Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

meters, invoices

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water withdrawal is one of the material environmental aspects identified by ams OSRAM on a corporate level. We therefore monitor all production locations and other bigger R&D sites.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :see explanations

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

this is regulated in the permissions to draw water and may vary (typically no more than twice a year though) in the case of municipal water this is done on the providers' side

(9.2.4) Please explain

The majority of our water withdrawals is from municipal water providers who are already monitoring the quality, thus releasing us from the obligation to do so. Premstätten s the last remaining site drawing groudnwater (as Treviso was sold in 2023) and this water undergoes testing as it is even further processed for use as process water, DI or even UPW.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

meters (if and where available), but mostly plausibility explanations and professional estimates

(9.2.4) Please explain

We are reviewing a balance sheet of water withdrawals versus discharges yearly and thus monitor discharges at the corporate level. The major share of used water is treated and returned to the sewers. Some water is emitted into the atmosphere in evaporation by our cooling towers. Water from semiconductor plants may also be contaminated and will thus end up in an external waste treatment flow. Data quality is not as high as for withdrawals as not everything is metered but sometimes requires estimates.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

meters (if and where available), but mostly plausibility explanations and professional estimates

(9.2.4) Please explain

We are reviewing a balance sheet of water We are reviewing a balance sheet of water withdrawals versus discharges yearly and thus monitor discharges at the corporate level. The major share of used water is treated and returned to the sewers. Some water is emitted into the atmosphere in evaporation by our cooling towers. Water from semiconductor plants may also be contaminated and will thus end up in an external waste treatment flow. Data quality is not as high as for withdrawals as not everything is metered but sometimes requires estimates.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

meters (if and where available), but mostly plausibility explanations and professional estimates: The frequency at site level is determined by the discharge permits.

(9.2.4) Please explain

This is monitored in detail by the individual sites, but only roughly on a corporate level.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 51-75

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

In-house and external lab analysis: The frequency and analysis depths at site level is determined by the discharge permits.

(9.2.4) Please explain

This is partially monitored by the individual sites, but not on a corporate level.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 51-75

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :see explanations

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

This is tracked at some sites where requested

(9.2.4) Please explain

This is partially monitored by the individual sites, but not on a corporate level.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Quarterly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Only a few sites have the requirements to so so and and they typically do it when samples for other parameters are drawn (quarterly or half-yearly)

(9.2.4) Please explain

This is partially monitored by the individual sites, but not on a corporate level.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:
☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:
☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

see explanations - it is a balance review of water withdrawn minus discharged

(9.2.4) Please explain

We are reviewing a balance sheet of water withdrawals versus discharges yearly and thus monitor discharges at the corporate level. We thus can give numbers for consumption. Most of the consumption is evaporated to the air. We have no products containing water. Data quality is not as high as for withdrawals as not everything is metered but sometimes requires estimates.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

meters (if and where available), otherwise plausibility explanations and professional estimates

(9.2.4) Please explain

In 2024 we have started to record recycled/reused water for the first time. The sites report any volumes of water that were used for one purpose and then reused - either with or without cleaning - for another functionality. This is not applicable at all sites, but very typical at our water-intensive sites, helping us to reduce the amount of water newly withdrawn or at least keep it at a lower level. This figure (21%) is also reported in our Annual Report incl. Sustainability Report 2024.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☑ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☑ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

The maintenance of these facilities is a constant duty of the FM departments of the individual sites and are part of the periodic maintenance.

(9.2.4) Please explain

All of our sites including any offices not monitored for environmental parameters provide fully-functional and safety managed WASH services to all workers. [Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

4194

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Special activities are in preparation in our semiconductor activities to reduce water withdrawal as well as to enhance water re-use before discharge.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

3294

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Increased production activity in semiconductor manufacturing leads to increased level of water going into waste streams, i.e. consumption, while discharges are less affected.

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Special activities are in preparation in our semiconductor activities to reduce water withdrawal as well as to enhance water re-use before discharge.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

900

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

While there will be savings as mentioned above in withdrawals and discharges, it is not yet clear how the absolute difference will develop.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:
☑ No

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply
☑ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

In order to proactively identify potential issues with the availability of water, we perform an annual risk analysis on our water requirements at our locations using the Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas supplied by the World Resources Institute. The analysis looks at the levels of water withdrawal as well as the type and amount of waste water discharges at the locations. ams-OSRAM does not currently regard the availability of water at any of its locations as critical. While there are no facilities of water stress, we do have facilities in China and the Philippines at locations that are considered areas at water risk.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This source is not used at any ams OSRAM facility.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This source is not used at any ams OSRAM facility.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

628

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

The site in Treviso IT, dropped out of the accounting (divesture) but the Premstätten, AT, site increased in volume and in production water use and this overcompensated the drop from the divesture. Premstätten is now the only site within ams OSRAM which uses this water source.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This source is not used at any ams OSRAM facility.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

This source is not used at any ams OSRAM facility.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

3566

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Enhanced production activity at our semiconductor sites and especially in Penang, MY, leading to higher water needs.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:
☑ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

13

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ Lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Our Schwabmünchen, Germany, facility discharges water into a nearby river after treatment. A permit and a control plan to be submitted to authorities exist. However, the plant has less and less business in water intensive processes. In this category, we can give a comparison as only one plant is affected.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

319

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Our Penang, MY, facility discharges industrial waste water into the nearby ocean through the monsoon drain after treatment. There is no sewer for industrial waste water. Water is not used in all processes though and so - even so there was an increase in water withdrawal - discharges to seawater remained about the same. In this category, we can give a comparison as only one plant is affected.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We no longer operate any sites that discharge into the groundwater.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2962

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

This major part of our discharges stayed about flat as described above already: Increased production activity in semiconductor manufacturing leads to increased level of water going into waste streams, i.e. consumption, while discharges are less affected.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:
☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We do not operate any biological treatment facilities for waste water.

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:
☑ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2361

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:
☑ About the same

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☑ 91-99

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

In our neutralization plants we typically have a two stage approach, one to achieve the correct pH level and another to remove any other chemicals that require treatment. Discharge afterwards is to the public sewers or - in the case of Penang and Schwabmünchen - to the ocean of (partially) a local river.These are reported elsewhere. This here is major part of our discharges and stayed about flat as described above already: Increased production activity in semiconductor manufacturing leads to increased level of water going into waste streams, i.e. consumption, while discharges are less affected.

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We do not discharge any waste water after primary treatment, but pass it on to the secondary stage.

Discharge to the natural environment without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

47

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Much lower

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Facility closure

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☑ 91-99

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We discharge groundwater some cooling water in Penang, MY, into the ocean without need for further treatment. Compared to last year, the site in Treviso, IT, no longer is part of our accounting (divested).

Discharge to a third party without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

903

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Higher

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☑ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☑ 91-99

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

The biggest share accounted for here is sanitary waste water released to the sewer. We also release some production waste water not requiring inhouse treatment as well as cooling water to the public sewers. The main driver here compared to last year is an increased value if sanitary wastewater which is likely linked to increased production output.

Other

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☑ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

nothing else to report
[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization’s emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply

☑ Nitrates

☑ Phosphates

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

As mentioned we are monitoring at several sites to ensure we are not passing the limits imposed upon us in the discharge permits, but we do not gather any quantitative data
[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

As explained, we perform an annual water risk assessment- There are no shore-term risks identified at present, though long-term risks are acknowledged.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☑ No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

We have enough supply chain diversity to handle an individual supplier's potential delivery problems caused by water-related risks such as water shortage.
[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☑ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

3415000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

814258.46

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

Our internal intensity KPI is scale the amount of withdrawn water to operative output as this is more meaningful due to the fact that revenue includes a share of external manufacturing. We expect these KPIs to go up mid-term due to more semiconductor-related manufacturing. The success of our initiatives will be measured (among other factors) by whether this KPI stay at the level reported.
[Fixed row]

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization’s products or services.

Row 1

(9.12.1) Product name

We have not yet assessed the water intensity on the product level, rather on the company level in relation to the operative output. This intensity figure is used to set targets.

(9.12.2) Water intensity value

2212

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

☑ Water withdrawn

(9.12.4) Denominator

Million € operating output

(9.12.5) Comment

Specific water withdrawal in m3 per EUR 1 million operating output
[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☑ Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern for Authorisation above 0.1% by weight (EU Regulation)

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☑ 10-20

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

In our Lamps & System segment we have electronic products containing lead (within the components that we source we do not use Pb in production). They are all exempted from RoHS but lead belongs to the SVHC candidate list.
[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.14.2) Definition used to classify low water impact

UV-C LED can be considered as low water impact due to technical abilities for disinfection. This can reduce the amount of water consumed e.g. for cleaning, but also make water potable and safe for consumption which would otherwise not be possible to use.

(9.14.4) Please explain

The OSLON® UV series is designed to provide efficient UV-C radiation for but not limited to medical, home goods and consumer applications. It allows for flexible designs for various types of UV-C applications in the areas of air, water and surface disinfection and purification, as well as treatment or sensing.
[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

Water pollution

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

We are complying with the limits and demands imposed upon us by the permits we have received for our operations and this see no need for further targets on water pollution

Water withdrawals

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ Yes

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

We do provided WASH services at all of our facilities and thus see no need to set another target,

Other

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

no further comments
[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☑ Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

☑ Reduction in total water withdrawals

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

02/29/2024

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

2386

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/30/2024

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

2410

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

2212

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Replaced

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

-725

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☑ None, alignment not assessed

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

All manufacturing and other sites exceeding our internal thresholds included.

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

We had set a global intensity target for 2024 of 2410 m3 per mio € operative output based on our business expectations and we ended up at 2212. This is also better than the 2023 result. The 2024 target has been replaced already with a new one for 2025 following the same methodology.
[Add row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

	Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments
	Select from: ☑ No, we are not taking any actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

Legally protected areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ No

(11.4.2) Comment

All ams OSRAM facilities operate in assigned industrial zones with the correct permits available and no closeness to areas of significance to biodiversity.

UNESCO World Heritage sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ No

(11.4.2) Comment

All ams OSRAM facilities operate in assigned industrial zones with the correct permits available and no closeness to areas of significance to biodiversity.

UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ No

(11.4.2) Comment

All ams OSRAM facilities operate in assigned industrial zones with the correct permits available and no closeness to areas of significance to biodiversity.

Ramsar sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ No

(11.4.2) Comment

All ams OSRAM facilities operate in assigned industrial zones with the correct permits available and no closeness to areas of significance to biodiversity.

Key Biodiversity Areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ No

(11.4.2) Comment

All ams OSRAM facilities operate in assigned industrial zones with the correct permits available and no closeness to areas of significance to biodiversity. ams OSRAM has mapped all biodiversity sites within a buffer zone of 10 km and assessed whether municipal management plans for those sites exist or other actions should be considered. The tools used were Natura2000 and IBAT. The assessment concluded that no further measures are necessary.

Other areas important for biodiversity

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☑ No

(11.4.2) Comment

All ams OSRAM facilities operate in assigned industrial zones with the correct permits available and no closeness to areas of significance to biodiversity. ams OSRAM has mapped all biodiversity sites within a buffer zone of 10 km and assessed whether municipal management plans for those sites exist or other actions should be considered. The tools used were Natura2000 and IBAT. The assessment concluded that no further measures are necessary.
[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☑ Waste data

☑ Base year emissions

☑ Progress against targets

☑ Emissions reduction initiatives/activities

☑ Year on year change in absolute emissions (Scope 3)

☑ Renewable Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption

☑ Energy attribute certificates (EACs)
☑ Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption
☑ Year on year change in emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2)
☑ Year on year change in emissions intensity (Scope 3)
☑ Year on year change in absolute emissions (Scope 1 and 2)

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards
☑ ISAE 3000

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

This data was verified to Limited Assurance and the statement is part of our Annual Report incl. Sustainability Report 2024.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

ams OSRAM EN Annual Report - Sustainability Report 2024.pdf

Row 2

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply
☑ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security
☑ Water consumption– total volume
☑ Water discharges– total volumes
☑ Water withdrawals– total volumes
☑ Water withdrawals – volumes by source
☑ Water discharges – volumes by destination
☑ Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☑ ISAE 3000

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

This data was verified to Limited Assurance and the statement is part of our Annual Report incl. Sustainability Report 2024.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

ams OSRAM EN Annual Report 2024.pdf
[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

(13.2.1) Additional information

The TCFD report is based on the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), a G20 expert commission that has issued recommendations on standardized climate reporting for companies.

(13.2.2) Attachment (optional)

2024_ams OSRAM TCFD Report final.pdf
[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

- Head of Environmental Protection, Health and Safety - Head of Group Sustainability

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☑ Environment/Sustainability manager

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

☑ No