from the public scenarios from which they originate and are unaltered. Disaggregated variables are a more granular version of variables which have been extracted directly from the scenario provider. Expanded variables are new variables which use extracted and disaggregated variables as inputs for calculation. As such, the assumptions, uncertainties and constraints within the scenarios included in the Catalogue are reflective of both the underlying modelling approaches and assumptions behind each of the individual scenarios and, where relevant, the methodologies applied to disaggregated and/or expanded variables. Full details on both the modelling approaches and narratives behind each scenario, and the methodologies for disaggregated and expanded variables, are available within the Catalogue and associated documentation, which can be accessed here: [https://climate-scenario-catalogue.shinyapps.io/final\\_2024/](https://climate-scenario-catalogue.shinyapps.io/final_2024/)*

### **(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario**

*In keeping with others, such as the IPCC and IEA, we believe that there are a range of global pathways to achieve the Paris goals, with differing implications for regions, industries and sectors, so we believe that business strategies need to be resilient to this uncertainty. We have conducted analysis to test our strategic resilience to different climate-related scenarios, using the WBCSD (World Business Council for Sustainable Development) Scenario Reference Catalogue, which was developed at the request of the TCFD (Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures). Version 3.0 of the Catalogue, used for our analysis, is almost exclusively composed of scenarios taken from leading public institutions on climate transition modelling with small additions for alternative economic growth. The Catalogue includes scenarios from leading public institutions including the IEA and NGFS. This Catalogue includes scenarios that are classified by WBCSD as consistent with well below 2C and 1.5C outcomes. The Scenario Catalogue comprises three 'Climate Scenario Reference Families': 'Paris Ambitious 1.5C', 'Paris Aligned Well-Below 2C' and 'Current Policies/BAU'. Further information on how the catalogue was created is available in the Technical Documentation: Climate*

Scenario Catalogue (May 2024) available for download on the WBCSD website. We have drawn on this Catalogue to test the resilience of our strategy and understand the potential implications of a range of possible energy transition scenarios for key elements of a potential 2030 bp portfolio mix. Our 2024 analysis used data from the WBCSD Climate Scenario Catalogue version 3.0, published on 16th May 2024 and downloaded on 13th November 2024. We have used all of the scenarios contained in version 3.0 of the catalogue for our work (our 2023 analysis used data from the WBCSD Climate Scenario Catalogue version 2.0, published on 31-03-2023 and downloaded on 01-02-2024). Recognizing the inherent uncertainty in the transition, our analysis did not consider the likelihood of any specific scenario, rather took the full range of possible outcomes for specific transition variables from the WBCSD Scenario Catalogue. Further information on how we conducted our scenario analysis and resilience test, together with our key insights from them, can be found in the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 on pages 53-55.

## Climate change

### (5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

Customized publicly available climate transition scenario, please specify :WBCSD (World Business Council for Sustainable Development) Scenario Reference Catalogue

### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Quantitative

### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Policy

Market

Technology

### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.5°C or lower

### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025
- 2030

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Stakeholder and customer demands

- Other stakeholder and customer demands driving forces, please specify :Various factors / driving forces considered depending on underlying scenario, see response to 5.1.1.10 below

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Other regulators, legal and policy regimes driving forces, please specify :Various factors / driving forces considered depending on underlying scenario, see response to 5.1.1.10 below

Macro and microeconomy

- Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :Various factors / driving forces considered depending on underlying scenario, see response to 5.1.1.10 below

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*The WBCSD (World Business Council for Sustainable Development) Scenario Reference Catalogue Version 3.0, used for our analysis, is composed of scenarios taken from leading public institutions on climate transition modelling with small additions for alternative economic growth. The Catalogue includes scenarios from the following leading public institutions: - the International Energy Agency (IEA); - the Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS); - the Inevitable Policy*

Response (IPR); - the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA); - Bloomberg New Energy Finance (BNEF). The catalogued scenarios have been grouped into climate reference scenario families (families). These are: - Paris Ambitious 1.5C: Scenarios with outcomes designed to keep temperature rise within 1.5C above pre-industrial levels with limited/no overshoot. - Paris Aligned Well-Below 2C: Scenarios with outcomes designed to keep temperature rise within 2C above pre-industrial levels with limited/no overshoot -Current Policies/Business as Usual (BAU): Scenarios that reflect the range of current climate policies and Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) or other national pledges, resulting in a temperature rise between 2.5 and 3.5C by 2100. The Catalogue includes 7,142 unique variables for climate risk testing and disclosure, which are either extracted directly from the selected scenarios, or disaggregated and/or expanded with support and analysis by McKinsey Sustainability. Extracted variables are taken directly from the public scenarios from which they originate and are unaltered. Disaggregated variables are a more granular version of variables which have been extracted directly from the scenario provider. Expanded variables are new variables which use extracted and disaggregated variables as inputs for calculation. As such, the assumptions, uncertainties and constraints within the scenarios included in the Catalogue are reflective of both the underlying modelling approaches and assumptions behind each of the individual scenarios and, where relevant, the methodologies applied to disaggregated and/or expanded variables. Full details on both the modelling approaches and narratives behind each scenario, and the methodologies for disaggregated and expanded variables, are available within the Catalogue and associated documentation, which can be accessed here: [https://climate-scenario-catalogue.shinyapps.io/final\\_2024/](https://climate-scenario-catalogue.shinyapps.io/final_2024/)

### **(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario**

*In keeping with others, such as the IPCC and IEA, we believe that there are a range of global pathways to achieve the Paris goals, with differing implications for regions, industries and sectors, so we believe that business strategies need to be resilient to this uncertainty. We have conducted analysis to test our strategic resilience to different climate-related scenarios, using the WBCSD (World Business Council for Sustainable Development) Scenario Reference Catalogue, which was developed at the request of the TCFD (Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures). Version 3.0 of the Catalogue, used for our analysis, is almost exclusively composed of scenarios taken from leading public institutions on climate transition modelling with small additions for alternative economic growth. The Catalogue includes scenarios from leading public institutions including the IEA and NGFS. This Catalogue includes scenarios that are classified by WBCSD as consistent with well below 2C and 1.5C outcomes. The Scenario Catalogue comprises three 'Climate Scenario Reference Families': 'Paris Ambitious 1.5C', 'Paris Aligned Well-Below 2C' and 'Current Policies/BAU'. Further information on how the catalogue was created is available in the Technical Documentation: Climate Scenario Catalogue (May 2024) available for download on the WBCSD website. We have drawn on this Catalogue to test the resilience of our strategy and understand the potential implications of a range of possible energy transition scenarios for key elements of a potential 2030 bp portfolio mix. Our 2024 analysis used data from the WBCSD Climate Scenario Catalogue version 3.0, published on 16th May 2024 and downloaded on 13th November 2024. We have used all of the scenarios contained in version 3.0 of the catalogue for our work (our 2023 analysis used data from the WBCSD Climate Scenario Catalogue version 2.0, published on 31-03-2023 and downloaded on 01-02-2024). Recognizing the inherent uncertainty in the transition, our analysis did not consider the likelihood of any specific scenario, rather took the full range of possible outcomes for specific transition variables from the WBCSD Scenario Catalogue. Further information on how we conducted our scenario analysis and resilience test, together with our key insights from them, can be found in the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 on pages 53-55.*

## **Climate change**

### **(5.1.1.1) Scenario used**

Physical climate scenarios

RCP 8.5

#### (5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

SSP5

#### (5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

#### (5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

#### (5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

Acute physical

Chronic physical

#### (5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

4.0°C and above

#### (5.1.1.7) Reference year

2020

#### (5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

2030

### (5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

### (5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

*All data are collected from various publicly accessible data sources using the latest CMIP6 projections. The data sources were selected based on expert knowledge and validation, with data quality being one of the most important measurement indicators. The historical data were collected to describe current climatic conditions, while climate models' data were applied to depict the future climate status. Moreover, the data for one climatic variable might be collected at the global or regional scale. The CMIP6 archive provides future projections from many GCMs, which formed a large ensemble. Ensemble analysis was carried out, and the 50th ensemble percentile (i.e., median) was used as the best estimation. At the same time, the range between the 5th and 95th are selected as typical percentiles to describe the uncertainty of GCM projections. Climatic risk indices (i.e., variables) are calculated from daily or monthly climate time series data with a baseline period of 1991 – 2020 (centred in 2005) and projected at 5-year time steps.*

### (5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*During 2022, we undertook an analysis of potential changes in certain physical conditions, such as air temperature, precipitation, sea level rise and wave heights, for our onshore and offshore major operating sites, based on Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSP) emission scenarios 1-2.6, 2-4.5 and 5-8.5. Even in the highest emissions pathway (SSP5-8.5) the results of our analysis suggest that, on the basis of the 50th percentile values and compared to the baseline used (1991-2020), changes in the physical parameters considered are generally unlikely to be significant over the medium term. There is, however, uncertainty across different scenarios and wider variances were observed when looking at the 5th and 95th percentile values. Where the data do suggest greater potential for climate-related changes in physical conditions, we intend to consider whether further work is necessary to understand the potential for those changes to adversely impact our operations. For example, modelled changes in extreme precipitation by 2030 (50th percentile values) are less than 10% across all onshore major operating sites apart from Oman – where we have already undertaken hydrological studies and flood risk assessments that have supported the development of our operations there. This analysis covered all of our major operating sites globally, therefore we have selected 'organization-wide' as the scenario analysis coverage here.*  
[Add row]

## (5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

### Climate change

### (5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Resilience of business model and strategy

### (5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

### (5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

*Transition scenario analysis: We believe our strategy positions bp for success and resilience in a Paris-consistent world. In 2024, as in 2023, we have assessed the resilience of our strategy to different climate-related scenarios, including 1.5°C consistent scenarios. This analysis, described in more detail on pages 42-55 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, quantitatively assessed the potential impact to bp's business areas of different transition exposure scenarios in 2030. This enabled us to identify any business areas for which the possible consequences of the downside scenario(s) were sufficiently significant to potentially jeopardize group strategic resilience through three lenses: our ability to deliver (i) a stronger balance sheet that improves our credit metrics within the 'A' grade range, (ii) resilient dividend and sharing of excess cash with shareholders through buybacks over time, and (iii) disciplined investment allocations within bp's capital frame. For any such business we tested the period 2026-2030. This is not intended to represent a 'definition' of resilience beyond the purposes of this exercise, and a core assumption of this analysis is necessarily that, aside from any implications of the scenarios being tested, including potential controllable mitigations such as capital or cost management that we might naturally expect to take in response, bp will deliver the assumed underlying strategic and financial priorities out to 2030. Key insights from our scenario analysis and resilience test: As stated in our Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, oil price is likely to remain the main source of climate-related transition uncertainty for our strategy through to 2030. Even with the most extreme low oil price environment in any of the 1.5°C, well-below 2°C and BAU scenarios, based on the WBCSD Scenario Catalogue ranges, sustained over the period from 2025-2030, in our analysis we were able to deliver across the three lenses we use to consider strategic resilience, described above. While the results of any such analysis must be treated with caution – each is necessarily dependent on numerous assumptions and methodological choices, and each has its own limitations – overall, this analysis and resilience test reinforced our confidence in the continued resilience of our strategy to a wide range of transition scenarios, including those consistent with limiting temperature rise to 1.5°C, and in particular, as our greatest transition exposure, to oil price scenarios, tested to 2030. Physical scenario analysis: We have considered the potential for physical risks to bp-operated assets to increase as a result of climate change (namely, increases in the potential frequency or intensity of extreme weather events) to such an extent as to have the potential to impact the resilience of our strategy. Even in the highest emissions pathway (SSP5-8.5) the results of our analysis suggest that, on the basis of the 50th percentile values and compared to the baseline used (1991-2020), changes in the physical parameters considered are generally unlikely to be significant over the medium term. There is, however, uncertainty across different scenarios and wider variances were observed when looking at the 5th and 95th percentile values. Where the data do suggest greater potential for climate-related changes in physical conditions, we intend to consider whether further work is necessary to understand the potential for those changes to adversely impact our operations. For example, modelled changes in extreme precipitation by 2030 (50th percentile values) are less than 10% across all onshore major operating sites apart from Oman – where we have already undertaken hydrological studies and flood risk assessments that have supported the development of our operations there. Our transition risk scenario analysis identified impacts on the earnings of our oil-priced businesses as having the most*

*potential to impact the resilience of our strategy in 2030. Therefore, and viewing resilience through the same lenses that we describe above, we have considered the extent to which our oil and gas production business would need to be impacted by evolving physical risk over the same timeframe for the scale of financial impact to be sufficient to jeopardize the resilience of our strategy out to 2030. We concluded that a significant proportion of our combined oil and gas portfolio would need to be either permanently or temporarily shut in for strategic resilience to be jeopardized in this way. We have found no definitive basis in either the IPCC report or the limited number of detailed studies we have undertaken, to conclude that climate-change-induced increases in the frequency or severity of severe weather events would be likely to result, at any point in time out to 2030, in disruption and shutdowns across our oil and gas portfolio on a scale that would reduce earnings so significantly as to jeopardize the resilience of our strategy.*

*[Fixed row]*

### **(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?**

#### **(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning**

*Select from:*

Yes, both strategy and financial planning

#### **(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy**

*Select all that apply*

Products and services

Upstream/downstream value chain

Investment in R&D

Operations

*[Fixed row]*

### **(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.**

#### **Products and services**

##### **(5.3.1.1) Effect type**

*Select all that apply*

Risks

- Opportunities

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*Our strategy to evolve from being an international oil company focused on producing resources to an integrated energy company focused on delivering solutions for customers has been informed by, among other inputs, the climate-related risks and opportunities associated with the energy transition described on page 48 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. Oil and gas: As announced in February 2025, we are increasing upstream investment versus our prior guidance. This additional investment allows us to strengthen the portfolio, underpinning expected growth in underlying production to 2.3-2.5mmboe/d in 2030, excluding future potential divestments. We recognize that the transition presents uncertainty for our upstream business, including the possibility of lower oil and gas prices, but in recent years we have made strong progress improving operational reliability and commerciality across our portfolio, and we retain optionality to divest some lower margin barrels by 2030. Customers and products: As announced in February 2025, we are focusing the downstream – our customer and products business – reshaping the portfolio to focus on markets and businesses where we have advantaged and integrated positions. We recognize the risk of a decline in demand for conventional vehicle fuels and products due to the energy transition and are working to increase the efficiency and resilience of our existing fuels and lubricants businesses through operating cost reductions and margin optimization. We are also increasing the resilience of our existing fuels network, high-grading our regional footprint and reallocating capital into our most advantaged positions on major transit routes where we see sustained demand for fuels and EV growth. Since 2020 we have announced our exit from two retail markets, and the sale of another. Our integrated mobility model across fuels (hydrocarbons and biofuels), convenience & EV charging provides resilience to the pace of transition by allowing us to flex our offer to meet customer demand. Low carbon energy: Recent volatility and uncertainty has impacted low carbon energy businesses globally, demonstrating the need to be aligned with and flexible to market and policy development. As announced in February 2025, we are changing our model for low carbon – delivering with partners and with external financing that will be capital-light for bp and help improve our equity returns. In renewable power we now have the Lightsource bp platform and JERA Nex bp. In our hydrogen and CCS businesses, we are prioritizing fewer, higher value projects in the near term while building capability and future optionality to scale and grow as the market develops. By focusing on projects in jurisdictions where we have an adequate regulatory framework, access to the value chain including our own or customer demand and leveraging access to advantaged carbon capture and renewable power, we aim to decarbonize our operations and help our customers decarbonize.*

## Upstream/downstream value chain

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*bp's sustainability frame sets out bp's focused aims for getting to net zero, improving people's lives, and caring for our planet. It rests on strong, well-established foundations, including a focus on safety in all we do and a non-negotiable commitment to ethics and compliance. We are working to embed sustainability across our businesses, including in our supply chain. We want to promote environmental stewardship and social responsibility with all our business partners. Our sustainability frame and bp Procurement's sustainable purchasing position (published June 2025) set out three focus areas: getting to net zero, improving people's lives and caring for the planet. We encourage our suppliers to take action in these areas, including to: a. Better understand and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. b. Promote and respect human rights. c. Embrace circular economy principles, promoting reuse, repurposing, and recycling of materials, keeping them in use for longer in their lifecycle. Since publishing our Sustainable Purchasing Position, we have continued to deliver against the focus areas it sets out. We hosted our first bp Supplier Sustainability Summit in 2024. It was attended by more than 800 participants from our procurement teams and supplier community. In addition, we helped a bp supplier achieve ISO 50001 certification for a warehousing facility in our North Sea region and an EV-charging equipment supplier in Australia to develop a sustainability strategy to reduce packing waste. We also worked with a supplier to refit six bp shipping vessels with advanced hull coatings that help to combat the growth of marine organisms. This reduces drag and can improve fuel efficiency.*

## Investment in R&D

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

Opportunities

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

*We are investing in digital and technology solutions that can help to generate value for bp, manage risk and help accelerate the transition through focused scale-up and innovation. This investment includes targeted focus on research and development where bp is and can be differentiated and growing partnerships to increase*

leverage. We expect our research and development spend (\$301 million in 2024) to be increasingly focused on technologies with the potential to help identify and access new oil and gas opportunities at lower cost, reduce GHG emissions and enable our low carbon energy businesses. We continued to invest in a portfolio of technology businesses, which we see as having the potential for high growth, through bp ventures. Strategically significant investments made through 2024 include: In May bp ventures announced the investment of \$10 million in Hysata to expand the production of its high efficiency electrolyser technology. In December, bp invested in Snowfox Discovery Ltd alongside co-investors Rio Tinto and Oxford Science Enterprises. Snowfox Ltd is a natural hydrogen exploration company, whose mission is to unlock the potential of natural hydrogen to contribute to a net zero future. In December, bp ventures announced an investment into Oxford Flow alongside Energy Impact Partners. Oxford Flow engineers and manufactures unique valve technology designed to be more reliable and cost-effective. In December, bp ventures invested in India's leading intercity bus platform, Zingbus, to scale operations and work to electrify India's intercity bus routes. Zingbus' platform is designed to make intercity travel more affordable, accessible and reliable.

## Operations

### (5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

### (5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Our net zero operations aim is to reach net zero by 2050 or sooner for Scope 1 and 2 emissions within bp's operational control (on a CO<sub>2</sub>e basis), including by maintaining 'near-zero' methane intensity across our operated producing assets, enabled by supportive government policies. This aim relates to our Scope 1 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (from running the assets within our operational control) and Scope 2 GHG emissions (associated with producing the electricity, heating and cooling that is brought in to run those operations) on an operational control boundary. In 2024, our combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, covered by this aim were 33.6MtCO<sub>2</sub>e – a decrease of 38% from our 2019 baseline. The total reduction includes 18MtCO<sub>2</sub>e attributable to divestments and 5.4MtCO<sub>2</sub>e in emissions reduction activities. We are taking steps to improve the resilience of our operations to the physical changes that might occur as a result of climate change – including changes in the frequency or severity of extreme weather events, and the potential for increased water scarcity. We have undertaken screening of present-day and future potential physical risk exposure for selected key assets and identified those sites with potential for heightened exposure to physical risks in order to prioritize these for further site-based assessment. Recognizing the potential impact of climate change and other factors on water resources, as part of our water aim (see page 60 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024), we are taking steps to be more efficient in operational freshwater use. To understand our water-related challenges, we review water impacts, risks and opportunities at our operating sites. These reviews consider the quantity and quality of water used as well as any applicable regulatory requirements. In 2024, we saw a 15% fall in freshwater withdrawals (excluding once through cooling water) and a 17% fall in freshwater consumption,

compared with our 2020 baseline. Reductions in 2024 were achieved through the use of non-freshwater sources in bpx energy Eagle Ford, US. At our major operating sites, 11% (2023 73%) of our total freshwater withdrawals and 20% (2023 36%) of freshwater consumption were from regions with high or extremely highwater stress in 2024. This is significantly lower than 2023 due to two changes. One refinery is in a region of medium-high water stress and therefore no longer reaches the threshold. Separately, we reviewed the status of two other refineries using site-specific local data sources in 2024, this resulted in one of those refineries being reclassified as not being in an area of high-water stress, the other reviewed refinery remained in an area of high-water stress.

[Add row]

## **(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.**

### **Row 1**

#### **(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected**

Select all that apply

- Capital expenditures
- Capital allocation
- Access to capital

#### **(5.3.2.2) Effect type**

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

#### **(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements**

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### **(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements**

The climate-related risks and opportunities we have identified (p48 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024) have impacted our financial planning in a numerous ways, including: Capital allocation: We plan to invest sufficient capital to execute our strategy, enabling us to mitigate the risks and capture the opportunities we have

identified. As part of our annual planning processes, we assess the distribution of capital across our business areas, including consideration of market evolution. In February 2025 we announced that we expect capital expenditure to be around \$15bn in 2025; and in a range of \$13-15bn through 2026 to 2027. To help maintain resilience to the pace of transition and access opportunities, we will continue to flex capital as policies, technologies and markets evolve. Access to capital: While there is potential for concerns about the energy transition to impact banks' or debt investors' appetite to finance hydrocarbon activity, we do not anticipate any material change to funding in the short to medium term. We are committed to strengthening our balance sheet, introducing a net debt target of \$14-18bn by the end of 2027 to further improve credit metrics within the 'A' range. In 2022 we reduced our net debt by over \$9bn and by \$0.5bn in 2023. In 2024 net debt increased from \$20.9bn to \$23.0bn, reflecting acquired debt from the bp Bunge Bioenergia and Lightsource bp transactions. Since the end of 2019 we have repurchased around \$24bn of short-dated existing bonds and issued over \$12bn of new bonds with a duration of 20 years or longer, doubling the duration of our debt book. Additionally, we have continued to have good access to the commercial paper markets. See p195-201 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 for more detail on financial risk factors, including liquidity risk in Financial statements (Note 29). Investment criteria: Investments are evaluated against a balanced set of investment criteria - e.g. including a set of price assumptions that reflect our view of market evolution (p20 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024). The investment economics for all investment cases where bp's share of annual GHG emissions from operations are anticipated to exceed specific thresholds include a carbon price for those emissions that rises to \$135/teCO2e (2023\$ real) in 2030. When taking investment decisions we continue to consider six balanced investment criteria, including sustainability (see p22 of bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024)

[Add row]

**(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?**

|  | Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition | Methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition |
|--|--|---|
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes  | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other methodology or framework   |

[Fixed row]

**(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition.**

**Row 1**

#### (5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:

Other, please specify :Alignment with our climate transition plan.

#### (5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

CAPEX

#### (5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

3700000000

#### (5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

23

#### (5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

10

#### (5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition

*As described on p20-23 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, bp's investment governance process seeks to ensure that all of our capex investment is aligned with our strategy which we consider to be consistent with the Paris goals as outlined below. However, in order to respond to question 5.4.1.6, 5.4.1.7 and 5.4.1.8 in this questionnaire, we have disclosed actual and potential future share of CAPEX investment into our transition businesses, which are business activities (including development, production/manufacture/ generation and marketing, distribution and trading) associated with products and services that support energy transition, including in the areas of biogas, biofuels, EV charging, renewable power generation, hydrogen and carbon capture. In February 2025 we announced a strategy reset, as part of this we plan to invest with discipline: with selective investment in biogas, biofuels and EV charging, where we see strong demand growth; adopting innovative capital-light partnerships in renewables; and focusing investment on hydrogen and carbon capture projects to support us in decarbonizing our operations, and position us for growth through the next decade. We expect capital investment into transition businesses to be between \$1.5-2.0 billion per year through 2027 – more than \$5 billion lower per year than our previous guidance, see bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 for more information. bp's strategy, as set out in our Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, is designed to create long-term value for shareholders, while enabling delivery of our net zero ambition. It is tested for resilience to the uncertainty of the energy transition across many different potential pathways, including various Paris-consistent pathways. The board considers our strategy to be consistent with the Paris goals, based on three key principles, set out on p10-11 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. When we refer to 'consistency with Paris' we consider this to mean consistency with the world meeting the temperature goal set out in Articles 2.1(a) and 4.1 of the Paris Agreement on*

*Climate Change where the temperature goal is holding the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and pursuing efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. The Paris goals, which we support, were restated in the Baku Climate Pact at COP29 in Baku in November 2024. As part of our investment process, described on pages 20-23 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, all investments are evaluated against relevant price assumptions for oil, natural gas, refining margins or other commodities across a range of alternative price or margin series (typically a central, upper and lower series). We consider these prices to be broadly consistent with a range of transition paths compatible with meeting the Paris goals, but they do not correspond to any specific Paris-consistent scenario. We have retained our net zero ambition and long term GHG reduction aims – to achieve net zero across our operations and sales by 2050 or sooner – which means we intend to phase out expenditure in unabated carbon intensive assets and products by 2050 or sooner. We see abatement as including netting by means of offsets as necessary, in order to achieve net zero for the value chains in which we participate, in line with our ambition and aims. bp’s investments fall within a governance framework and we use a balanced set of investment criteria, (see p21-22 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024) to allow for the comparison and prioritization of investments across an increasingly diverse range of business models. bp’s framework for investment governance seeks to ensure that investments align with our strategy, can be accommodated within our prevailing financial frame, and add shareholder value. It enables investments to be assessed in a consistent way against a range of criteria relevant to our strategy.*

*[Add row]*

## **(5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities?**

### **(5.5.1) Investment in low-carbon R&D**

Select from:

Yes

### **(5.5.2) Comment**

*We are investing in digital and technology solutions that can help to generate value for bp, manage risk and help accelerate the transition through focused scale-up and innovation. This investment includes targeted focus on research and development where bp is and can be differentiated and growing partnerships to increase leverage. We expect our research and development spend (\$301 million in 2024) to be increasingly focused on technologies with the potential to help identify and access new oil and gas opportunities at lower cost, reduce GHG emissions and enable our low carbon energy businesses. We continued to invest in a portfolio of technology businesses, which we see as having the potential for high growth, through bp ventures. Strategically significant investments made through 2024 include: • In May bp ventures announced the investment of \$10 million in Hysata to expand the production of its high efficiency electrolyser technology. • In December, bp invested in Snowfox Discovery Ltd alongside co-investors Rio Tinto and Oxford Science Enterprises. Snowfox Ltd is a natural hydrogen exploration company, whose mission is to unlock the potential of natural hydrogen to contribute to a net zero future. • In December, bp ventures announced an investment into Oxford Flow alongside Energy Impact Partners. Oxford Flow engineers and manufactures unique valve technology designed to be more reliable and cost-effective. • In December, bp ventures invested in India’s leading intercity bus platform, Zingbus, to scale operations and work to electrify India’s intercity bus routes. Zingbus’ platform is designed to make intercity travel more affordable, accessible and reliable.*

[Fixed row]

**(5.8) Disclose the breakeven price (US\$/BOE) required for cash neutrality during the reporting year, i.e. where cash flow from operations covers CAPEX and dividends paid / share buybacks.**

40

**(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?**

|  | Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities  | Environmental externality priced                                    |
|--|---|---|
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon |

[Fixed row]

**(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon.**

**Row 1**

**(5.10.1.1) Type of pricing scheme**

Select from:

Shadow price

**(5.10.1.2) Objectives for implementing internal price**

Select all that apply

Stress test investments

### (5.10.1.3) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

- Scenario analysis

### (5.10.1.4) Calculation methodology and assumptions made in determining the price

*Our investment price assumptions – including carbon price - place some weight on scenarios in which the transition to a low carbon energy system is sufficiently rapid to meet the goals of the Paris Agreement, as well as scenarios in which the transition may not be sufficiently rapid. They also place some weight on a range of other factors that can drive prices, and which are not directly related to the Paris goals. These price assumptions do not link to specific scenarios or outcomes, but instead try to capture the range of different possibilities surrounding the future path of the global energy system. The nature of the uncertainty means that the price ranges inevitably reflect considerable judgement. The ranges are reviewed and updated as necessary, as our understanding of and judgements about the energy transition evolve. In addition to consideration of a range of price assumptions, investment cases also assess the impact of alternative assumptions covering other selected variables relevant to the economics of the investment. These variables may include cost, schedule, resources, policy changes, or other areas of uncertainty, to assess the robustness of investment cases to a range of other factors.*

### (5.10.1.5) Scopes covered

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

### (5.10.1.6) Pricing approach used – spatial variance

Select from:

- Uniform

### (5.10.1.8) Pricing approach used – temporal variance

Select from:

- Evolutionary

### (5.10.1.9) Indicate how you expect the price to change over time

*Our carbon prices for the period to 2050 include prices of \$50/tCO<sub>2</sub> in 2025, \$135/tCO<sub>2</sub> in 2030, \$175/tCO<sub>2</sub> in 2040 and \$200/tCO<sub>2</sub> in 2050 (2023 \$ real). The minimum and maximum prices provided here correspond to the 2025 and 2050 prices respectively.*

#### (5.10.1.10) Minimum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

50

#### (5.10.1.11) Maximum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

200

#### (5.10.1.12) Business decision-making processes the internal price is applied to

Select all that apply

- Capital expenditure
- Risk management

#### (5.10.1.13) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes

Select from:

- Yes, for some decision-making processes, please specify :All investment cases with anticipated annual GHG emissions from operations above 20,000 tonnes of CO2 equivalent (bp net basis) must estimate those anticipated GHG emissions and include an associated carbon cost in the investment economics.

#### (5.10.1.14) % total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers

100

#### (5.10.1.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives

Select from:

- Yes

#### (5.10.1.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

*Our price assumptions are used for our investment appraisal processes. They are also used to inform decisions about internal planning and the value-in-use impairment testing of assets for financial reporting. Our price assumptions continue to reflect a range of possibilities, including that the transition to a lower carbon economy and energy system could accelerate. Our investment appraisal assumptions, which take a long-term perspective, focus on the fundamental trends affecting the energy sector and our businesses. From January 2024 until January 2025, we held our key investment appraisal price assumptions constant at the levels set out in the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2023. All investment cases with anticipated annual operational GHG emissions (Scope 1 and 2) above 20,000 tonnes of CO2*

equivalent (bp net), must estimate those anticipated GHG emissions and include an associated carbon cost in the investment economics, using the carbon prices above. Since our carbon price applies to all investments with anticipated annual operational GHG emissions above this threshold, we have selected 100% in response to “% total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers” above.

[Add row]

### (5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

|                                | Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues  | Environmental issues covered  |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Suppliers                      | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change |
| Customers                      | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change |
| Investors and shareholders     | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change |
| Other value chain stakeholders | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | Select all that apply<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change |

[Fixed row]

### (5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

#### Climate change

#### (5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

### (5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

### (5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

76-99%

### (5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

*We have conducted a Scope 3 supply chain assessment, which indicates approximately 10-20% of our suppliers contribute to 70-80% of total upstream emissions. This will inform how we prioritise suppliers for engagement. This is approximately ~300 suppliers which is the basis for the number entered in 5.11.1.6.*

### (5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

1-25%

### (5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

300

[Fixed row]

### (5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

#### Climate change

### (5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

#### **(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change
- Business risk mitigation
- Procurement spend
- Strategic status of suppliers
- Supplier performance improvement

#### **(5.11.2.4) Please explain**

*We prioritise suppliers for engagement on climate-related environmental issues based on their estimated contribution to upstream Scope 3 emissions. Our Scope 3 screening indicates that approximately 10–20% of Tier 1 suppliers account for around 70-80% of emissions, based on spend-weighted emissions hotspot analysis. This assessment informs how we identify suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies or impacts. In addition to emissions exposure, our engagement prioritisation also considers criteria such as procurement spend, business risk mitigation, strategic supplier status, and opportunities for supplier performance improvement. These criteria reflect broader procurement relationship management considerations rather than systematic ESG risk classification. At present, ESG-specific data, such as supplier emissions estimates (Emitwise), sector/country risk overlays, and EcoVadis sustainability maturity scores, are available but not fully embedded into our core segmentation framework (DORITO). As such, our prioritisation logic does not yet systematically reflect ESG risk exposure. However, our Sustainable Purchasing Position outlines an intent to strengthen this approach by embedding environmental performance criteria and risk overlays (e.g. emissions, exposure) into future supplier management and engagement planning.*

[Fixed row]

#### **(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?**

##### **Climate change**

#### **(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process**

Select from:

- Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

### (5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

### (5.11.5.3) Comment

*bp communicates its environmental expectations to all suppliers through its Supplier Expectations document, which covers stewardship, emissions reduction, and circular economy principles. These expectations are referenced throughout the procurement process, including in supplier onboarding and documentation. However, bp does not have a formal, global policy or contractual framework mandating specific actions or escalation steps in the event of environmental non-compliance. Standard clauses may be included in some contracts depending on category and geography, but enforcement approaches vary and are not systematic. Therefore, while principles are communicated during supplier engagement, bp does not yet have a formalised, organisation-wide policy for managing non-compliance, justifying the selection of "No" for "Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance".*

*[Fixed row]*

## (5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

### Climate change

#### (5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Implementation of emissions reduction initiatives

#### (5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Other, please specify :There is no formal, global monitoring mechanism applicable to all suppliers; however, bp is currently piloting third-party risk tools (e.g. Ecovadis) for selected suppliers in high-priority segments.

#### (5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Less than 1%

#### **(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement**

Select from:

None

#### **(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement**

Select from:

Less than 1%

#### **(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement**

Select from:

None

#### **(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement**

Select from:

Retain and engage

#### **(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged**

Select from:

Unknown

#### **(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers**

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :There are no formal procedures for suppliers who are non-compliant, bp's Sustainable Purchasing Position and Supplier Expectations outline directional ambitions around environmental stewardship and engagement focused strategies.

## (5.11.6.12) Comment

*Many of the environmental expectations listed above are communicated through bp's Sustainable Purchasing Position and Supplier Expectations documents. However, these are not yet embedded as formal, enforceable compliance requirements across the majority of suppliers. In 5.11.6.3, we selected "Less than 1%" based on the fact that less than 1% of Tier 1 suppliers by spend are currently covered by contracts that include specific environmental performance clauses. The new sustainability clause (developed under the Template Transformation Project) is being rolled out incrementally and currently applies only to a small subset of contracts (e.g., MRO in certain jurisdictions via Sirion CLM Release 1). Until rollout is complete and systematically adopted, the percentage of Tier 1 suppliers required to comply remains limited. For 5.11.6.4, we selected "None" as there is no systematic mechanism (e.g. audits, scorecards, or digital verification) to monitor supplier compliance. As such, we cannot currently quantify what proportion of suppliers are in actual compliance with these environmental expectations. We anticipate better visibility as bp's supplier assessment and contract digitisation programs expand.*

[Add row]

## (5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

### Climate change

#### (5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Emissions reduction

#### (5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

##### Capacity building

- Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions
- Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

##### Information collection

- Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers

##### Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
- Collaborate with suppliers to develop reuse infrastructure and reuse models

#### (5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

#### (5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 1-25%

#### (5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

- Unknown

#### (5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

*In 2025, bp hosted a Supplier Sustainability Summit with over 380 attendees from 34 countries, including approximately 200 from the supplier community. The summit provided external insights and case studies, demonstrating sustainability progress and highlighting bp's priorities. Feedback included an 18% increase in attendees' understanding of expectations and a 10% increase in their confidence to contribute to bp's sustainability goals. While this marks important progress, supplier engagement remains initiative-based rather than systematic. EcoVadis Ratings and Vitals are in early stages and have not yet involved direct supplier participation.*

#### (5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Implementation of emissions reduction initiatives

#### (5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

- Unknown

[Add row]

## (5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

### Climate change

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Customers

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services

#### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Less than 1%

#### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Less than 1%

#### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*bp Carbon Connect is bp's carbon management service, helping customers to quantify, reduce and act on their carbon emissions, and access bundled carbon credit products and services. Engagements are with business customers (b2b). We expect continued global demand for carbon credits from companies with climate related goals and ambitions. So, through bp Carbon Connect, we continue to offer carbon credits to our customers to help them as they pursue their goals and ambitions. bp Carbon Connect works with bp's Low Carbon Trading team to procure carbon credits from projects that meet standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS). The total volume of carbon credits retired on behalf of our customers in 2024 was over 510,000 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e.*

#### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

The bp Carbon Connect service has helped customers contribute towards compensating for millions of tonnes of carbon emissions. In addition to compensating for carbon emissions, many of the carbon credit projects we have supported have also provided co-benefits, improving the lives of people in communities around the world through better access to energy, health, education, and jobs, and protecting thousands of hectares of habitat. One measure of success for the programme is the significant volume of carbon credits retired year-on-year. In 2024 the volume of carbon credits retired was over 510,000 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e.

## Climate change

### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Other

- Other, please specify :Development of carbon and net zero standards and benchmarks

### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Unknown

### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Unknown

### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We support the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), established by the Financial Stability Board to improve the reporting of climate-related risks and opportunities. We want to continue to work constructively with the IFRS Foundation's International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) and others as they develop good practices and standards for transparent climate-related reporting. This includes, where appropriate, working with stakeholders including investors to promote access to comparable and decision-useful climate-related disclosures.

### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

*In 2024 we continued to advocate and share our views with standard setters, particularly those working on the development of EU reporting standards. Measure of success in this engagement: We maintained our score in the 2024 CA100+ Net Zero Company Benchmark compared to 2023. We were assessed as 'fully aligned' against five metrics (net zero ambition by 2050, long-term emissions targets, capital allocation, climate governance and TCFD alignment) and 'partially aligned' on all other metrics. In line with the 2019 resolution it requisitioned, we evaluate new material capex investments for consistency with the Paris goals, disclose principal climate-related metrics and relevant targets or goals and the link between these and executive remuneration.*

## Climate change

### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Non-operated Joint Ventures (NOJV)

### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Other

- Other, please specify :Sustainability engagement with non-operated joint ventures

### (5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Unknown

### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Unknown

### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*We have processes for managing our interests in non-operated joint ventures (NOJVs), including ways to encourage sustainable practices and to support them in pursuing their sustainability ambitions. Our NOJV solutions team provides a one-stop shop for integrated solutions and governance. In 2024 they developed new resources and processes for colleagues working to influence NOJVs on sustainability. The team has provided support for colleagues working to influence NOJVs on sustainability, including online sustainability training, carbon roadmap templates, and a guidance note on social sustainability.*

### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

*Our non-operated joint ventures (NOJV) solutions team provides technical solutions and guidance, for example on sustainability engagement, for our NOJV relationship managers. Our collaborative activities with our NOJV partners include seminars and workshops and our 2024 engagement with selected NOJV partners included focus on net zero operations, biodiversity and water scarcity. We intend to continue our targeted engagement with the operators of non-operated joint ventures (NOJVs) to promote activity to reduce both their operational greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and improve methane performance. This could include promoting the use of measurement technologies, emission reduction plans, aims or targets; and their participation in external initiatives such as the Oil & Gas Decarbonization Charter, the UN-led Oil & Gas Methane Partnership (OGMP) and the World Bank's Zero Routine Flaring initiative. Several NOJVs and NOJV operators have now signed up to OGMP and set methane targets. Our collaborations with NOJVs in 2024 included joint shareholder seminars on methane monitoring technologies, awareness raising sessions on OGMP and a multi-shareholder HSE forum to share learnings on methane management.*

*[Add row]*

## C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

**(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.**

### Climate change

#### **(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used**

*Select from:*

Other, please specify :For this submission, Scope 1 and 2 emissions are reported on an operational control basis and Scope 3 (Category 11) emissions are reported on a bp equity share basis based on bp's net share of production, excluding bp's share of Rosneft production.

#### **(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach**

*For this submission, Scope 1 and 2 emissions are reported on an operational control basis and Scope 3 (Category 11) emissions are reported on a bp equity share basis based on bp's net share of production, excluding bp's share of Rosneft production.*

*[Fixed row]*

## C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

### (7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

### (7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

#### (7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply

Yes, an acquisition

Yes, a divestment

#### (7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

Acquisitions: Lightsource BP/bp Bioenergy/GETEC ENERGIE GmbH Divestments: Logistics Turkey/Logistics South Africa

#### (7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

Acquisition of Lightsource bp in October 2024. Acquisition of bp Bioenergy in October 2024. Acquisition of GETEC ENERGIE GmbH in August 2024. Logistics South Africa divested in February 2024. Logistics Turkey divested in October 2024.

[Fixed row]

### (7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

### (7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

Yes, a change in methodology

### (7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

*For producing assets, from 2024 we changed from using industry standard emission factors for incomplete combustion of hydrocarbons from fuel gas and flare and began reporting methane emissions quantified using measurement based approaches for incomplete combustion of hydrocarbons from fuel gas and flare. See bp Basis of Reporting 2024 for the methodology for calculation of methane emissions estimates.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

#### (7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation

Select from:

No, because the impact does not meet our significance threshold

#### (7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold

*bp employs a rolling base year approach and thus the base year for 2024 emissions performance is 2023. We do not adjust the base year due to divestments and acquisitions as these are compared to the previous reporting year through emissions movements as detailed in Q7.10.1*

*<https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp/business-sites/en/global/corporate/pdfs/sustainability/group-reports/bp-basis-of-reporting-2024.pdf> Our base years for our targets detailed in the Target section, Q7.53 and Q7.54 of this disclosure, have not changed since the targets were set.*

#### (7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation

Select from:

No

*[Fixed row]*

## (7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- US EPA Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule
- 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
- IPIECA's Petroleum Industry Guidelines for reporting GHG emissions, 2nd edition, 2011
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- Defra Environmental Reporting Guidelines: Including streamlined energy and carbon reporting guidance, 2019
- Other, please specify :American Petroleum Institute Compendium of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Methodologies for the Oil and Natural Gas Industry, 2021

## (7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

### (7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

### (7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

### (7.3.3) Comment

*Comprises total (100%) Scope 2 (indirect) GHG emissions from source activities that are operated by bp or otherwise within bp's operational control boundary. Scope 2 (indirect) emissions are those associated with the consumption of purchased electricity, heat, steam and cooling. bp reports GHG emissions on the basis of CH4 and CO2. CH4 emissions are converted to carbon dioxide equivalent using the 100-year GWP recommended by the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). For further information refer to the bp basis of reporting 2024 on bp.com.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

Select from:

No

**(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.**

### **Scope 1**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2023

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

31100000

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Total (100%) Scope 1 (direct) GHG emissions from source activities operated by bp or otherwise within bp's operational control boundary. bp's reported GHG emissions include CH4 and CO2. Other GHGs are not included as they are not material to our operations. CH4 emissions are converted to carbon dioxide equivalent using the 100- year GWP recommended by the Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes.*

### **Scope 2 (location-based)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2023

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

2000000

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Total (100%) Scope 2 (indirect) GHG emissions from source activities that are operated by bp or otherwise within bp's operational control boundary. Scope 2 (indirect) emissions are those associated with the consumption of purchased electricity, heat, steam and cooling. bp reports GHG emissions on the basis of CH4 and CO2. CH4 emissions are converted to carbon dioxide equivalent using the 100-year GWP recommended by the Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes.*

### **Scope 2 (market-based)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2023

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

1000000

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Total (100%) Scope 2 (indirect) GHG emissions from source activities that are operated by bp or otherwise within bp's operational control boundary. Scope 2 (indirect) emissions are those associated with the consumption of purchased electricity, heat, steam and cooling. bp reports GHG emissions on the basis of CH4 and CO2. CH4 emissions are converted to carbon dioxide equivalent using the 100-year GWP recommended by the Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes.*

### **Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2023

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

314900000

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

Calculated carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from the assumed stoichiometric combustion of upstream production of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids (NGLs), based on bp's net share of production, excluding bp's share of production in Rosneft. On 27 February 2022, bp announced that it intends to exit its 19.75% shareholding in Rosneft Oil Company (Rosneft). bp ceased equity accounting for Rosneft from this date. These emissions are equivalent to the GHG Protocol, Scope 3, category 11, within the selected boundary of bp's net share of upstream production of oil and gas.

[Fixed row]

## **(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e?**

### **Reporting year**

#### **(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

32800000

#### **(7.6.3) Methodological details**

Total (100%) Scope 1 (direct) GHG emissions from source activities operated by bp or otherwise within bp's operational control boundary. bp's reported GHG emissions include CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Other GHGs are not included as they are not material to our operations. CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are converted to carbon dioxide equivalent using the 100- year GWP recommended by the Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes.

[Fixed row]

## **(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e?**

### **Reporting year**

#### **(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

2400000

#### **(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

800000

## (7.7.4) Methodological details

*Comprises total (100%) Scope 2 (indirect) GHG emissions from source activities that are operated by bp or otherwise within bp's operational control boundary. Scope 2 (indirect) emissions are those associated with the consumption of purchased electricity, heat, steam and cooling. bp reports GHG emissions on the basis of CH4 and CO2. CH4 emissions are converted to carbon dioxide equivalent using the 100-year GWP recommended by the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). For further information refer to the bp basis of reporting 2024 on bp.com.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

### Purchased goods and services

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Evaluation of potential relevance of category 1 - purchased goods and services to bp is ongoing and therefore we have selected "Not evaluated" for Evaluation status as there is no option provided for an evaluation that is still in progress.*

### Capital goods

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*"Not relevant" does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the "Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities" set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 2 - capital goods as not relevant for bp.*

## Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Evaluation of potential relevance of Scope 3 category 3 - fuel- and energy-related activities not included in Scope 1 or Scope 2 to bp is ongoing and therefore we have selected "Not evaluated" for Evaluation status as there is no option provided for an evaluation that is still in progress.*

## Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*"Not relevant" does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the "Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities" set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 4 - upstream transportation and distribution as not relevant for bp.*

## Waste generated in operations

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 5 - waste generated in operations as not relevant for bp.*

## **Business travel**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 6 - business travel as not relevant for bp.*

## **Employee commuting**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 7 - employee commuting as not relevant for bp.*

## **Upstream leased assets**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 8 - upstream leased assets as not relevant for bp.*

## Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 9 - downstream transportation and distribution as not relevant for bp.*

## Processing of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 10 - processing of sold products as not relevant for bp.*

## Use of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

321900000

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Estimated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the assumed combustion of upstream production of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids (NGL), assuming that all produced volumes undergo full stoichiometric combustion to CO<sub>2</sub>.

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Calculated carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from the assumed stoichiometric combustion of upstream production of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids (NGLs), based on bp's net share of production, excluding bp's share of production in Rosneft. On 27 February 2022, bp announced that it intends to exit its 19.75% shareholding in Rosneft Oil Company (Rosneft). bp ceased equity accounting for Rosneft from this date. These emissions are equivalent to the GHG Protocol, Scope 3, category 11, within the selected boundary of bp's net share of upstream production of oil and gas.*

## End of life treatment of sold products

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*"Not relevant" does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the "Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities" set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 12 - end of life treatment of sold products as not relevant for bp.*

## Downstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 13 - downstream leased assets as not relevant for bp.*

## Franchises

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 14 franchises as not relevant for bp.*

## Investments

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

“Not relevant” does not mean that we do not have any emissions for this category. It means that, when taking into consideration the guidance provided in the GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard including the “Criteria for identifying relevant scope 3 activities” set out in Table 6.1, we have screened out Scope 3 category 15 - investments as not relevant for bp.

[Fixed row]

**(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.**

|  | Verification/assurance status   |
|--|---|
| Scope 1                                  | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place |
| Scope 2 (location-based or market-based) | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place |
| Scope 3                                  | <i>Select from:</i><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance               |

[Fixed row]

**(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.**

**Row 1**

**(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place**

*Select from:*

Annual process

**(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year**

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

*bp-sustainability-report-2024.pdf*

### (7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

*Page 48-49*

### (7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE 3410

### (7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

*100*

## Row 2

### (7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

### (7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

*bp-sustainability-report-2024.pdf*

### (7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

*Page 48-49*

### (7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE3000

### (7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

*100*

*[Add row]*

**(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.**

#### **Row 1**

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 location-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*bp-sustainability-report-2024.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*Page 48-49*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE3000

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

## Row 2

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 market-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*bp-sustainability-report-2024.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*Page 48-49*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE3000

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

### Row 3

#### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

- Scope 2 location-based

#### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- Annual process

#### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- Complete

#### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- Limited assurance

#### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*bp-sustainability-report-2024.pdf*

#### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*Page 48-49*

#### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

- ISAE 3410

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

## Row 4

### (7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 market-based

### (7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

### (7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

### (7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

### (7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

*bp-sustainability-report-2024.pdf*

### (7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

*Page 48-49*

### (7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

ISAE 3410

### (7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

**(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?**

Select from:

Increased

**(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.**

### Change in renewable energy consumption

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

20000

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.06

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2024, our Scope 2 emissions decreased by 20,000 tonnes CO2e due to changes in renewable energy consumption. Calculation explanation:  $(20,000 \text{ metric tons CO}_2\text{e} / 32,100,000 \text{ metric tons CO}_2\text{e}) \times 100 = 0.06$  (0.06% decrease in emissions).

## Other emissions reduction activities

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

400000

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.25

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Sustainable emissions reduction projects delivered in 2024 including: Low carbon energy consumption projects, which delivered 102ktCO2e in emissions savings. These include electrification projects and installation of solar pumps in bpx energy, US. The hydrocracker improvement project at Cherry Point, US, which saved 26ktCO2e of emissions. Approximately 262ktCO2e in emission savings made through energy efficiency improvements in production processes and flaring process optimization projects, including: – bpx energy, US central distribution projects, Karnes and Bingo, which enabled decommissioning of legacy natural gas driven equipment, resulting in reduced flare volumes and the switch from natural gas to instrument air in pneumatic devices. – Restoration of cooling water infrastructure at Cherry Point, to meet refinery needs and improve the efficiency of compressor operations. – A reduction of 19ktCO2e at our Gelsenkirchen Refinery by replacing imported steam from a coal-fired power plant with steam produced in our own gas-fired boilers. Through these activities we reduced our emissions by 400,000 metric tons CO2e, and our total Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions in the previous year were 32,100,000 metric tons CO2e. Calculation explanation:  $(400,000 \text{ metric tons CO}_2\text{e} / 32,100,000 \text{ metric tons CO}_2\text{e}) \times 100 = 1.25$  (1.25% decrease in emissions).

## Divestment

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

100000

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.31

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Divestments accounted for 0.1 MtCO<sub>2</sub>e of the Scope 1 and 2 emissions decrease, including divestment of Logistics Turkey and Logistics South Africa. Calculation explanation: (100000 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e / 32,100,000 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e) x 100 = 0.31 (0.31% decrease in emissions).*

## Acquisitions

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

110000

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.34

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*The bp Bioenergy, Lightsource BP and TravelCenters of America acquisitions increased Scope 1 and 2 emissions by 0.1MtCO<sub>2</sub>e. Calculation explanation: (110000 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e / 32,100,000 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e) x 100 = 0.34 (0.34% decrease in emissions).*

## Mergers

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*There was no change in this category in this reporting year.*

## Change in output

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3500000

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

10.9

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*The permanent increases are due to projects that came online in 2024, for example Tangguh Train 3 in Indonesia, Argos in Gulf of America, bpx energy production increases, and Seagull North Sea start-up. Permanent increases were 3,600,000. Permanent decreases were 60,000 from imported electricity in the refining*

business. Values rounded to nearest 10,000 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e. Calculation explanation:  $(3,500,000 \text{ metric tons CO}_2\text{e} / 32,100,000 \text{ metric tons CO}_2\text{e}) \times 100 = 10.90$  (10.90% increase in emissions).

## Change in methodology

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

470000

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.46

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Increase in emissions due to changes in methodology such as emission factors changes and using direct methane measurement. Aside from an operational issue at our Tangguh facility in Indonesia (see below), analysis of our 2024 measured data shows that overall methane emissions from upstream operational flaring were generally lower than previously reported when using conventional methodologies. The change in emissions value here is due to changes in methodology including changes from operational issues at Tangguh and also increases from a temporary operating mode quantified as a result of our new measurement approach in our Tangguh operations. For further details please see pages 19-20 of the bp Sustainability Report 2024. Value rounded to nearest 10,000 metric tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e. Calculation explanation:  $(470,000 \text{ metric tons CO}_2\text{e} / 32,100,000 \text{ metric tons CO}_2\text{e}) \times 100 = 1.46$  (1.46% increase in emissions).

## Change in boundary

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*There was no change in this category in this reporting year.*

## Change in physical operating conditions

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*There was no change in this category in this reporting year.*

## Unidentified

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*There was no change in this category in this reporting year.*

### Other

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

2040000

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

6.36

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*Temporary operational decreases are primarily due to lower flaring in Azerbaijan, Georgia and Türkiye (AGT), lower emissions from the Tangguh Train 3 project start-up and change to permanent production increases, and refinery power outages at Whiting, US; rounded to nearest 10,000 metric tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e. Calculation explanation: (2040000 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e / 32,100,000 metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e) x 100 = 6.36 (6.36% decrease in emissions).*

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?**

Select from:

Market-based

**(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.**

|  | CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2) |
|--|--|
|  | 3300000  |

[Fixed row]

**(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).**

**Row 1**

**(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas**

Select from:

CO2

### (7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

31350000

### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

## Row 2

### (7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

CH4

### (7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1460000

### (7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

[Add row]

**(7.15.4) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions from oil and gas value chain production activities by greenhouse gas type.**

## Row 1

### (7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

Combustion (excluding flaring)

### (7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

Upstream

Midstream

Downstream

### (7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

Unable to disaggregate

### (7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

22940000

### (7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

6000

### (7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

23108000

### (7.15.4.7) Comment

CO2 emissions rounded to nearest 10,000 tonnes and methane emissions rounded to nearest 1,000 tonnes.

**Row 2**

#### (7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

Flaring

#### (7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

Upstream

Midstream

Downstream

#### (7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

Unable to disaggregate

#### (7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

1780000

#### (7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

33000

#### (7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2704000

#### (7.15.4.7) Comment

CO2 emissions rounded to nearest 10,000 tonnes and methane emissions rounded to nearest 1,000 tonnes.

**Row 3**

#### (7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

Venting

#### (7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

Upstream

Midstream

Downstream

#### (7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

Unable to disaggregate

#### (7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

80000

#### (7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

8000

#### (7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

304000

#### (7.15.4.7) Comment

CO2 emissions rounded to nearest 1,000 tonnes and methane emissions rounded to nearest 10,000 tonnes.

**Row 4**

#### (7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

Fugitives

#### (7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

Upstream

Midstream

Downstream

#### (7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

Unable to disaggregate

#### (7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

1000

#### (7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

2000

#### (7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

57000

#### (7.15.4.7) Comment

CO2 emissions rounded to nearest 1,000 tonnes and methane emissions rounded to nearest 10,000 tonnes.

**Row 5**

#### (7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

Process (feedstock) emissions

#### (7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

Upstream

Midstream

Downstream

#### (7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

Unable to disaggregate

#### (7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

6170000

#### (7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

0

#### (7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6170000

#### (7.15.4.7) Comment

CO2 emissions rounded to nearest 1,000 tonnes and methane emissions rounded to nearest 10,000 tonnes.

**Row 6**

#### (7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

Other (please specify) :Unspecified

#### (7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

Upstream

Midstream

Downstream

#### (7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

Unable to disaggregate

#### (7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

150000

#### (7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

1000

#### (7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

178000

#### (7.15.4.7) Comment

CO2 emissions rounded to nearest 10,000 tonnes and methane emissions rounded to nearest 1,000 tonnes.

[Add row]

**(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.**

Select all that apply

By business division

**(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.**

|       | Business division                      | Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e) |
|-------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Row 1 | <i>Exploration, production and LNG</i> | <i>16700000</i>                     |
| Row 2 | <i>Refining and chemicals</i>          | <i>15200000</i>                     |
| Row 3 | <i>Other</i>                           | <i>900000</i>                       |

[Add row]

**(7.19) Break down your organization's total gross global Scope 1 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO2e.**

**Oil and gas production activities (upstream)**

**(7.19.1) Gross Scope 1 emissions, metric tons CO2e**

*15800000*

**(7.19.3) Comment**

*Upstream contains both production and exploration emissions. Value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes.*

**Oil and gas production activities (midstream)**

### (7.19.1) Gross Scope 1 emissions, metric tons CO2e

1500000

### (7.19.3) Comment

Midstream contains Terminals, Pipelines and Shipping emissions. Value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes.

### Oil and gas production activities (downstream)

### (7.19.1) Gross Scope 1 emissions, metric tons CO2e

15300000

### (7.19.3) Comment

Downstream includes emissions from Refineries, Petrochemical facilities, fuels distribution and marketing and lubricants. Value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes.

[Fixed row]

### (7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By business division

### (7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

|       | Business division               | Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e) | Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e) |
|-------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Row 1 | Exploration, production and LNG | 390000                                     | 70000                                    |

|       | Business division             | Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e) | Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e) |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Row 2 | <i>Refining and chemicals</i> | <i>1200000</i>                             | <i>340000</i>                            |
| Row 3 | <i>Other</i>                  | <i>830000</i>                              | <i>420000</i>                            |

[Add row]

**(7.21) Break down your organization’s total gross global Scope 2 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO2e.**

**Oil and gas production activities (upstream)**

**(7.21.1) Scope 2, location-based, metric tons CO2e**

*30000*

**(7.21.2) Scope 2, market-based (if applicable), metric tons CO2e**

*1000*

**(7.21.3) Comment**

*Upstream contains both production and exploration emissions. Value rounded to nearest 10,000 metric tonnes.*

**Oil and gas production activities (midstream)**

**(7.21.1) Scope 2, location-based, metric tons CO2e**

*850000*

**(7.21.2) Scope 2, market-based (if applicable), metric tons CO2e**

150000

### (7.21.3) Comment

*Midstream contains Terminals, Pipelines and Shipping emissions. Value rounded to nearest 10,000 metric tonnes.*

## Oil and gas production activities (downstream)

### (7.21.1) Scope 2, location-based, metric tons CO2e

1360000

### (7.21.2) Scope 2, market-based (if applicable), metric tons CO2e

530000

### (7.21.3) Comment

*Downstream includes emissions from Refineries, Petrochemical facilities, fuels distribution and marketing and lubricants. Value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

No

## (7.24) Report your methane emissions as percentages of natural gas and hydrocarbon production or throughput.

### Row 1

#### (7.24.1) Oil and gas business division

Select all that apply

- Upstream
- Midstream

#### **(7.24.2) Estimated total methane emitted expressed as % of natural gas production or throughput at given division**

0.07

#### **(7.24.3) Estimated total methane emitted expressed as % of total hydrocarbon production or throughput at given division**

0.04

#### **(7.24.4) Indicate whether your methane emissions figure is based on observational data**

Select from:

- Both observational data and estimated or modelled data

#### **(7.24.5) Details of methodology**

*The observational and estimated or modelled total methane emitted expressed as % of natural gas production or throughput at given division stated is the methane intensity figure we report externally and refers to the amount of methane emissions from bp's operated upstream oil and gas assets as a percentage of the total gas that goes to market from those operations. Our methodology is aligned with the Oil and Gas Climate Initiative's (OGCI) methane intensity target. Methane emissions are included from Upstream facilities and Midstream assets that come under our methane intensity metric. Methane intensity derived from observational and estimated or modelled total methane emitted expressed as % of total hydrocarbon production or throughput at given division is not a value we report externally. Total hydrocarbon production comprises gas, oil and NGL production.*

[Add row]

#### **(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?**

Select from:

- More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

#### **(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.**

|  | Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year |
|--|---|
| Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)         | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes   |
| Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity   | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes   |
| Consumption of purchased or acquired heat          | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  |
| Consumption of purchased or acquired steam         | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes   |
| Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling       | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  |
| Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes   |

[Fixed row]

### (7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

#### Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

##### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV (lower heating value)

##### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

3000000

**(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources**

117000000

**(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh**

120000000.00

**Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity**

**(7.30.1.1) Heating value**

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources**

4000000

**(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources**

3000000

**(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh**

7000000.00

**Consumption of purchased or acquired steam**

**(7.30.1.1) Heating value**

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources**

0

**(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources**

3000000

**(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh**

3000000.00

**Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy**

**(7.30.1.1) Heating value**

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources**

200000

**(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh**

200000.00

**Total energy consumption**

**(7.30.1.1) Heating value**

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

**(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources**

7200000

**(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources**

123000000

**(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh**

130200000.00

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.**

|   | Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application |
|---|---|
| Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity   | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes             |
| Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat          | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes             |
| Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam         | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes             |
| Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling       | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes             |
| Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes             |

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.**

## Sustainable biomass

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

### (7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

### (7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not collect the breakdown of Sustainable biomass fuels consumption split per application (i.e. generation of electricity, heat, steam or cooling) at bp group level. Total value rounded to nearest 1,000,000 MWh*

## Other biomass

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

3000000

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

### (7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

### (7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not collect the breakdown of Other biomass fuels consumption split per application (i.e. generation of electricity, heat, steam or cooling) at bp group level. Total value rounded to nearest 1,000,000 MWh. Note this value does not include bp bioenergy fuel consumption since acquisition in Oct 2024.*

## Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

### (7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

### (7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not collect the breakdown of Other renewable fuels consumption split per application (i.e. generation of electricity, heat, steam or cooling) at bp group level.  
Total value rounded to nearest 1,000,000 MWh*

## Coal

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

### (7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

### (7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not have any consumption of Coal fuels.*

## Oil

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

13000000

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

### (7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

### (7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not collect the breakdown of Oil fuels consumption split per application (i.e. generation of electricity, heat, steam or cooling) at bp group level. Total value rounded to nearest 1,000,000 MWh*

## Gas

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

104000000

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

### (7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

### (7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not collect the breakdown of Gas fuels consumption split per application (i.e. generation of electricity, heat, steam or cooling) at bp group level. Total value rounded to nearest 1,000,000 MWh*

### **Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)**

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

### (7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

### (7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not collect the breakdown of Other non-renewable fuels consumption split per application (i.e. generation of electricity, heat, steam or cooling) at bp group level. Total value rounded to nearest 1,000,000 MWh*

## Total fuel

### (7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

LHV

### (7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

120000000

### (7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

### (7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

### (7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

### (7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

3

### (7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

### (7.30.7.8) Comment

*We do not collect the breakdown of fuel consumption split per application (i.e. generation of electricity, heat, steam or cooling) at bp group level. Total value rounded to nearest 1,000,000 MWh  
[Fixed row]*

**(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.**

## **Electricity**

### **(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

14100000

### **(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

5810006

### **(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)**

5240000

### **(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)**

300000

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.**

## **Row 1**

### **(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

Germany

### **(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

4088

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Austria

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2012

## (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

### Row 2

## (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

## (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

## (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

## (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

## (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

78435

## (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Croatia

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2009

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 3

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

126021

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Denmark

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1978

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

**Row 4**

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

308

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Estonia

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2024

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 5

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

**(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used**

Select from:

GO

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

Finland

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

2020

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

**Row 6****(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

Germany

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

7369

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

France

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2010

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

### Row 7

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Large hydropower (>25 MW)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

350618

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

France

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1905

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 8

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Small hydropower (<25 MW)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

145877

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

France

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1909

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

**Row 9**

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

22072

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 10

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

**(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used**

Select from:

GO

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

Germany

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

2018

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

**Row 11****(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

Germany

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

300

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Hungary

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

### Row 12

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

481

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Italy

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 13

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

5656

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Italy

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

**Row 14**

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Small hydropower (<25 MW)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1418

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Italy

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2005

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 15

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2021

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Norway

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2018

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

### Row 16

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

- Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

- Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

- Large hydropower (>25 MW)

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

78310

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

- Norway

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

- Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1928

## (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

### Row 17

## (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

## (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

## (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

## (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Small hydropower (<25 MW)

## (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

345

## (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Norway

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2010

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 18

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

7186

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Portugal

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2022

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

**Row 19**

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Large hydropower (>25 MW)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12111

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Portugal

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1951

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 20

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Small hydropower (<25 MW)

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

**(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used**

Select from:

GO

**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

Portugal

**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

1939

**(7.30.14.10) Comment**

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

**Row 21****(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

Germany

**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

162959

### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Spain

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2020

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

### Row 22

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

10913

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Spain

### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

## Row 23

### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Small hydropower (<25 MW)

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

5729

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Spain

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

#### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1910

#### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

**Row 24**

#### (7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

#### (7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

#### (7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

#### (7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

#### (7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

152481

#### (7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

#### (7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Sweden

#### (7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

1998

### (7.30.14.10) Comment

*The values provided here are not all of the MWh of consumption of purchased or acquired electricity from renewable sources in bp's market based scope 2 reporting. Aggregated by Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute. Oldest commissioning year chosen.*

*[Add row]*

## (7.38) Disclose your net liquid and gas hydrocarbon production (total of subsidiaries and equity-accounted entities).

### Crude oil and condensate, million barrels

#### (7.38.1) In-year net production

381

#### (7.38.2) Comment

*bp net share of production from subsidiaries and equity-accounted entities. Production excludes royalties due to others whether payable in cash or in kind where the royalty owner has a direct interest in the underlying production and the option and ability to make lifting and sales arrangements independently.*

### Natural gas liquids, million barrels

#### (7.38.1) In-year net production

46

#### (7.38.2) Comment

*bp net share of production from subsidiaries and equity-accounted entities. Production excludes royalties due to others whether payable in cash or in kind where the royalty owner has a direct interest in the underlying production and the option and ability to make lifting and sales arrangements independently.*

## **Oil sands, million barrels (includes bitumen and synthetic crude)**

### **(7.38.1) In-year net production**

0

### **(7.38.2) Comment**

*No production from oil sands in 2024. In 2022, bp disposed of its interest in Sunrise Oil Sands in Canada*

## **Natural gas, billion cubic feet**

### **(7.38.1) In-year net production**

2531

### **(7.38.2) Comment**

*bp net share of production from subsidiaries and equity-accounted entities. Production excludes royalties due to others whether payable in cash or in kind where the royalty owner has a direct interest in the underlying production and the option and ability to make lifting and sales arrangements independently.  
[Fixed row]*

### **(7.38.1) Explain which listing requirements or other methodologies you use to report reserves data. If your organization cannot provide data due to legal restrictions on reporting reserves figures in certain countries/areas, please explain this.**

*International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) do not provide specific guidance on reserves disclosures. bp estimates proved reserves in accordance with SEC Rule 4-10 (a) of Regulation S-X and relevant Compliance and Disclosure Interpretations (C&DI) and Staff Accounting Bulletins as issued by the SEC staff. By their nature, there is always risk involved in the ultimate development and production of proved reserves including, but not limited to: final regulatory approval; the installation of new or additional infrastructure, as well as changes in oil and gas prices; changes in operating and development costs; and the continued availability of additional development capital. All the group's proved reserves held in subsidiaries and equity-accounted entities are estimated by the group's petroleum engineers, or by independent petroleum engineering consulting firms and then assured by the group's petroleum engineers. Netherland, Sewell & Associates (NSAI), an independent petroleum engineering consulting firm, has estimated the net proved crude oil, condensate, natural gas liquids (NGLs) and natural gas reserves, as of 31 December 2024, of certain properties owned by bp in the US Lower 48. The properties evaluated by NSAI account for 100% of bp's net proved reserves in the US*

Lower 48 as of 31 December 2024. The net proved reserves estimates prepared by NSAI were prepared in accordance with the reserves definitions of Rule 4-10(a)(1)-(32) of Regulation S-X. All reserves estimates involve some degree of uncertainty. bp has filed NSAI's independent report on its reserves estimates as an exhibit to this Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 filed with the SEC. Our proved reserves are associated with both concessions (tax and royalty arrangements) and agreements where the group is exposed to the upstream risks and rewards of ownership, but where our entitlement to the hydrocarbons is calculated using a more complex formula, such as with PSAs. In a concession, the consortium of which we are a part is entitled to the proved reserves that can be produced over the licence period, which may be the life of the field. In a PSA, we are entitled to recover volumes that equate to costs incurred to develop and produce the proved reserves, and an agreed share of the remaining volumes or the economic equivalent. As part of our entitlement is driven by the monetary amount of costs to be recovered, price fluctuations will have an impact on both production volumes and reserves. We disclose our share of proved reserves held in equity-accounted entities (joint ventures and associates), although we do not control these entities or the assets held by such entities.

**(7.38.2) Disclose your estimated total net reserves and resource base (million boe), including the total associated with subsidiaries and equity-accounted entities.**

|  | Estimated total net proved + probable reserves (2P) (million BOE) | Comment  |
|--|---|--|
|  | 6248  | Only proved reserves are reported. See bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, pages 27, 30, 32, 223-247 and 320-323 for further information. |

[Fixed row]

**(7.38.3) Provide an indicative percentage split for 2P, 3P reserves, and total resource base by hydrocarbon categories.**

**Crude oil/ condensate/ natural gas liquids**

**(7.38.3.4) Comment**

Only proved reserves are reported. See bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 pages 27, 30, 32, 223-247 and 320-323 for further information. Pages 27, 30 and 32 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 provide a summary of proved oil and gas reserves of bp subsidiaries and bp share of equity-accounted entities at December 31, 2024.

**Natural gas**

#### **(7.38.3.4) Comment**

*Only proved reserves are reported. See bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 pages 27, 30, 32, 223-247 and 320-323 for further information. Pages 27, 30 and 32 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 provide a summary of proved oil and gas reserves of bp subsidiaries and bp share of equity-accounted entities at December 31, 2024.*

#### **Oil sands (includes bitumen and synthetic crude)**

#### **(7.38.3.4) Comment**

*Only proved reserves are reported. See bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 pages 27, 30, 32, 223-247 and 320-323 for further information. Pages 27, 30 and 32 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 provide a summary of proved oil and gas reserves of bp subsidiaries and bp share of equity-accounted entities at December 31, 2024.*

*[Fixed row]*

#### **(7.38.4) Provide an indicative percentage split for production, 1P, 2P, 3P reserves, and total resource base by development types.**

#### **Row 1**

#### **(7.38.4.1) Development type**

Select from:

Other, please specify :All development types

#### **(7.38.4.7) Comment**

*Details not available. See bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 pages 27, 30, 32, 223-247 and 320-323 for further information. Pages 27, 30 and 32 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 provide a summary of proved oil and gas reserves of bp subsidiaries and bp share of equity-accounted entities at December 31, 2024.*

*[Add row]*

#### **(7.43) Disclose your total refinery throughput capacity in the reporting year in thousand barrels per day.**

|          |   |
|----------|---|
|          | Total refinery throughput capacity (Thousand barrels per day) |
| Capacity | 1557  |

[Fixed row]

**(7.43.1) Disclose feedstocks processed in the reporting year in million barrels per year.**

**Oil**

**(7.43.1.2) Comment**

*Detail not available. See page 327 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024.*

**Other feedstocks**

**(7.43.1.2) Comment**

*Detail not available. See page 327 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024.*

**Total**

**(7.43.1.1) Throughput (Million barrels)**

510

**(7.43.1.2) Comment**

*"Reported refinery throughputs reflect crude oil and other feedstock volumes. This does not include bp's interest in Pan American Energy Group. See page 327 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. "*

[Fixed row]

**(7.43.2) Are you able to break down your refinery products and net production?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.43.3) Disclose your refinery products and net production in the reporting year in million barrels per year.**

**Row 1**

**(7.43.3.1) Product produced**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Aviation fuels

**(7.43.3.2) Refinery net production (Million barrels) \*not including products used/consumed on site**

63

**Row 2**

**(7.43.3.1) Product produced**

Select from:

Gasolines

**(7.43.3.2) Refinery net production (Million barrels) \*not including products used/consumed on site**

162

**Row 3**

**(7.43.3.1) Product produced**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Middle distillates

**(7.43.3.2) Refinery net production (Million barrels) \*not including products used/consumed on site**

180

**Row 4**

**(7.43.3.1) Product produced**

Select from:

Fuel oils

**(7.43.3.2) Refinery net production (Million barrels) \*not including products used/consumed on site**

18

**Row 5**

**(7.43.3.1) Product produced**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Other products (includes lubricants, petrochemicals, bitumen, petroleum coke and LPG).

**(7.43.3.2) Refinery net production (Million barrels) \*not including products used/consumed on site**

88

[Add row]

**(7.43.4) Please disclose your petrochemicals production in the reporting year in thousand metric tons.**

|       | Product   | Production, Thousand metric tons | Capacity, Thousand metric tons |
|-------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Row 1 | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Total Chemicals Production | 2848                             | 3504                           |

[Add row]

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

**Row 1**

**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

0.000024841

**(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)**

33600000

**(7.45.3) Metric denominator**

Select from:

unit total revenue

**(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total**

189185000000

**(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used**

Select from:

Market-based

### (7.45.6) % change from previous year

16

### (7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Increased

### (7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Change in revenue

### (7.45.9) Please explain

*In 2024 our Scope 1 (direct) emissions, were 32.8MtCO<sub>2</sub>e – an overall increase from 31.1MtCO<sub>2</sub>e in 2023. Of these Scope 1 emissions, 31.4MtCO<sub>2</sub>e were carbon dioxide and 1.5MtCO<sub>2</sub>e methane. Overall emissions increased due to project ramp-ups, operational growth in our low carbon businesses and some temporary operational changes such as turnaround activity and operational issues. Sales and other operating revenues in 2024 were lower than in 2023 due to materially lower trading results, lower gas prices and lower volumes in our Gas & low carbon energy segment and lower product prices in our Customers & products segment. Therefore, emissions per unit total revenue were higher than in 2023. Scope 1 and 2 emissions value rounded to nearest 100,000 metric tonnes and total revenue rounded to nearest \$1,000,000.*

[Add row]

## (7.48) Provide the intensity figures for Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e) per unit of hydrocarbon category.

### Row 1

#### (7.48.1) Unit of hydrocarbon category (denominator)

Select from:

Other, please specify :Tonnes of gross operated production

### (7.48.2) Metric tons CO2e from hydrocarbon category per unit specified

0.15

### (7.48.3) % change from previous year

2

### (7.48.4) Direction of change

Select from:

Increased

### (7.48.5) Reason for change

*In 2024 our Scope 1 (direct) emissions, were 32.8MtCO2e – an overall increase from 31.1MtCO2e in 2023. Of these Scope 1 emissions, 31.4MtCO2e were carbon dioxide and 1.5MtCO2e methane. Overall emissions increased due to project ramp-ups, operational growth in our low carbon businesses and some temporary operational changes such as turnaround activity and operational issues.*

### (7.48.6) Comment

*Total (100%) Scope 1 (direct) GHG emissions from source activities operated by bp or otherwise within bp's operational control boundary. bp's reported GHG emissions include CH4 and CO2. Other GHGs are not included as they are not material to our operations. CH4 emissions are converted to carbon dioxide equivalent using the 100- year GWP recommended by the Fifth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). For further information refer to the bp basis of reporting on bp.com. Gross production comprises upstream production, refining throughput and petrochemicals produced.*  
[Add row]

## (7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

### Row 1

#### (7.52.1) Description

Select from:

Other, please specify :Non-GHG air emissions

### (7.52.2) Metric value

79

### (7.52.3) Metric numerator

*Total non-GHG emissions to air in thousand tonnes*

### (7.52.5) % change from previous year

5

### (7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Increased

### (7.52.7) Please explain

*Total non-GHG emissions to air includes nitrogen oxides, sulphur oxides and non-methane hydrocarbons. Detailed information on other climate-related and non-climate-related metrics is included in the ESG datasheet 2024 available at [bp.com/ESG](https://bp.com/ESG).*

## Row 2

### (7.52.1) Description

Select from:

Waste

### (7.52.2) Metric value

523.9

### (7.52.3) Metric numerator

*Total waste generated in thousand tonnes*

### (7.52.5) % change from previous year

9

### (7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.52.7) Please explain

*Includes hazardous and non-hazardous waste generated. Hazardous waste does not include waste which is disposed of under licence to deepwell. Detailed information on other climate-related and non-climate-related metrics is included in the ESG datasheet 2024 available at [bp.com/ESG](https://bp.com/ESG).*

[Add row]

### (7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Absolute target

#### (7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

##### Row 1

#### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 1

#### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

#### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Paris goals to limit global warming to well below 2, preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius

#### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2020

#### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

#### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)

#### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

#### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Market-based

#### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

**(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

49200000

**(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

5200000

**(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

**(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

54400000.000

**(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/31/2025

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

20

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

43520000.000

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

32800000

**(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

800000

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

33600000.000

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

191.18

**(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Underway

**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

*As part of our strategy reset announced in February 2025 we have retired some of our previous aims and are focusing our net zero aims on the two areas that we believe are most relevant to our long-term success and to achieving our overall net zero ambition - net zero operations and net zero sales. Our net zero operations aim is to reach net zero by 2050 or sooner for Scope 1 and 2 emissions within bp's operational control (CO2e basis), including by maintaining 'near-zero' methane intensity across our operated producing assets, enabled by supportive government policies. This aim now combines our previous operational emissions reduction aim*

and our methane reduction aim. We are targeting a 20% reduction in our operational emissions by the end of 2025 and aiming for a 45-50% reduction by the end of 2030 against our 2019 baseline. Our methane intensity target remains 0.20% by the end of 2025. As a science-informed organisation we interpret “science-based targets” to mean absolute emissions and/or intensity-based GHG emissions reduction targets/aims that are designed to drive delivery of an organisation’s Paris-consistent strategy. As described on page 10 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, we believe that our strategy is consistent with the Paris goals because it is informed by Paris consistent energy transition scenarios (including the bp Energy Outlook 2024 Net Zero scenario); it enables us to make a positive contribution to the world meeting the Paris goals and is designed to deliver value, while advancing bp towards meeting our net zero ambition; and is flexible enough to manage the inherent uncertainty in the range of potential global pathways, including those that can achieve the Paris goals. As a result, our board considers our strategy to be consistent with the Paris goals– and since the targets referred to in answer to this question are designed to drive delivery of this strategy, we consider each of them to be “science-based” as explained above. Due to rounding of base year Scope 1 and 2 emissions in this CDP disclosure, the "Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)" shown for our Net Zero Operations target in CDP may not match the base year emissions for our Net Zero Operations provided elsewhere in our public disclosures. These numbers are intended to provide a general overview and should not be considered exact. For precise values and further information, see bp Sustainability Report 2024.

### **(7.53.1.83) Target objective**

*Designed to drive delivery of an organisation’s Paris-consistent strategy.*

### **(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year**

*Our combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, covered by this aim were 33.6MtCO<sub>2</sub>e – a decrease of 38% from our 2019 baseline. The total reduction includes 18MtCO<sub>2</sub>e attributable to divestments and 5.4MtCO<sub>2</sub>e in emissions reduction activities. Compared with 2023 (32.1MtCO<sub>2</sub>e), Scope 1 and 2 emissions increased in 2024 due to growth in our portfolio, project start ups and operational issues at Tangguh, Indonesia. See page 20 of bp’s Sustainability Report 2024. Our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions have already reduced by 38% in 2024 – more than our 2025 target of a 20% reduction, against our 2019 baseline. New projects are coming online, adding to the challenge of reducing our operational emissions and continued investment and portfolio optimization will be needed to meet our 2030 aim. Operational efficiency - We take a portfolio view of all our project improvement activities at individual sites– supporting energy efficiency, reduced carbon emissions, and lower costs. In 2024 we completed emissions and energy efficiency reviews in our AGT, Trinidad and Tobago, and Gulf of America production regions, and at two refineries. We expect to complete further reviews across our operating portfolio in 2025, which are screened through existing business processes and plans that support our net zero ambition. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) and hydrogen - In September 2024, with our 50:50 joint venture partner Iberdrola, we took the final investment decision to develop a 25MW green hydrogen project that aims to reduce operational emissions at our refinery operations in Castellón, Spain. The project is expected to be operational in 2026 and, through displaced grey hydrogen consumption, could result in around 23ktCO<sub>2</sub>e fewer emissions annually. - In November 2024, with our partners in Tangguh, Indonesia, we made the final investment decision for the \$7 billion Tangguh UCC project, which will include the country’s first at scale enhanced gas recovery through carbon capture, utilization, and storage. The carbon capture component of the project aims to sequester up to 15 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> in its initial phase and potentially more in future, given the large CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity of the area. Portfolio optimization - We are continuing to high-grade our portfolio and focus on our most resilient assets. For example, in September 2024 we announced the sale of mature gas fields in Trinidad and Tobago to Perenco, as part of the refocusing of our gas business there.*

### **(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach**

Select from:

No

## Row 2

### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 2

### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

Other, please specify :Paris goals to limit global warming to well below 2, preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius

### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2020

### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

Carbon dioxide (CO2)

Methane (CH4)

### **(7.53.1.8) Scopes**

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

### **(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method**

Select from:

- Market-based

### **(7.53.1.11) End date of base year**

12/31/2019

### **(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

49200000

### **(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

5200000

### **(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

### **(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

54400000.000

### **(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100.0

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/31/2030

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

45

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

29920000.000

**(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

32800000

**(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

800000

**(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

33600000.000

**(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

### (7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

84.97

### (7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*As part of our strategy reset announced in February 2025 we have retired some of our previous aims and are focusing our net zero aims on the two areas that we believe are most relevant to our long-term success and to achieving our overall net zero ambition - net zero operations and net zero sales. Our net zero operations aim is to reach net zero by 2050 or sooner for Scope 1 and 2 emissions within bp's operational control (CO2e basis), including by maintaining 'near-zero' methane intensity across our operated producing assets, enabled by supportive government policies. This aim now combines our previous operational emissions reduction aim and our methane reduction aim. We are targeting a 20% reduction in our operational emissions by the end of 2025 and aiming for a 45-50% reduction by the end of 2030 against our 2019 baseline. Our methane intensity target remains 0.20% by the end of 2025. As a science-informed organisation we interpret "science-based targets" to mean absolute emissions and/or intensity-based GHG emissions reduction targets/aims that are designed to drive delivery of an organisation's Paris-consistent strategy. As described on page 10 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, we believe that our strategy is consistent with the Paris goals because it is informed by Paris consistent energy transition scenarios (including the bp Energy Outlook 2024 Net Zero scenario); it enables us to make a positive contribution to the world meeting the Paris goals and is designed to deliver value, while advancing bp towards meeting our net zero ambition; and is flexible enough to manage the inherent uncertainty in the range of potential global pathways, including those that can achieve the Paris goals. As a result, our board considers our strategy to be consistent with the Paris goals— and since the targets referred to in answer to this question are designed to drive delivery of this strategy, we consider each of them to be "science-based" as explained above. Due to rounding of base year Scope 1 and 2 emissions in this CDP disclosure, the "Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)" shown for our Net Zero Operations target in CDP may not match the base year emissions for our Net Zero Operations provided elsewhere in our public disclosures. These numbers are intended to provide a general overview and should not be considered exact. For precise values and further information, see bp Sustainability Report 2024.*

### (7.53.1.83) Target objective

*Designed to drive delivery of an organisation's Paris-consistent strategy.*

### (7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Our combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, covered by this aim were 33.6MtCO<sub>2</sub>e – a decrease of 38% from our 2019 baseline. The total reduction includes 18MtCO<sub>2</sub>e attributable to divestments and 5.4MtCO<sub>2</sub>e in emissions reduction activities. Compared with 2023 (32.1MtCO<sub>2</sub>e), Scope 1 and 2 emissions increased in 2024 due to growth in our portfolio, project start ups and operational issues at Tangguh, Indonesia. See page 20 of bp’s Sustainability Report 2024. Our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions have already reduced by 38% in 2024 – more than our 2025 target of a 20% reduction, against our 2019 baseline. New projects are coming online, adding to the challenge of reducing our operational emissions and continued investment and portfolio optimization will be needed to meet our 2030 aim. Operational efficiency - We take a portfolio view of all our project improvement activities at individual sites– supporting energy efficiency, reduced carbon emissions, and lower costs. In 2024 we completed emissions and energy efficiency reviews in our AGT, Trinidad and Tobago, and Gulf of America production regions, and at two refineries. We expect to complete further reviews across our operating portfolio in 2025, which are screened through existing business processes and plans that support our net zero ambition. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) and hydrogen - In September 2024, with our 50:50 joint venture partner Iberdrola, we took the final investment decision to develop a 25MW green hydrogen project that aims to reduce operational emissions at our refinery operations in Castellón, Spain. The project is expected to be operational in 2026 and, through displaced grey hydrogen consumption, could result in around 23ktCO<sub>2</sub>e fewer emissions annually. - In November 2024, with our partners in Tangguh, Indonesia, we made the final investment decision for the \$7 billion Tangguh UCC project, which will include the country’s first at scale enhanced gas recovery through carbon capture, utilization, and storage. The carbon capture component of the project aims to sequester up to 15 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> in its initial phase and potentially more in future, given the large CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity of the area. Portfolio optimization - We are continuing to high-grade our portfolio and focus on our most resilient assets. For example, in September 2024 we announced the sale of mature gas fields in Trinidad and Tobago to Perenco, as part of the refocusing of our gas business there.

### (7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

### Row 3

### (7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 3

### (7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

### (7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Paris goals to limit global warming to well below 2, preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius

### (7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2020

### (7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

### (7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)

### (7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

### (7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Market-based

### (7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

### (7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

49200000

**(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

5200000

**(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

**(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

54400000.000

**(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1**

100

**(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2**

100

**(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes**

100.0

**(7.53.1.54) End date of target**

12/31/2050

**(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

100

**(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)**

0.000

#### (7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

32800000

#### (7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

800000

#### (7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

33600000.000

#### (7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

#### (7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

38.24

#### (7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

#### (7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*As part of our strategy reset announced in February 2025 we have retired some of our previous aims and are focusing our net zero aims on the two areas that we believe are most relevant to our long-term success and to achieving our overall net zero ambition - net zero operations and net zero sales. Our net zero operations aim is to reach net zero by 2050 or sooner for Scope 1 and 2 emissions within bp's operational control (CO2e basis), including by maintaining 'near-zero' methane intensity across our operated producing assets, enabled by supportive government policies. This aim now combines our previous operational emissions reduction aim and our methane reduction aim. We are targeting a 20% reduction in our operational emissions by the end of 2025 and aiming for a 45-50% reduction by the end of 2030 against our 2019 baseline. Our methane intensity target remains 0.20% by the end of 2025. As a science-informed organisation we interpret "science-based*

targets” to mean absolute emissions and/or intensity-based GHG emissions reduction targets/aims that are designed to drive delivery of an organisation’s Paris-consistent strategy. As described on page 10 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, we believe that our strategy is consistent with the Paris goals because it is informed by Paris consistent energy transition scenarios (including the bp Energy Outlook 2024 Net Zero scenario); it enables us to make a positive contribution to the world meeting the Paris goals and is designed to deliver value, while advancing bp towards meeting our net zero ambition; and is flexible enough to manage the inherent uncertainty in the range of potential global pathways, including those that can achieve the Paris goals. As a result, our board considers our strategy to be consistent with the Paris goals– and since the targets referred to in answer to this question are designed to drive delivery of this strategy, we consider each of them to be “science-based” as explained above. Due to rounding of base year Scope 1 and 2 emissions in this CDP disclosure, the “Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)” shown for our Net Zero Operations target in CDP may not match the base year emissions for our Net Zero Operations provided elsewhere in our public disclosures. These numbers are intended to provide a general overview and should not be considered exact. For precise values and further information, see bp Sustainability Report 2024.

### **(7.53.1.83) Target objective**

*Designed to drive delivery of an organisation’s Paris-consistent strategy.*

### **(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year**

*Our combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, covered by this aim were 33.6MtCO2e – a decrease of 38% from our 2019 baseline. The total reduction includes 18MtCO2e attributable to divestments and 5.4MtCO2e in emissions reduction activities. Compared with 2023 (32.1MtCO2e), Scope 1 and 2 emissions increased in 2024 due to growth in our portfolio, project start ups and operational issues at Tangguh, Indonesia. See page 20 of bp’s Sustainability Report 2024. Our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions have already reduced by 38% in 2024 – more than our 2025 target of a 20% reduction, against our 2019 baseline. New projects are coming online, adding to the challenge of reducing our operational emissions and continued investment and portfolio optimization will be needed to meet our 2030 aim. Operational efficiency - We take a portfolio view of all our project improvement activities at individual sites– supporting energy efficiency, reduced carbon emissions, and lower costs. In 2024 we completed emissions and energy efficiency reviews in our AGT, Trinidad and Tobago, and Gulf of America production regions, and at two refineries. We expect to complete further reviews across our operating portfolio in 2025, which are screened through existing business processes and plans that support our net zero ambition. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) and hydrogen - In September 2024, with our 50:50 joint venture partner Iberdrola, we took the final investment decision to develop a 25MW green hydrogen project that aims to reduce operational emissions at our refinery operations in Castellón, Spain. The project is expected to be operational in 2026 and, through displaced grey hydrogen consumption, could result in around 23ktCO2e fewer emissions annually. - In November 2024, with our partners in Tangguh, Indonesia, we made the final investment decision for the \$7 billion Tangguh UCC project, which will include the country’s first at scale enhanced gas recovery through carbon capture, utilization, and storage. The carbon capture component of the project aims to sequester up to 15 million tonnes of CO2 in its initial phase and potentially more in future, given the large CO2 storage capacity of the area. Portfolio optimization - We are continuing to high-grade our portfolio and focus on our most resilient assets. For example, in September 2024 we announced the sale of mature gas fields in Trinidad and Tobago to Perenco, as part of the refocusing of our gas business there.*

### **(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach**

Select from:

No

[Add row]

## (7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

- Targets to reduce methane emissions
- Net-zero targets
- Other climate-related targets

### (7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

#### Row 1

##### (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Oth 1

##### (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

01/01/2020

##### (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

##### (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

- Intensity

##### (7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Energy productivity

Other, energy productivity, please specify :GHG emissions estimated on a lifecycle basis from the use, production, and distribution of sold energy products in grams CO<sub>2</sub>e.

**(7.54.2.6) Target denominator (intensity targets only)**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Energy associated with sales of energy products in MJ

**(7.54.2.7) End date of base year**

12/31/2019

**(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year**

84

**(7.54.2.9) End date of target**

12/31/2025

**(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target**

79.8

**(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year**

79

**(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year**

119.0476190476

**(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Revised

#### (7.54.2.14) Explain the reasons for the revision, replacement, or retirement of the target

*As part of our strategy reset announced in February 2025 we have retired some of our previous aims and are focusing our net zero aims on the two areas that we believe are most relevant to our long-term success and to achieving our overall net zero ambition. These two areas are net zero operations and net zero sales. Our aim is to reduce to net zero the average lifecycle carbon intensity of the energy products we sell by 2050 or sooner, enabled by supportive government policies and the decarbonization of energy demand. We have also updated the methodology for our net zero sales aim – see page 21 of bp's sustainability report 2024 and bp.com/basisofreporting. As part of this update in methodology, we have restated our 2019 baseline and progress through to 2024 (see page 22 of bp's sustainability report 2024). Our net zero sales interim target is a 5% reduction by the end of 2025 for the average lifecycle carbon intensity of our sold energy products. Informed by our strategy reset, and a range of assumptions, our aim for the end of 2030 is now a reduction of 8-10% (previously 15-20%) against the 2019 baseline.*

#### (7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

No

#### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Overarching bp strategy and net zero ambition

#### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*As part of our strategy reset announced in February 2025 we have retired some of our previous aims and are focusing our net zero aims on the two areas that we believe are most relevant to our long-term success and to achieving our overall net zero ambition. These two areas are net zero operations and net zero sales. Our aim is to reduce to net zero the average lifecycle carbon intensity of the energy products we sell by 2050 or sooner, enabled by supportive government policies and the decarbonization of energy demand. This aim applies to the average carbon intensity of the energy products we sell. It is estimated on a lifecycle (full value chain) basis from the use, production, and distribution of sold energy products per unit of energy (MJ) delivered. We are targeting a reduction in intensity of 5% by the end of 2025 and aiming for an 8-10% reduction by the end of 2030 compared to our 2019 baseline. Energy included under net zero sales for 2019 (our baseline year) has been restated to 7.9EJ and the associated lifecycle emissions to 671MtCO<sub>2</sub>e. The 2019 carbon intensity baseline is now 84gCO<sub>2</sub>e/MJ. We have also updated all other reporting years.*

#### (7.54.2.19) Target objective

*Designed to drive delivery of an organisation's Paris-consistent strategy.*

## (7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*Our net zero sales progress and reductions in the average carbon intensity of sold energy products are directly linked to the implementation of our strategy. In part, they are also driven by our efforts to decarbonize the products we currently sell, and by our investments in EV charging, bioenergy, renewables, power and hydrogen in line with the decarbonization of our activities and global demand over time. We are investing in low carbon energy through capital-light partnerships in renewables and through high-grading and focusing our hydrogen portfolio. Two hydrogen projects were taken to final investment decision (FID) in 2024, one at our refinery in Lingen, Germany and another, in partnership with Iberdrola, in our refinery operations in Castellón, Spain. See page 23 of bp's sustainability report 2024. In working towards our net zero sales aim, we recognize that benefits arise over the longer term – for example, as offshore wind projects that we have invested in through this decade come into operation after 2030; or as utilization rates for EV charge points increase in future as EV uptake grows. Other factors, such as the pace of decarbonization of energy products or change (in either direction) in the relative contribution of higher carbon intensity fuels to bp's energy mix will also affect the average carbon intensity of our sold energy products. Achievement of the aim and all interim milestones need to be enabled by supportive government policy and by the decarbonization of energy demand. In 2024 the average carbon intensity of our sold energy products was 79gCO<sub>2</sub>e/MJ. This represents a 6% reduction from our 2019 baseline, driven by improvements in the well-to-tank emissions of sold products and changes in the sold product mix, which have included strategic investment activities such as the addition of significant retail power volumes as a result of the EDF Energy Services acquisition in 2022 in the US. The 2024 figures include part-year accounting of sales from GETEC ENERGIE, the acquisition of which we completed in August 2024, and for bp Bunge Bioenergia (now called bp bioenergy) and Lightsources bp, of which we took full ownership in October 2024.*

### Row 2

## (7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 2

## (7.54.2.2) Date target was set

01/01/2020

## (7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

## (7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Intensity

**(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)**

Energy productivity

Other, energy productivity, please specify :Total methane emissions in mmscf

**(7.54.2.6) Target denominator (intensity targets only)**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Marketed natural gas production in mmscf

**(7.54.2.7) End date of base year**

12/31/2019

**(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year**

0.14

**(7.54.2.9) End date of target**

12/31/2025

**(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target**

0.2

**(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year**

0.05

**(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year**

-150.0000000000

### (7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

### (7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Abs1

### (7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Overarching bp strategy and net zero ambition

### (7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*As part of our strategy reset announced in February 2025 we have retired some of our previous aims and are focusing our net zero aims on the two areas that we believe are most relevant to our long-term success and to achieving our overall net zero ambition. These two areas are net zero operations and net zero sales. Part of our net zero operations aim, includes maintaining 'near-zero' methane intensity across our operated producing assets. Both the Oil and Gas Decarbonization Charter and the United Nations Environment Programme suggest 0.20% as the threshold for 'near zero' methane intensity. By reference to either of these thresholds, our 2024 methane intensity can be considered to be near zero. Our methane intensity metric is defined as: Total methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions from upstream oil and gas activities operated by bp as a percentage of the marketed gas production from those operations. This is consistent with the Oil and Gas Climate Initiative (OGCI) definition. Marketed gas production is gas production from operated, producing upstream assets, that reaches a market irrespective of whether bp has custody of the gas. Please see the bp basis of reporting on bp.com for further details on the reprint methodology. In 2024 we started reporting on the basis of our new methane measurement approach across our major operated oil and gas processing sites. Using this approach our methane intensity was 0.07% (2023 0.05%) and the methane emissions from our upstream operations used to calculate this intensity were 46kt (2023 31kt). The % of target achieved (-64%) reflects that our 2024 methane intensity, is lower than our 2025 target of 'near zero' methane intensity of 0.2%*

### (7.54.2.19) Target objective

*Designed to drive delivery of an organisation's Paris-consistent strategy.*

### (7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*In 2024 we started reporting on the basis of our new methane measurement approach across our major operated oil and gas processing sites. Using this approach our methane intensity was 0.07% (2023 0.05%*c*) and the methane emissions from our upstream operations used to calculate this intensity were 46kt (2023 31kt). The higher emissions and intensity in 2024 are primarily from flaring due to operational issues in our Tangguh, Indonesia operations, and increases from a temporary*

operating mode quantified as a result of our new measurement approach in our Tangguh operations. In most other areas of our operations we saw reductions in methane emissions from using our methane measurement approach (and the increased understanding it provided) and from emissions reduction projects. Marketed gas volumes increased by 8.5% to 3,614bcf in 2024. We remain on track to reach zero routine flaring by 2030 in line with our aim under the World Bank's Zero Routine Flaring Initiative. bpx energy has achieved zero routine flaring, ahead of its 2025 goal. Methane reduction activity - Our work to reduce operational methane emissions is ongoing. In 2024 this included upgrades in our current operations and advances in the design of our new facilities. Methane emissions reductions totalled around 39ktCO<sub>2</sub>e, and came from multiple projects. For example, bpx energy reduced methane emissions through investment in pipeline infrastructure, central delivery points, tankless upstream facilities, and electric-driven instrument air and electric gas compression. In AGT, we reduced methane emissions in Central Azeri as part of a turbine controls upgrade to reduce the number of trips offline. Methane measurement approach - Our focus has been on identifying the most significant emission sources across our global upstream oil and gas portfolio and we have worked to introduce real-time monitoring solutions. These include new technology for quantifying flare efficiency, predictive emissions monitoring on gas turbines, and additional or updated meters and flare gas analysers. Real-time methane emissions data gives us better insights on actual performance and has supported improvements to our source-level inventory, allowing us to prioritize the most significant mitigation opportunities.

[Add row]

### **(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).**

#### **Row 1**

##### **(7.54.3.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

NZ1

##### **(7.54.3.2) Date target was set**

01/01/2020

##### **(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage**

Select from:

Organization-wide

##### **(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target**

Select all that apply

- Abs1
- Abs2
- Abs3

### (7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

12/31/2050

### (7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

### (7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

### (7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)

### (7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*As part of our strategy reset announced in February 2025 we have retired some of our previous aims and are focusing our net zero aims on the two areas that we believe are most relevant to our long-term success and to achieving our overall net zero ambition, these are net zero operations and net zero sales. Our net zero operations aim is to reach net zero by 2050 or sooner for Scope 1 and 2 emissions within bp's operational control (CO2e basis), including by maintaining 'near-zero' methane intensity across our operated producing assets, enabled by supportive government policies. This aim now combines our previous operational emissions reduction aim and our methane reduction aim. Our net zero sales aim is to reduce to net zero the average lifecycle carbon intensity of the energy products we sell by 2050 or sooner, enabled by supportive government policies and the decarbonization of energy demand. As a science-informed organisation we interpret "science-based targets" to mean absolute emissions and/or intensity-based GHG emissions reduction targets/aims that are designed to drive delivery of an organisation's*

*Paris-consistent strategy. As described on page 10 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, we believe that our strategy is consistent with the Paris goals because it is informed by Paris consistent energy transition scenarios (including the bp Energy Outlook 2024 Net Zero scenario); it enables us to make a positive contribution to the world meeting the Paris goals and is designed to deliver value, while advancing bp towards meeting our net zero ambition; and is flexible enough to manage the inherent uncertainty in the range of potential global pathways, including those that can achieve the Paris goals. As a result, our board considers our strategy to be consistent with the Paris goals– and since the targets referred to in answer to this question are designed to drive delivery of this strategy, we consider each of them to be “science-based” as explained above.*

#### **(7.54.3.11) Target objective**

*Designed to drive delivery of an organisation’s Paris-consistent strategy.*

#### **(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?**

Select from:

No, we do not plan to mitigate emissions beyond our value chain

#### **(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?**

Select all that apply

Yes, we plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization at the end of the target

#### **(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target**

*As part of our strategy reset announced in February 2025 we have retired some of our previous aims and are focusing our net zero aims on the two areas that we believe are most relevant to our long-term success and to achieving our overall net zero ambition. These two areas are net zero operations and net zero sales. When referring to net zero for bp in the context of our ambition and net zero operations and net zero sales aims mean achieving a balance between (a) the relevant Scope 1 and 2 emissions (for net zero operations) and product lifecycle emissions (for net zero sales) and (b) the aggregate of applicable deductions from qualifying activities such as sinks under our methodology at the applicable time. To deliver our net zero ambition and aims, we recognise that the balance of investment between emissions reduction activities and deductions will be important. We intend to apply the principles of a mitigation hierarchy in our aims, emphasising the role of actions such as direct operations emissions abatement and shifting our sales portfolio towards lower carbon products. Qualifying deductions also have a role to play.*

#### **(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Underway

### (7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

Target to be reviewed in line with company strategy updates.

[Add row]

**(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.**

|                          | Number of initiatives | Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Under investigation      | 32                    | <i>`Numeric input</i>                                     |
| To be implemented        | 2                     | 1000  |
| Implementation commenced | 12                    | 31000   |
| Implemented              | 55                    | 420000  |
| Not to be implemented    | 0                     | <i>`Numeric input</i>                                     |

[Fixed row]

**(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.**

## Row 1

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Other, please specify :All energy efficiency improvements in production processes sustainable emissions reductions

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

262000

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

Scope 1

Scope 2 (market-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

*Select from:*

Ongoing

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Value rounded to nearest 1,000*

## Row 2

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

Other, please specify :All low-carbon energy consumption sustainable emissions reductions

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

102000

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 1

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

Ongoing

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

Value rounded to nearest 1,000

## Row 3

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Fugitive emissions reductions

Other, please specify :All other sustainable emissions reductions (including fugitive emissions reductions) outside of the categories of low-carbon energy consumption and energy efficiency in production processes

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

56000

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- Ongoing

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Value rounded to nearest 1,000. This number is the total for all other emission reduction initiatives (including fugitive emissions reductions) outside of the categories of low-carbon energy consumption and energy efficiency in production processes.*

*[Add row]*

## (7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

### Row 1

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Internal price on carbon

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*As part of our investment process, described on pages 20-23 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, we apply carbon prices rising from \$50/tCO<sub>2</sub>e in 2025 to \$135/tCO<sub>2</sub>e in 2030 and \$200/tCO<sub>2</sub>e in 2050 (2023 \$ real) in certain cases. All investment cases with anticipated annual operational GHG emissions (Scope 1 and 2) above 20,000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (bp net), must estimate those anticipated GHG emissions and include an associated carbon cost in the investment economics, using the carbon prices described on page 20 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024.*

## Row 2

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Partnering with governments on technology development

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*bp is a founding partner in the World Bank's Global Gas Flaring Reduction partnership. We fully participate in the various programmes under this partnership, directly support the partnership through both funding and assistance with work items, and we continue to work towards reduced flaring and venting from our worldwide exploration and production operations. This is a voluntary activity and is aimed at reducing Scope 1 emissions. This partnership, launched in 2002, is ongoing and expected to continue. In 2020 we were a key contributor to the development of the Oil and Gas Methane Partnership, or OGMP, version 2, which is all about enhancing reporting and methane emission reductions and which is supported by the United Nations Environment Programme, the European Commission and EDF. bp was awarded gold status by the United Nations Environment Programme for our plans to measure and reduce methane emissions in 2021, the first year of the OGMP 2.0 reporting framework, and we retained gold status in 2024. The award recognizes the work of many bp teams and collaborations with our partners, including NOJVs.*

## Row 3

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Partnering with governments on technology development

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*We are a founding signatory of the Oil and Gas Decarbonization Charter (OGDC), launched at COP28 in December 2023. The Charter brings together over 50 companies, operating nearly 7,000 assets across more than 100 countries, and accounting for 43% of global oil production. Approximately 60% of OGDC members*

are National Oil Companies. The OGDC aims for net zero operations by 2050, zero routine flaring and near-zero methane emissions by 2030. The Charter also emphasizes the importance for leading companies to share best practice to help others in the earlier stages of their methane reduction journey. Aligning with the Charter creates an opportunity for our sector to accelerate emission reductions through collective action. In 2024 the OGDC published *The Oil & Gas Decarbonization Charter 2024: A Baseline For Action*, which will help prioritise and track progress on emissions reductions.

## Row 4

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*In some countries and cases, complying with regulatory requirements/standards can require investing in equipment or actions that result in lower emissions.*

## Row 5

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Other :Internal requirements

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Our internal practice on Management of Environmental and Social Performance includes various requirements intended to promote informed decision making on GHG management both for new projects and existing operations*

## Row 6

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Other :Sustainable GHG emission reduction targets

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*One of our key performance indicators for measuring progress is reduction of our greenhouse gas emissions on an operational control basis (MtCO<sub>2</sub>e) (see page 16 of bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024). This KPI comprises Scope 1 (from running the assets within our operational control boundary) and Scope 2 (associated with importing electricity, heating and cooling that is bought in to run those operations) data covered by our net zero operations aim (to be net zero across our operations by 2050 or sooner). It comprises 100% of Scope 1 and 2 emissions or activities within bp's operational control boundary. Sustainability performance was previously assessed against sustainable emissions reductions (SER). bp transitioned to use operated carbon emissions from 2024, as it is a more holistic and inclusive measure that represents the full breadth of possible operational movements and is better suited to driving ownership and delivery across the business. For 2024, operated carbon emissions of 33.7MtCO<sub>2</sub>e achieved an outcome between target and maximum and is reflective of our strong progress against net zero operations milestones. The most significant reductions in the year came from flaring reductions and increased reliability in the Azerbaijan, Georgia and Türkiye region and efficient project start-ups. Emission reduction projects totalling 0.42MtCO<sub>2</sub>e implemented by our business in 2024 included: our Gelsenkirchen refinery replaced imported steam from a coal-fired power plant with steam produced in our own gasfired boilers; bpx energy's central distribution projects, Karnes and Bingo, which enabled decommissioning of legacy natural gas-driven equipment; and restoration of cooling water infrastructure at Cherry Point to reliably meet refinery needs and improve the efficiency of compressor operations. Further detail on safety and sustainability performance over the 2024 is provided in the safety and sustainability committee report on page 80 of the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. Our annual bonus for all eligible employees, including the bp leadership team, has been linked to a sustainability measure since 2019. For 2025 our sustainability measure is linked to our operated carbon emissions. This measure covers Scope 1 and 2 emissions reported as part of our net zero operations aim. Our 2022-24 long-term incentive plan scorecard also linked to this.*

### Row 7

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

Other :NOJV activities

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*We have processes for managing our interests in non-operated joint ventures (NOJVs), including ways to encourage sustainable practices and to support them in pursuing their sustainability ambitions. Our NOJV solutions team provides a one-stop shop for integrated solutions and governance. We increased the size of the team in 2024 and developed new resources and processes for colleagues working to influence NOJVs on sustainability. Our 2024 engagement with selected NOJV partners included focus on net zero operations, biodiversity and water scarcity. We intend to continue our targeted engagement with the operators of non-operated joint ventures (NOJVs) to promote activity to reduce both their operational greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and improve methane performance. This could include promoting the use of measurement technologies, emission reduction plans, aims or targets; and their participation in external initiatives such as the Oil & Gas Decarbonization Charter, the UN-led Oil & Gas Methane Partnership (OGMP) and the World Bank's Zero Routine Flaring initiative. Several NOJVs and NOJV operators have now signed up to OGMP and set methane targets. Our collaborations with NOJVs in 2024 included joint shareholder seminars on methane monitoring technologies, awareness raising sessions on OGMP and a multi-shareholder HSE forum to share learnings on methane management. In 2024 for both our operated and non-operated activities, we retained gold status under the OGMP 2.0 reporting framework for the implementation of our source-level methane emissions*

measurement approach, and made further progress to reconcile this with our site-level measurement approach. This award recognized the work of many bp teams and collaborations with our partners, including NOJVs.

[Add row]

### **(7.57) Describe your organization's efforts to reduce methane emissions from your activities.**

*There are two challenges in tackling methane: the identification and quantification of emissions and finding ways to reduce emissions. We are playing an active part in both. As part of our net zero operations aim, by the end of 2025, we aim to maintain 'near-zero' methane intensity across our operated producing assets. In 2023, we completed the implementation of our methane measurement approach across our upstream oil and gas assets, with the introduction of software for flare efficiency, predictive emissions monitoring on gas turbines, and additional or updated meters among other measures. This followed more than 3 years' work to identify suitable measurement solutions and manage their roll-out across our varied and complex global operations. In bp shipping, we deployed predictive emissions monitoring systems across our bp-operated liquefied natural gas (LNG) fleet to provide more accurate quantification of methane slip from combustion engines – the main source of methane emissions on LNG carriers. In the US, bpx energy uses a varied approach to detection and measurement, appropriate to its operations and sources of methane. We are also using aerial measurement to verify reported methane emissions. In 2024 we started reporting on the basis of our new methane measurement approach across our major operated oil and gas processing sites. Using this approach our methane intensity was 0.07% (vs 0.05% in 2023) and the methane emissions from our upstream operations used to calculate this intensity were 46kt (vs 31kt in 2023). The higher emissions and intensity in 2024 are primarily from flaring due to operational issues in our Tangguh, Indonesia operations, and increases from a temporary operating mode quantified as a result of our new measurement approach in our Tangguh operations. In most other areas of our operations, we saw reductions in methane emissions from using our methane measurement approach (and the increased understanding it provided) and from emissions reduction projects. Analysis of our 2024 measured data shows that overall methane emissions from upstream operational flaring were generally lower than previously reported when using conventional methodologies (including those mandated by regulations in some countries). Using our measurement approach, we found methane emissions for most of our gas turbines to be significantly lower than previously reported using emissions factors. In general, the real-time data has helped us identify priority locations for additional performance improvement and methane abatement activities. Top-down measurements using drones and aircraft have validated and refined methane inventories. We continue to assess all of our methane sources for relevance and to identify the more significant sources, with the intention of adopting enhanced quantification methodologies where necessary. In 2024, total methane emissions were reduced by approximately 39 ktCO<sub>2</sub>e through projects such as pipeline infrastructure upgrades, electric-driven equipment, and turbine control improvements. Collaboration and methane advocacy: We are taking a leadership role in addressing the methane challenge through the improvements we have made to our own operations, but also through collaboration with our peers, NGOs, third-party experts, and academic research institutions. In 2024, we continued the collaborating with NOJVs to reduce GHG emissions and improve methane management through technology adoption, emission reduction plans, and participation in external initiatives such as the Oil & Gas Decarbonization Charter, the UN-led Oil & Gas Methane Partnership (OGMP) and the World Bank's Zero Routine Flaring initiative. In 2024, we retained gold status under the OGMP 2.0 reporting framework, which covers both our operated and non-operated activities. This award recognized the work of many bp teams and collaborations with our partners, including NOJVs. In October 2024 bp and the State Oil Company of the Azerbaijani Republic (SOCAR), brought together a variety of stakeholders during the Baku Climate Action Week, to support efforts towards developing a methane reduction pathway that meets the needs of Azerbaijan's energy sector. We also continued advocating for sound methane policy, including regulations in the US and EU.*

### **(7.61) Does your organization conduct leak detection and repair (LDAR) or use other methods to find and fix fugitive methane emissions from oil and gas production activities?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.61.1) Describe the protocol through which methane leak detection and repair or other leak detection methods, are conducted for oil and gas production activities, including predominant frequency of inspections, estimates of assets covered, and methodologies employed.**

*We have complex operational sites and pipelines that can stretch through hundreds of miles of difficult terrain. bp businesses inspect our major operations at intervals where the frequency is established on a site-by-site basis and depends on several prioritizing factors such as facility enclosure, leak history of the process area and proximity of high vibration equipment or thermal cycling that can exacerbate the conditions for leaks to develop. bp's Upstream Control of Work Procedure, which our production operations are required to follow, includes requirements and guidance on leak detection and repair, such as the use of forward-looking infrared (FLIR) cameras to survey sites in a structured manner to identify sources of fugitive emissions. The frequency of leaks and seeps inspections is risk-based, specific to the facility, and considers processes, age and condition of plant, with more frequent inspections conducted on high-pressure process gas systems. Any leaks identified are tagged, recorded in a bp-approved online system, classified according to the Procedure, and are repaired on a prioritized basis dependent on the classification. For higher classes of leaks, simple quantitative assessments and risk assessments are done, and leak repair is prioritized as such. For lower classes of leaks, repair of leaks is prioritized based on a qualitative assessment of the size, whether the leak is in an enclosed space or not, and other factors such as proximity to other process equipment and feasibility of repair during uptime. Leaks that are not severe and cannot be repaired when the equipment is online may be prioritized for a future turnaround. bp continues to pilot, deploy, and even invest in new methane technology that could support leak identification. For example, we are piloting the use of novel ground-based sensor networks that will provide real-time identification of leaks and are undertaking periodic aircraft-based measurement campaigns to provide methane emissions quantification in bpX, and we continue to assess the role of satellites for methane detection both through pilots at bp-operated facilities and in collaboration with external organisations such as Oil and Gas Climate Initiative (OGCI) and the Environmental Defense Fund (EDF). In 2023/24, bp completed independent fugitive leak quantification campaigns to better understand the accuracy of the fugitive leaks reported by the assets, which indicated that industry-based emission factors significantly over-estimated fugitive leaks across the bp portfolio. Case study: In the US, our bpx energy operations leverage LDAR programs to identify, quantify and repair leaking components and apply the measurement-based emission factors with the appropriate leak duration to quantify emissions. This method of managing fugitive leaks is ongoing and carried out in accordance with US Environmental Protection Agency Regulation 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart OOOa, which requires that facilities built after 2018 are to be inspected with an OGI camera at least semi-annually (at least 4 months apart and no more than 7 months apart) following an initial survey, and that if a leak is found during an inspection, then it must be repaired within 30 days. All central gas/condensate processing bpx facilities (such as Grand Slam CDP) are subject to quarterly 'Method 21' monitoring as part of the state of Texas air quality operating permit, which requires monitoring to be conducted on a quarterly basis, a first attempt to repair any identified leaks (above 500 ppm) to be made within 5 days and a final repair to be undertaken within 15 calendar days. In 2021, bpx elected to participate in a voluntary natural gas certification programme (MiQ) at one reporting unit and this was expanded to all bpx operated reporting units in 2022. For this certification aircraft and drone detections are incorporated into methane intensity calculations. bpx attained portfolio wide certification in 2022 and is required to maintain the low methane intensity value to retain this level of certification. To achieve this, bpx deployed drone-mounted sensors to inspect equipment complemented by hand-held camera surveys. Additionally, it is piloting the use of novel ground-based sensor networks that will provide real-time identification of leaks and has also undertaken quarterly aircraft-based measurement campaigns to provide methane emissions quantification. Any fugitive leaks found by the technology (either source- or site-level) must be confirmed and repaired in a suitable timeframe to maintain low methane intensity and natural gas certification.*

**(7.62) If flaring is relevant to your oil and gas production activities, describe your organization’s efforts to reduce flaring, including any flaring reduction targets.**

*Reducing emissions associated with flaring is a key challenge because whilst flares can be one of our major sources of CO<sub>2</sub> and methane emissions they also play a critical safety role, so cannot simply be switched off. Flaring reduction contributes to our Net Zero Operations aim. In 2024, total hydrocarbons flared decreased from 861kt to 613kt primarily due to lower temporary operational flaring in our operations in the Azerbaijan-Georgia-Türkiye region than in 2023. We continue to focus on flare reduction activity and to support the World Bank’s Zero Routine Flaring by 2030 initiative, which brings together stakeholders to work together to eliminate routine flaring from operated oil assets by 2030. Our bpx energy operations have achieved zero routine flaring in 2023, ahead of our 2025 US-specific goal. Routine flaring in 2024 constituted approximately 3% of total flaring in our production operations. Our major new projects are designed to eliminate routine flaring. We have also implemented requirements and recommendations associated with the management of production operational flaring. Quantifying more accurately how much gas has been flared is the first challenge – and as part of our methane measurement programme we have completed a global review of flare meters on our operated oil and gas producing facilities and upgraded any flare meters that fell short of our required performance standards. Additionally, we deployed a varied approach to quantify methane emissions from flaring at all bp-operated production and processing sites, including real-time monitoring technology for quantifying flare efficiency, advanced computational dynamics (CFD) techniques, and detailed engineering calculations. These activities are complemented by the use of fixed wing aerial surveys, drones and handheld cameras. This provides the assurance that each flare is safe and reliable under the conditions that it is now being operated under, which is critical as flare gas volumes are reduced as part of our aim to eliminate routine flaring. Flaring is not only important to bp but to others in our sector, so we are taking these insights and developments to our partners, for example, through initiatives such as the Oil & Gas Decarbonization Charter, and the Oil and Gas Climate Initiative (OGCI) where we support their work to address non-routine flaring. We have also agreed to donate \$25 million to the Global Flaring and Methane Reduction (GFMR) trust fund – a World Bank initiative to boost financing mechanisms and technical solutions that enable ending routine gas flaring at oil production sites across the world and reducing methane emissions from the oil and gas sector to near zero methane intensity by 2030.*

**(7.66) Is your organization involved in the sequestration of CO2?**

Select from:

No

**(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?**

Select from:

Yes

**(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.**

**Row 1**

**(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation**

Select from:

- Group of products or services

### (7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

- The IEA Energy Technology Perspectives Clean Energy Technology Guide

### (7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Power

- Other, please specify :Onshore wind and solar PV

### (7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

*The bp share of solar power sales to third-parties by LightsourceBP and Azule, and wind power sales to third-parties by our onshore US wind business and PAEG wind business in 2024.*

### (7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

- Yes

### (7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

- Estimating and Reporting the Comparative Emissions Impacts of Products (WRI)

### (7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

- Cradle-to-grave

### (7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

Supply of 1 MWh low voltage electricity

### (7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

Grid electricity in the country

### (7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

Select from:

Cradle-to-grave

### (7.74.1.11) Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario

0.47

### (7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

*We calculated the estimated avoided emissions between third-party sales of renewable power (solar and onshore wind) and grid electricity in the country. Lifecycle emissions (tonnes) of the sold product (solar and onshore wind power) were calculated using aggregated country-level emission factors for electricity from photovoltaic and electricity from wind power (in kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh), sourced from Sphera Managed LCA Content (MLC) 2025.1, applied to the bp share of solar and onshore wind power sales (in MWh). UK emissions factor for wind is used as a proxy for Argentina and UK emissions factor for solar is used as a proxy for Angola. Lifecycle emissions (tonnes) of the reference product (grid electricity) were calculated using aggregated country, or US state-level emission factors for electricity grid mix (in kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh), sourced from Sphera Managed LCA Content (MLC) 2025.1, applied to the bp share of solar and onshore wind power sales (in MWh). UK Grid Electricity factor is used as a proxy for Angola. We calculated the average tonne CO<sub>2</sub>e/MWh of emissions for both the sold product and reference product and calculated the difference between the two to estimate the avoided emissions. The estimated avoided emissions of 0.47 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e/MWh represent a 97% reduction in emissions from selling renewable power (solar and onshore wind) compared to grid electricity.*

[Add row]

### (7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

Yes

### (7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.

## Row 1

### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Clean cookstove distribution

### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

### (7.79.1.3) Project description

*ONIL Stoves Guatemala Uspantan*

### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

22632

### (7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

Voluntary offsetting

### (7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

No

### (7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

Purchased

### (7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

- VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)

### (7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

- Consideration of legal requirements
- Standardized Approaches

### (7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

- No risk of reversal

### (7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

- Not assessed

### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.*

### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's*

face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.

## Row 2

### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Clean cookstove distribution

### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

### (7.79.1.3) Project description

*ONIL Stoves Guatemala Uspantan*

### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

20581

### (7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

Voluntary offsetting

### (7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

No

#### (7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

Purchased

#### (7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)

#### (7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

Consideration of legal requirements

Standardized Approaches

#### (7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

No risk of reversal

#### (7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

Not assessed

#### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the*

ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.

### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.

### Row 3

#### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Landfill gas

#### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

#### (7.79.1.3) Project description

Brazil NovaGerar Landfill Gas to Energy Project

#### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

**(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement**

Select from:

- Voluntary offsetting

**(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?**

Select from:

- No

**(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?**

Select from:

- Purchased

**(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued**

Select from:

- CDM (Clean Development Mechanism)

**(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project**

Select all that apply

- Consideration of legal requirements
- Investment analysis
- Market penetration assessment

**(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk**

Select all that apply

- No risk of reversal

**(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed**

Select all that apply

Not assessed

### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible*

### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.*

## Row 4

### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Landfill gas

### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

### (7.79.1.3) Project description

*Landfill Gas Recovery and Utilization at Bukit Tagar Sanitary Landfill, Hulu Selangor in Malaysia*

### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

106276

### (7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

Voluntary offsetting

### (7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

No

### (7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

Purchased

### (7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

CDM (Clean Development Mechanism)

### (7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

Consideration of legal requirements

- Investment analysis
- Barrier analysis
- Market penetration assessment

#### **(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk**

Select all that apply

- No risk of reversal

#### **(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed**

Select all that apply

- Not assessed

#### **(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address**

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.*

#### **(7.79.1.14) Please explain**

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect*

Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.

## Row 5

### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Landfill gas

### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

### (7.79.1.3) Project description

*Canhanduba Landfill Project*

### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

7994

### (7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

Voluntary offsetting

### (7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

No

### (7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

- Purchased

#### (7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

- CDM (Clean Development Mechanism)

#### (7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

- Consideration of legal requirements
- Investment analysis
- Market penetration assessment

#### (7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

- No risk of reversal

#### (7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

- Not assessed

#### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.*

### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.*

### Row 6

#### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Landfill gas

#### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

#### (7.79.1.3) Project description

*CTL Landfill Gas Project*

#### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

9164

#### (7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

- Voluntary offsetting

#### **(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?**

Select from:

- No

#### **(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?**

Select from:

- Purchased

#### **(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued**

Select from:

- CDM (Clean Development Mechanism)

#### **(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project**

Select all that apply

- Consideration of legal requirements
- Investment analysis
- Market penetration assessment

#### **(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk**

Select all that apply

- No risk of reversal

#### **(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed**

Select all that apply

- Not assessed

### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.*

### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.*

## Row 7

### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Landfill gas

### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

### (7.79.1.3) Project description

*Brazil NovaGerar Landfill Gas to Energy Project*

### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

182172

### (7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

*Select from:*

Voluntary offsetting

### (7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

*Select from:*

No

### (7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

*Select from:*

Purchased

### (7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

*Select from:*

CDM (Clean Development Mechanism)

### (7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

*Select all that apply*

Consideration of legal requirements

Investment analysis

Market penetration assessment

### (7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

No risk of reversal

### (7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

Not assessed

### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.*

### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.*

**Row 8**

### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Wind

### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

### (7.79.1.3) Project description

*Cabo Leones Wind Farm*

### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

82616

### (7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

Voluntary offsetting

### (7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

No

### (7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

Purchased

### (7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

- CDM (Clean Development Mechanism)

#### (7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

- Consideration of legal requirements
- Investment analysis
- Other, please specify :Common practice analysis

#### (7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

- No risk of reversal

#### (7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

- Not assessed

#### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.*

#### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the*

*bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.*

## Row 9

### (7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

Waste management

### (7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

Emissions reduction

### (7.79.1.3) Project description

*Caixa Econômica Federal Solid Waste Management and Carbon Finance Project*

### (7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

14682

### (7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

Voluntary offsetting

### (7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

No

#### **(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?**

Select from:

Purchased

#### **(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued**

Select from:

CDM (Clean Development Mechanism)

#### **(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project**

Select all that apply

Consideration of legal requirements

Investment analysis

Market penetration assessment

#### **(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk**

Select all that apply

No risk of reversal

#### **(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed**

Select all that apply

Not assessed

#### **(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address**

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits*

backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.

#### **(7.79.1.14) Please explain**

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.*

### **Row 10**

#### **(7.79.1.1) Project type**

Select from:

- Clean cookstove distribution

#### **(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity**

Select from:

- Emissions reduction

#### **(7.79.1.3) Project description**

*Madagascar Improved Cook Stove Project*

#### **(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)**

**(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement**

Select from:

- Voluntary offsetting

**(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?**

Select from:

- No

**(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?**

Select from:

- Purchased

**(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued**

Select from:

- VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)

**(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project**

Select all that apply

- Consideration of legal requirements  
 Standardized Approaches

**(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk**

Select all that apply

- No risk of reversal

**(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed**

Select all that apply

Not assessed

### (7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

*This project addresses the requirements of the program/standard methodology. bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. Our bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to create a stock of carbon credits, flexible enough to meet customer demand but at a stable annual price point that business and consumers can plan around. At the heart of project selection is a robust set of principles. We only purchase credits backed by international standards such as the United Nations Clean Development Mechanism (UN CDM) and the Verified Carbon Standard (VCS) and we apply the ICROA code of best practice for procurement and retirements. We only purchase credits from projects that provide 'additional' carbon reduction that would not have happened without the carbon finance and project activity taking place. We also look for wider societal, environmental and economic 'co-benefits' contributing to the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Projects are assessed on their contribution using international standards for co-benefits where possible.*

### (7.79.1.14) Please explain

*bp Carbon Connect works with the Low Carbon Trading team to select projects based on customer and market demands. The current bp Carbon Connect portfolio is designed to meet the needs of a growing global business and consumer appetite for high quality carbon credits as regions step-up the transition to a low carbon economy. bp's low carbon trading (LCT) team is part of bp Supply, Trading & Shipping (ST&S), one of the world's leading energy trading houses. LCT serves as bp's face to the carbon market across compliance and voluntary markets globally. This includes carbon allowances and carbon credits. Before we add a project to the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio, we undertake a thorough due diligence exercise. We conduct a tailored assessment of each project, reviewing a range of aspects from compliance with the carbon credit program requirements, technical carbon accounting, co-benefits, safety or other issues that might impact the credibility of the carbon credits. The due diligence is carried out using a bp proprietary checklist; the bp reviewer will cross check detail across a range of relevant categories depending on the project type e.g. number cookstoves installed/location, rice production, avoided flaring, Health & Safety, Quality Management Systems, Human Resource, co-benefit detail and some checks for specific methodologies. The due diligence involves gathering evidence from maps, photos, documents, registry web sites, program rules and process diagrams as well as calculations and re-calculation of the carbon credits created. All of the projects in the bp Carbon Connect Portfolio are visited by an independent auditor to conduct assurance checks of the operations, quality management and calculations associated with the emission reductions/removals and co-benefits, and many receive an additional visit by bp project managers or specialist consultants.*

*[Add row]*

### C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party |
|  | Select from:<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes   |

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

#### Row 1

##### (13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

Climate change

##### (13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

Base year emissions

Methane emissions

- Other data point in module 7, please specify :• Methane intensity % disclosed under “Estimated total methane emitted expressed as % of natural gas production or throughput at given division” in question 7.24 • Scope 1 carbon dioxide emissions

### (13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

- ISAE 3000  
 ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements

### (13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

*Assurance statement on pages 48-49 of the bp Sustainability Report 2024 (bp.com). In addition to limited assurance of Scope 1 and 2 emissions, the assurance statement also covers energy consumption (operational boundary) (GWh, base units of kWh), methane intensity (%) and the emissions underlying our Net Zero Operations Aim; Scope 1 (direct) GHG emissions (operational boundary) (Mte CO<sub>2</sub>e), Scope 2 market based (indirect) GHG emissions (operational boundary) (MtCO<sub>2</sub>e), Scope 1 (direct) carbon dioxide emissions (operational boundary) (MtCO<sub>2</sub>) and Scope 1 (direct) methane emissions (operational boundary) (Mte).*

### (13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

*bp-sustainability-report-2024.pdf*  
[Add row]

**(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.**

### (13.2.1) Additional information

*As a global group, our interests and activities are held or operated through subsidiaries, branches, joint arrangements or associates established in – and subject to the laws and regulations of – many different jurisdictions. BP p.l.c. and its subsidiaries are separate legal entities. References to “bp”, “bp businesses”, “we”, “our” and similar terms throughout this submission are to BP p.l.c. and its subsidiaries generally, to one or more of them, or to those who work for them. In responding to some questions in this questionnaire we draw upon content from the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024, bp’s sustainability report 2024, and other sources (including investor presentations available on bp.com) but the responses do not contain sufficient information to allow as full an understanding of the results and the state of affairs of BP p.l.c. as the bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024. As such no part of these responses constitutes, or shall be taken to constitute, an invitation or inducement to invest in BP p.l.c. or any other entity and must not be relied upon in any way in connection with any investment decisions. Certain responses also*

*involve forward-looking statements, forecasts or projections with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and businesses of bp and certain of the plans and objectives of bp with respect to these items. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that will or may occur in the future. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in such statements depending on a variety of factors. Please refer to the Cautionary statements on pages 338-339 of bp Annual Report and Form 20-F 2024 and page 50 of bp Sustainability Report 2024 for further information on forward-looking statements. For a number of questions, the CDP format uses dropdown answers, thus limiting respondents' choices to a predefined list of options. In some cases, the options and follow-up questions do not permit a complete explanation of our circumstances. In responding to such questions, we have answered by selecting what seems to be the most appropriate answer in each case, and where possible provide additional clarification or context in free text where our answers are constrained by the question structures. We have not responded to certain questions where we have set out our position in our Annual Report, Sustainability Report, or other publicly available information. Responses other than quantified data are intended to be illustrative rather than comprehensive or selected according to materiality; quantified data drawn from data published elsewhere by bp are subject to any qualifications or clarifications provided there.*  
[Fixed row]

### **(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.**

#### **(13.3.1) Job title**

*Senior Vice President, Strategy & Sustainability*

#### **(13.3.2) Corresponding job category**

*Select from:*

Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

*[Fixed row]*

